

Our energy future

## Combined Platform (as built) Report for DSO, DMO and Aggregator

Work Packages 5.1, 5.2 & 5.3

5 May 2023











## **Purpose**

This report has been prepared jointly by Synergy, the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) and Western Power (collectively the Project participants) for the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA) to document the build of the Distribution System Operator (DSO), Distribution Market Operator (DMO), and Aggregator platforms, and the infrastructure required for them to communicate. The report assesses the build against the original platform requirements identified in the Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-functional Requirements Report and shares key learnings from the build process. This will help to inform how organisations can scale technically for a South-West Interconnected System (SWIS)-wide enablement of Distributed Energy Resources (DER) orchestration to provide network and market services.

## **ARENA** Disclaimer

This project received funding from ARENA as part of ARENA's Advancing Renewables Program. The views expressed herein are not necessarily the views of the Australian Government, and the Australian Government does not accept responsibility for any information or advice contained herein.

## **Project Participant Disclaimer and Copyright**

This report has been prepared jointly by Synergy, the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) and Western Power. The report was commissioned, and certain inputs provided on a hypothetical basis, for the purposes of Project Symphony. The Symphony partners support this report, however the methods described herein should not be considered as a basis for investment and interested parties should undertake independent modelling to inform such decisions. It should not be relied on as a substitute for obtaining detailed advice about the WEM, the WEM Rules, any other applicable laws, procedures or policies or the capability or performance of relevant equipment. This report does not include all the information that an investor, participant, or potential participant in the WEM might require, and does not amount to a recommendation of any investment.

## Acknowledgement

The Project participants acknowledge the traditional custodians of the land that we operate on.

## Copyright

© Copyright 2023 Synergy, the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) and Electricity Networks Corporation trading as Western Power. All rights reserved. Use of the material in this document without the written permission of the copyright owner is prohibited unless permitted by law. Product or company names are trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective holders.





## **Table of Contents**

#### Contents

| 1 | E   | Executive Summary6 |       |   |      |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----|--------------------|-------|---|------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | In  | ntro               | duc   | tion  | 8    |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | B   | acł                | gro   | und   | . 12 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3.1 |                    | Proj  | ect Symphony Overview   | . 12 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3.2 |                    | WA    | Context   | . 12 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3.  | .2.1               | 1     | Partner Roles and Responsibilities                            | . 13 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3.  | .2.2               | 2     | Project Platform Build  | . 14 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 3.3 |                    | Purj  | pose of this report   | . 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Ρ   | roje               | ect [ | Design Approach   | . 17 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.1 |                    | Proj  | ect Symphony Design Approach                                  | . 17 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.  | .1.1               | 1     | Conceptual Design   | . 17 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.  | .1.2               | 2     | Detailed Design   | . 18 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.  | .1.3               | 3     | Technical Design  | . 19 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4.2 |                    | Tes   | t Approach  | . 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | In  | nteg               | grate | ed Solution Overview  | .21  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 5.1 |                    | Plat  | form Integrations   | .21  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | D   | SC                 | ) Pla | itform  | .26  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.1 |                    | DSC   | D Platform Requirements                                       | .26  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.2 |                    | DSC   | D Platform Delivery Approach                                  | .26  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.3 |                    | DSC   | D Platform 'As-built' Solution Overview                       | .28  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.  | .3.1               | 1     | Solution Modules  | .28  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.  | .3.2               | 2     | Information Technology (IT) Environments                      | .29  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.4 |                    | DSC   | D Platform 'As-built' Assessment Methodology                  | .30  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.5 |                    | Eva   | luation of the DSO As-Built Platform against the requirements | .31  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.6 |                    | DSC   | D Platform Build Lessons                                      | .36  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.  | .6.1               | 1     | Build to facilitate Test and Learn                            | .36  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.  | .6.2               | 2     | Ability to Scale  | . 38 |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.  | .6.3               | 3     | Process Improvement   | .41  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 6.  | 6.6.4              |       | Maturity and Supportability                                   | .45  |  |  |  |  |







| Our | energy | future |
|-----|--------|--------|
|-----|--------|--------|

| 7 | DN  | 10 Pla      | atform   | 49  |
|---|-----|-------------|--|-----|
|   | 7.1 | DM          | O Platform Description   | 49  |
|   | 7.2 | DM          | O Solution Architecture  | 49  |
|   | 7.2 | 2.1         | Solution Overview  | 49  |
|   | 7.2 | 2.2         | Solution Context   | 52  |
|   | 7.3 | DM          | O Platform Delivery Approach   | 65  |
|   | 7.4 | DM          | O Platform Assessment  | 66  |
|   | 7.5 | DM          | O Platform 'As-built' Solution Overview                              | 71  |
|   | 7.5 | 5.1         | Component Overview   | 71  |
|   | 7.5 | 5.2         | Data exchange layer  | 75  |
|   | 7.6 | Plat        | form Technology  | 77  |
|   | 7.7 | DM          | O Platform Build Lessons Learnt                                      | 79  |
|   | 7.7 | <b>'</b> .1 | Defining the Solution  | 79  |
|   | 7.7 | .2          | Delivering the Solution  | 82  |
|   | 7.7 | <b>'</b> .3 | Supporting the Solution  | 85  |
| 8 | Ag  | grega       | tor Platform   | 86  |
|   | 8.1 | Agg         | regator Platform Build Approach                                      | 86  |
|   | 8.2 | Agg         | regator Solutions Architecture                                       | 87  |
|   | 8.2 | 2.1         | Solutions Principles   | 87  |
|   | 8.2 | 2.2         | Solutions Overview   | 88  |
|   | 8.2 | 2.3         | Solution Control Loop  | 92  |
|   | 8.2 | 2.4         | Primary Aggregator Managing Third-Party Aggregator DER Asset Control | 93  |
|   | 8.2 | 2.5         | Solution Component Integration                                       | 94  |
|   | 8.2 | 2.6         | Customer DER Asset Integration                                       | 94  |
|   | 8.2 | 2.7         | Site Deployment Metrics  | 96  |
|   | 8.3 | Agg         | regator Platform Description   | 98  |
|   | 8.3 | 8.1         | DER Data Exchange Layer  | 98  |
|   | 8.3 | 3.2         | API Gateway  | 99  |
|   | 8.3 | 3.3         | 3PA EMS  | 100 |
|   | 8.3 | 8.4         | SEMS Platform  | 101 |
|   | 8.3 | 8.5         | VPP & DER Optimisation Platform                                      | 102 |
|   | 8.3 | 8.6         | DER Monitor and Control Platform                                     | 103 |
|   | 8.3 | 8.7         | Gateway Controller   | 104 |
|   |     |             |  |     |







| <ul> <li>8.3.8 Controllable Assets/ Measurement Sensors</li></ul> | 105<br>106<br>107<br>107<br>109<br>112<br>114 |
|---|---|
| <ul> <li>8.4 Aggregator Platform Build Lessons</li></ul>          | 106<br>106<br>107<br>109<br>112<br>114<br>114 |
| <ul> <li>8.4.1 Project Management</li></ul>                       | 106<br>107<br>109<br>112<br>114<br>114        |
| <ul> <li>8.4.2 Aggregator Platform Development</li></ul>          | 107<br>109<br>112<br>114<br>114               |
| <ul> <li>8.4.3 DER Assets</li></ul>                               | 109<br>112<br>114<br>114                      |
| 8.4.4 Operational Experience                                      | 112<br>114<br>114                             |
|   | 114<br>114                                    |
| 9 Common Outcome or Lessons Learnt                                | 114   |
| 9.1 Defining the solution   |   |
| 9.2 Delivering the solution                                       | 116   |
| 9.3 Supporting the solution                                       | 119   |
| 10 Conclusion   | 121   |
| Appendix A: Glossary  | 123   |
| Appendix B: DSO Module Diagram                                    | 136   |
| Appendix C: DSO Platform Requirements Mapped to Modules           | 141   |
| Appendix D: DMO Platform Requirements Mapped to Components        | 153   |
| Appendix E: Aggregator Component Diagrams                         | 157   |
| 10.1.1 Platform Functional Capability View                        | 157   |
| 10.1.2 Platform Technology Capability View                        | 157   |
| Appendix F: Aggregator Platform Requirements Mapped to Components | 159   |
| 10.1.3 Functional Requirements                                    | 159   |











## **1 Executive Summary**

This document describes the development of the 'as built' Aggregator, Distribution Market Operator (DMO) and Distribution System Operator (DSO) platforms that are being utilised in the Project Symphony Pilot to understand and observe the functions and capabilities required from the key roles defined in the Open Energy Networks (OpEN) Hybrid Model.

The platform architectures designed to integrate Distributed Energy Resources (DER) were based on assessments of existing platform solutions and business requirements relevant to each role and to the project scope and objectives. As part of developing the specifications, four 'must have' onmarket and off-market services or scenarios were selected by the project participants. These scenarios enable facilities made up of aggregated DER to participate in the following services:

- Energy Services Bi-Directional Balancing Market Offer (BMO): Offering (Sell) or bidding (Buy) energy into the balancing market, issuing, receiving & responding to dispatch instructions and settlement to determine the most economically efficient dispatch of generation to meet system electricity demand at a given time.
- 2. **Network Support Services:** a contracted service provided by a DER aggregator to help manage network constraints such as distribution level peak demand or reverse power flow and/or voltage issues as identified by the Distribution System Operator (DSO).
- 3. **Constrain to Zero:** AEMO dispatches an instruction to the Aggregator to constrain energy output from DER to zero export **(net)** or zero output **(gross)**. This could be offered as a market service or incorporated into normal dispatch arrangements if customers are remunerated appropriately.
- 4. **Essential System Services (ESS) Contingency Reserve Raise:** Market provision of a response to a locally detected frequency deviation to help restore frequency to an acceptable level in case of a contingency event (such as the loss of a large generator or load).

The functional and non-functional platform requirements were developed to deliver the capability to integrate the Aggregator, DMO and DSO platforms in delivering the end-to-end solution. They were also established to enhance the learnings from Project Symphony by including additional interfaces, integrations and reporting and assessment capability between the platforms.

This report provides a detailed description of the platforms developed to deliver the core set of DER functionality specific to the roles of the DSO, DMO and Aggregator as defined in the Hybrid Model and integration of these platforms into organisational systems and processes. It highlights the nascency of available platforms and highlights the importance in developing interoperability and equipment standards to maximise the assets opted into the facility and to simplify asset control.

As Project Symphony enters the scenario testing phase, observations, analysis, and learnings will lead to a greater understanding of, and recommendations for, future market design, integration









methods, data sharing requirements and operation of DER and orchestration of Virtual Power Plants (VPP's).







### 2 Introduction

The overall vision for Project Symphony (the Project) is to progress toward a future where the integration and participation of DER in markets supports a safe, reliable, lower carbon and more efficient electricity system.

The WA community is installing rooftop solar at unprecedented rates. With one in three households in the SWIS already having a rooftop solar PV system, and over 3,000 households adding a new system each month, customers with DER are already enjoying the benefits of lower electricity bills while contributing to de-carbonising the power system.

However, the high penetration of DER can pose a significant risk to power system stability, for example at times of low system demand.

In response, the WA Government released the DER Roadmap of which Project Symphony is a key DER Roadmap Action.

| Action | Element              | Owner                                | Description   | Priority |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------|
| 22     | DER<br>Orchestration | Synergy,<br>EPWA<br>Western<br>Power | By July 2020, commence a comprehensive<br>VPP technology pilot to demonstrate the end<br>to end technical capability of DER in the<br>SWISand transition to market participation<br>testing.  | High     |
| 23     | DER<br>Orchestration | Synergy<br>AEMO                      | Complete a comprehensive VPP market<br>participation pilot that tests the incorporation<br>of aggregated DER into energy markets,<br>including market dispatch and settlement<br>arrangements from the market operator to<br>individual customer. | High     |

Project Symphony is being delivered by Western Power in collaboration with Synergy, the Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO) and Energy Policy WA (EPWA). The Project aims to understand how the opportunities and challenges of increasing Distributed Energy Resources (DER) can be managed through orchestration of Virtual Power Plant's (VPP's) by Piloting a version of the "Open Energy Networks" (OpEN) Hybrid Model<sup>1</sup>, suitable for the SWIS, which defines roles and responsibilities for transitioning to a two-way- power grid, allowing better integration of customer DER.







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Interim Report: Required Capabilities and Recommended Actions, AEMO and Energy Networks Australia, July 2019, pgs. 21-22. Last accessed 15/12/2021.



The Hybrid Model outlines three key roles that Project Symphony participants will be required to fulfill:

- Distribution System Operator (Western Power).
- Aggregator (Synergy).
- Distribution Market Operator (AEMO).



Figure 1: Key roles in the context of the Hybrid Model<sup>2</sup>

Each party was required to build and test separate platforms that, when integrated, created a cohesive system for managing DER resources from end-to-end in support of a safe, reliable, and cost-effective electricity system. In building and piloting these platforms, the participants developed an understanding of the capabilities and technical complexity involved in managing a system to support a working Hybrid Model, collecting learnings that can be used to evolve the model and inform policy and legislative requirements to support implementation. Figure 1: Key roles in the context of the Hybrid Model provides a conceptual view of the model and how each participant's technology platform will interact.

Each individual actor (DSO, DMO, Aggregator) will obtain detailed knowledge of the organisational competencies required to execute their respective roles. Project learnings will be used to evolve the Hybrid Model and inform future implementations. In addition, the Project will consider non-technical factors of the Hybrid Model, including customer sentiment and experience.







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Modified diagram. Original diagram available in the <u>DER Roadmap</u>, Figure 18, pg. 66.



The Project has delivered an end-to-end solution through the design, procurement, development, and implementation of software based 'platforms' and is in the process of testing capability to register, aggregate and orchestrate customer DER. Thus, the Hybrid Model is enabled by the effective integration of three platforms;

- A Market or 'DMO Platform' (AEMO);
- An 'Aggregator Platform' (Synergy); and
- A 'DSO Platform' (Western Power).

Significant systems interfaces were required between the platforms to simulate functionality of AEMO's existing market platform; enable registration and processing of aggregated facilities and constraints, management of bid and offers, dispatch instructions and settlement and validation of the services provided. The DSO Platform developed for the Project enables Western Power to perform in the role of Distribution System Operator for the first time in a simulated wholesale market for the WEM as defined by the Hybrid Model.

The end-to-end solution will demonstrate real value via four 'must have' scenarios<sup>3</sup> through simulation of market services (Bi-directional energy and Essential System Service – Contingency Raise scenarios) and non-market services (Network Support Services and Constrain to Zero scenarios).

#### 1. Scenario 1 Energy Services – Bi-directional Energy - Balancing Market:

- a. The WEM balancing market (or real-time market) is a mandatory 'gross pool' market for dispatch and 'net pool' for settlement that determines the most economically efficient dispatch of generation to meet system electricity demand at a given time.
- b. All registered facilities, including DER aggregated generation facilities must be available to participate and must comply with the resulting dispatch instructions from the Market Operator (AEMO).
- c. The Aggregator is able to offer (sell) or bid (buy) energy into the balancing market whilst incorporating or adhering to a 'dynamic operating envelope' (DOE)<sup>4</sup>, provided by the distribution system operator, which is designed to maximise or increase the amount of renewable hosting capacity on the network by publishing the total available power transfer capacity (load and generation) at a given time.

#### 2. Scenario 2 Network Support Services

a. A contracted service provided by a generator, retailer, or DER Aggregator to the Network Operator/DSO (Western Power) to help manage or solve localised network constraints.

```
In partnership with:
```







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Project Symphony Project Management Plan, pg. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A dynamic operating envelope provides upper and lower limits to imports and exports at a customer site. Within Symphony the approach taken is to apply limits at the NMI in alignment with the national approach. This approach increases complexity for the aggregator associated with managing uncontrolled load at the customer site but allows flexibility in how the aggregator meets the limit by allowing multiple DER 'behind-the-meter' to provide the same result.



b. A network support service could alleviate distribution level peak electricity demand or reverse power flow and/or local voltage issues identified by the DSO at a cost that is less than traditional augmentation such as larger transformers, more 'poles and wires' or otherwise expanding capacity.

#### 3. Scenario 3 'Constrain to Zero':

a. To demonstrate the ability of the AEMO Platform to instruct the Aggregator Platform to constrain energy output from DER to zero export (net) or zero output (gross) at the NMI connection point. The intention is that this be offered as a market service.<sup>5</sup>

#### 4. Scenario 4 Essential System Service (ESS) - Contingency Raise:

- a. Market provided response to a locally detected frequency deviation to help restore frequency to an acceptable level in the case of a 'contingency event' such as the sudden loss of a large generator or load.
- b. An example of raise is the discharge of rapid generation such as starting a fast response generator on the network to bring frequency back to an acceptable level.

Identifying and measuring the costs and the benefits (the value) of each of these scenarios, individually and cumulatively, will be key to understanding their longer-term viability at scale, along with the technical solutions required to achieve them. The DSO Platform is largely analysing network conditions and DER monitoring data and publishing the Dynamic Operating Envelopes (DOE) at prescribed intervals aimed at maximising the renewable energy (predominantly rooftop solar) hosting capacity at any given time on the local medium voltage (MV) and low voltage (LV) networks.

Data is also flowing end-to-end through each of the project participants' platforms, from customer to off-market settlement via the DMO (AEMO) and will establish the framework that could be extended beyond Project Symphony to mainstream DER orchestration via an on-market DMO Platform.

Two 'nice to have' scenarios which were identified for development and testing should time, resources, and budget permit<sup>6</sup> were not proceeded with.

- **ESS Contingency lower:** Market provided response to a locally detected frequency deviation to help restore (lower) frequency to an acceptable level in the case of a 'contingency event' such as a sudden surge in supply or a sudden drop in demand.
- **ESS Regulation Raise/Lower:** Market provided response to automatic generation control signals to correct for small deviations in frequency during a dispatch interval. This is considered the most technically complex of the scenarios given the likely requirements for ~4 second communication capability between the participant platforms.







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The intention is that customers will be renumerated appropriately if CTZ is offered as a future market service. AEMO's call for an NCESS for reliability for 2024/25 is an example of where this capability could be used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Project Symphony Project Management Plan, pg. 12.



### 3 Background

#### 3.1 Project Symphony Overview

One of the critical objectives of Project Symphony (the Project) is understanding and evaluating the respective roles and responsibilities of the key participants defined in the Open Energy Networks<sup>7</sup> (OpEN) Hybrid Model. The Hybrid Model defines the roles and responsibilities for transitioning to a two-way power grid, allowing better integration of customer Distributed Energy Resources (DER) into the system. The Project is testing the Hybrid Model on a section of the South West Interconnected System (SWIS) located in the Southern River area southeast of Perth<sup>8</sup> (the Pilot area). The Project will evaluate the model's effectiveness, as well as substantiate learnings that can be used to evolve the model and inform policy and legislative requirements to support the integration of DER into the SWIS and the WEM.

#### 3.2 WA Context

The West Australian context for the Pilot is important, noting that unlike the NEM, the SWIS is an isolated network that must balance all demand and generation loads internally without reliance on interconnectors. The independent Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO), a participant in Symphony, has the role of ensuring this balance is maintained at all times as it manages the security of the SWIS and the WEM.

Energy Policy WA (EPWA) is the government agency responsible for the delivery of energy policy advice to the WA Minister for Energy, and is also responsible for supporting the delivery of the government's Energy Transformation Strategy, including a key partner to Project Symphony in providing active guidance and oversight.

The WA Government owns three corporations with active roles in the WA electricity supply chain. Two of these corporations are involved in Project Symphony:

- Western Power, as lead participant, which is solely responsible for building, maintaining and operating the electricity transmission and distribution network within the South West Interconnected System (SWIS); and
- Synergy, which sells and generates power within the SWIS. Synergy is the sole retailer for most customers consuming less than 50MWh/year in the SWIS. Retail and export tariffs are regulated and set by the State Government for these customers.

The rapid growth in distributed energy resources (DER), such as rooftop solar, while delivering significant financial and environmental benefits for individuals owning DER, is leading to a range of emerging issues for network operators such as Western Power and challenging the traditional electricity generation and retail business models.

The WA community is installing rooftop solar at unprecedented rates. With one in three households in the SWIS already having a rooftop solar PV system, and around 4,000 households adding a new







<sup>7</sup> https://aemo.com.au/-/media/files/electricity/nem/der/2019/oen/interim-report.pdf?la=en

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The pilot will cover an area that includes locations in the Perth suburbs of Southern River, Piara Waters and Harrisdale.



system each month, customers with DER are already enjoying the benefits of lower electricity bills while contributing to de-carbonising the power system.

However, the high penetration of DER can pose a significant risk to power system stability, for example at times of low system demand. Based on advice from AEMO the stability of the SWIS may be at material risk as early as 2022, if DER are not efficiently and effectively managed (Australian Energy Market Operator (AEMO), 2019).

In response, the WA Government released the DER Roadmap of which Project Symphony is a key DER Roadmap Action.

| Action | Element              | Owner                                | Description  | Priority |
|--------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------|
| 22     | DER<br>Orchestration | Synergy,<br>EPWA<br>Western<br>Power | By July 2020, commence a comprehensive VPP technology Pilot to demonstrate the end to end technical capability of DER in the SWISand transition to market participation testing.   | High     |
| 23     | DER<br>Orchestration | Synergy<br>AEMO                      | Complete a comprehensive VPP market participation<br>Pilot that tests the incorporation of aggregated DER into<br>energy markets, including market dispatch and<br>settlement arrangements from the market operator to<br>individual customer. | High     |

Table 1 : DER Roadmap action 22 & 23

Rooftop solar installation rates have already far exceeded forecasts with over 500MW of new capacity added since the DER Roadmap was published. Other technical issues have also come to light and the risks associated with low load and high levels of DER have further been refined (AEMO, 2021c). While EPWA and AEMO will work with Western Power and Synergy to develop and implement interim solutions to these challenges, including 'last resort' measures to reduce or constrain rooftop solar generation such as Emergency Solar Management (ESM), the Project is still regarded as delivering the best long-term outcomes for customers and the power system via active DER participation through market-based mechanisms. Project Symphony will lay the groundwork for enabling WA consumers to opt-in to aggregated virtual power plants and provide services to the network and WEM, including turning down (or using up) excess output, or managing demand in return for compensation. One of the Project's working hypotheses is that DER can provide cheaper, lower carbon outcomes through network and market services (e.g., load under control, generation under control, frequency, voltage) in a way that shares the most value with customers through their participation, than the alternative of significant network investment and transmission level responses.

#### 3.2.1 Partner Roles and Responsibilities

The Hybrid Model outlines three key roles that the participants in the Project are required to fulfill – the Distribution System Operator, the Aggregator, and the Distribution Market Operator:

• A Distribution System Operator (DSO) enables access to and securely operates and develops an active distribution system comprising networks, demand, and other flexible DER.





Expanding the network planning and asset management function of a Distribution Network Service Provider (DNSP) or Network Operator, the DSO enables the optimal use of DER within distribution networks to deliver security, sustainability, and affordability in support of whole of system optimisation. As the existing Network Operator in the SWIS, Western Power has assumed the role of DSO and is responsible for developing a DSO Platform with the capability to identify the maximum renewable energy hosting capacity of a distribution system.

- An Aggregator facilitates the grouping of DER devices to act as a single entity when engaging in services for both the market and the system (both wholesale and retail) including providing services to the DSO. As the existing retailer for most small use customers, Synergy has assumed the role of market facing Parent Aggregator for the Project. As the Parent Aggregator, Synergy is responsible for DER valuation, customer acquisition and procuring a minimum of two Third Party Aggregators. Synergy is leading the customer interactions to achieve a suitable mix and concentration of at least 900 DER assets and has procured, designed, built, and integrated an Aggregator Platform that is in the process of being tested for capability to orchestrate DER assets to participate in the WEM. It is key for the Aggregator to build an understanding of DER customer sentiment in relation to more active participation in the WEM.
- A Distribution Market Operator (DMO) is a market operator that is equipped to operate a system that includes aggregations of small-scale DER, which are able to be dispatched at appropriate scale. As the sole operator of the SWIS and the WEM, AEMO is expanding its role as the System and Market Operator to perform the role of DMO. As the DMO, AEMO is responsible for providing a simulated Market Platform (DMO Platform) that facilitates aggregator access to wholesale energy and essential system services market processes.

#### 3.2.2 Project Platform Build

For each of the partners to be able to perform their respective roles within the Hybrid Model, as the DSO, DMO and Aggregator, they were required to develop the platform designs and requirements to construct individual platforms. These platforms have been integrated to orchestrate DER to deliver energy, network and essential system services within a simulated WEM.

The DSO was responsible for the development and implementation of the DSO platform and infrastructure required to incorporate DER assets into existing network planning and asset management functions. The functions required of the DSO platform were:

- Forecasting network loads and calculating the maximum renewable energy hosting capacity of the Pilot area local distribution network.
- Communicating calculated limits to the Aggregator through the allocation of Dynamic Operating Envelopes.
- Identifying when and where network loads are predicted to exceed safe operating limits, facilitating the orchestration of DER assets to provide targeted Network Support Services.
- Monitoring the impact of DER orchestration on the distribution network to ensure operations remain within safe operating limits.









The DMO was responsible for the development and implementation of the DMO's Market platform to test and simulate capability of DER Aggregators to participate in the simulated market. The functions required of the DMO platform were:

- Orchestrating market outcomes and provision of energy, network support and ancillary services.
- Assessing the compliance of aggregated DER assets to provide these services as instructed through monitoring of aggregated DER assets within Virtual Power Plants (VPPs).

The Aggregator was responsible for the development and implementation of the Aggregator platform to perform DER valuation, customer acquisition and procuring a minimum of two Third Party Aggregators. The functions required of the Aggregator platform were:

- Asset registration and configuration management.
- Execution of dispatch instructions from the DMO and optimisation of DER assets to meet VPP energy objectives.
- Real-time monitoring and control of DER assets to support the project scenarios.

#### **3.3 Purpose of this report**

The purpose of this report is to document the development and implementation of the DSO, DMO and Aggregator platforms and their interfaces. This report will provide a detailed description of the platforms developed to deliver the core set of DER functionality specific to the roles of the DSO, DMO and Aggregator as defined in the Hybrid Model and integration of these platforms into organisational systems and processes. The key elements documented in this report include:

- The design, build and test processes undertaken to develop each platform.
- The as-built solution architecture of each platform.
- The extent to which each solution meets the functional and non-functional requirements detailed in the ARENA knowledge sharing report Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements Report<sup>9</sup>.
- How the three solutions integrate to create a holistic solution capable of executing the 'must have' scenarios end-to-end.
- A critical assessment of the whole solution built and implemented against business objectives, design and architecture principles.
- Key learnings from the project and solution build process.

This report will act as a reference document for other organisations seeking to take the pathway towards the development of a DER orchestration project and requiring guidance on the functional







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> https://arena.gov.au/knowledge-bank/project-symphony-platform-functional-and-non-functionalrequirements-report/



and non-functional requirements, design and architecture of these platforms within a market that incorporates DER into wholesale energy and network services.







## 4 Project Design Approach

#### 4.1 Project Symphony Design Approach

This section details the design approach employed by the Project participants to collaboratively define the scenarios, common business rules and the integrations between the three platforms.

At the commencement of the Project, working groups were formed and facilitated workshops with a defined set of resources and accountabilities to deliver an aligned project design. The working groups included representatives from all participants and were tasked with defining the scenario and architecture designs for each of the four "must have" project scenarios.

- Scenario 1: Energy Services Bi-directional Energy Balancing Market Offer (BMO).
- Scenario 2: Network Support Services (NSS).
- Scenario 3: Constrain to Zero (CTZ).
- Scenario 4: Essential System Service (ESS) Contingency Raise.

Platform development commenced with scenario 1, Energy Services, Bi-directional Energy – Balancing Market Offer (BMO) which was delivered as base platform functionality, with the remaining scenario functionality developed incrementally as designs were finalised. Tables of integration of the final design for each scenario are included in Appendix D.

Given the varied complexity and requirements of each scenario for each platform, each organisation had a different build and delivery schedule, resulting in overlap between design, build and deployment to enable shared testing, also known as cross-organisational system integration testing (X-SIT), of platform functionality.

|  | 2021                 |         |                                    | 2022                   |                             |               |                             | 2                | 023          |                             |
|--|----------------------|---------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
|  | Jan- Mar             | Apr-Jun | Jul-Sep                            | Oct-Dec                | Jan- Mar                    | Apr-Jun       | Jul-Sep                     | Oct-Dec          | Jan          | - Mar                       |
| Energy Services - Bi-directional (BMO)               | Conceptual<br>Design | D       | etailed Design<br>Technical Design | Build                  |                             | SIT<br>X-SIT  | Varif                       | feation<br>sting |              |                             |
| Network Support Services (NSS)                       |                      |         | Conceptual Des                     | ign Detailed<br>Design | Technical Desi              | gn Build      | SIT X-<br>SIT               |                  |              | Verificati<br>on<br>testing |
| Constrain to Zero Output (CTZ)                       |                      |         |                                    | Conceptual Design      | Detailed Tech<br>Design Des | nical<br>lign | Build                       | SIT X-SIT        |              | Platform<br>End<br>State    |
| Essential System Services (ESS) Contingency<br>Raise |                      |         |                                    |                        | Conceptual                  | Design Te     | ailed<br>echnical<br>Design | Build            | SIT<br>X-SIT |                             |

Figure 2. Project design timeline

#### 4.1.1 Conceptual Design

Three working groups were created and tasked to create specific outputs to facilitate the platform design:

- A Scenario Design Working Group tasked with determining the scenario definition and use cases for each participant.
- The Architecture Working Group tasked with the architecture and integration design of the participant platforms.





• The Data Entity Working Group tasked with identifying the data models needed to be shared between participants to operate and analyse the operation of DER in the WEM.

The outputs from the conceptual design phase were as follows:

- Scenario diagrams including identification of each participant's use cases required to successfully deliver the service as part of the Pilot. These outputs identified the required platform capability.
- High level architecture definitions to identify required platform capability components and integrations to share operational data. These outputs identify the way that each participant platform interacts with the other platforms.
- Conceptual data model that defines a conceptual list of data objects to facilitate the operation of the market.
- A testing strategy and identification of business scenarios that validated that the operational capabilities of the partner platforms ensured a working solution.
- The additional data collection and partner reports included in the project to facilitate the "Test and Learn" project objective that would not ordinarily be expected in a scaled and mature VPP environment.

Each working group had an assigned facilitator, designated leads from each organisation and a term of reference to enable the design to be developed through conceptual, detailed and technical stages with artefacts and decisions shared and reviewed across all working groups.

#### 4.1.2 Detailed Design

Each working group met to define and create specific outputs to facilitate detailed platform design and development.

The outputs from the detailed design phase were:

- Use cases including business and system processes that defined business rules and sequencing of tasks across the Project participants. These outputs identified the detailed platform requirements, validation rules and exception management scenarios.
- Detailed definitions of platform and integration capability. These outputs identified platform and integration requirements, data sharing arrangements and non-functional requirements.
- Messaging JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) designs to facilitate the build of messaging and APIs that will transmit data between partner platforms.
- Test scripts and steps to identify and articulate expected system functionality that validated the operational capabilities of the platforms to ensure a working solution.
- Internal System Integration Testing (SIT) and X-SIT test plans to coordinate with vendors and Project participants to conduct testing of the platforms in isolation and as a fully integrated solution.









#### 4.1.3 Technical Design

Each working group met to define and create specific outputs to facilitate the technical design of the platform development.

- Operational data entities and attributes defined across the platforms to identify operational data required by each Project participant to operate in a market integrated with DER. The definition of data attributes determines the data required to be passed between each Project participant and the rules required to maintain data integrity.
- Test and Learn data entities and attributes defined across the platforms was used to identify the data required by each Project participant to analyse the effectiveness of the market platform operation and support learnings related to DER market hypotheses and research topics.

#### 4.2 Test Approach

The test approach adopted for the Project platforms encompassed multiple levels of testing and involved all Project participants and vendor organisations. This resulted in the formation of internal test teams and cross organisation test teams to develop artefacts to facilitate test readiness assessment, test execution, post-test analysis and reporting across the various deployments.

Test strategy artefacts encompassing test environments, roles and responsibilities, test schedule, testing tools, test execution, issue management process, test and learn principles, governance, support and ways for working were developed for the project prior to the commencement of test & learn and are updated as testing progresses. The processes that were developed and documented cover the following activities:

- Test teams specific to each Project participant and their platform vendors conducted internal System Integration Testing (SIT). Multiple SIT phases were conducted on all platforms as per the agreed project technical design for each deployment. Internal test plans were developed in line with the drop design and business requirements. Each Project participant was responsible to ensure completeness of their platform's testing as a pre-requisite for X-SIT. At the completion of the internal testing, test summary reports were produced by each organisation.
- Cross organisational testing comprised of the X-SIT Working Group, which included representatives from each participating organisation who were responsible for the definition and execution of the test scenarios. An end-to-end test manager within the project PMO, was responsible for defining the test process, facilitating the cross-organisational test delivery and producing test summary reports. Cross platform integration testing was conducted across multiple drops based on delivering platform capability aligned with project scenarios (BMO, NSS, CTZ and ESS-CR). Test plans were developed based on the requirements defined for each drop specific to scenario's integrations. Test plans for each drop were executed, reviewed and signed off by all partners. End-to-end test scenarios were derived from a combination of use cases, plus cross platform integration sequence diagrams and from which happy path run sheets were defined.





Verification Test was carried out in the trial environment and served as the entry gate to commence Test & Learn execution phases. The first execution cycle of verification test in Oct'22 was used to ensure all integrations were correctly configured in the trial environment in preparations for Test & Learn to commence. Following the first execution cycle the aim of verification testing was to prove that the platforms could be operated end-to-end with minimal manual intervention, so that the Test & Learn phase would be able to execute tests which would inform whether the requirements and platform capabilities had been met. The final cycle of verification test was an opportunity to complete a practice run of the processes and ceremonies outlined in the T&L Approach document required to support the stability period phase and included data verification test provided the opportunity to baseline platform integration performance.

| Test Testing Levels:                                | Objectives   |
|---|--|
| Vendor's' and Project<br>participant's unit testing | To test smallest testable parts of an application, individually and independently to ensure proper operation.  |
| SIT   | Overall testing of the Platform including both business logic and<br>Integration solution in line with the business requirements.<br>SIT was undertaken for each of the vendor solutions underpinning the<br>Symphony DER ecosystem. |
| X-SIT   | Exercise all must-have cross-platform business logic, including negative scenarios and edge cases. Regression testing was included in all testing.   |
| Verification testing                                | To verify the functionality operates as expected end to end prior to starting operation of the market in the Pilot   |
| Performance testing                                 | To verify that the platforms can operate to an acceptable level at the highest volume of transactions and scale of users expected during the Pilot   |

Table 2: Testing levels and objectives







## 5 Integrated Solution Overview

As indicated in previous sections, an overarching platform design was progressed to support the four "must have" (and other) scenarios. Figure 2 provides an overview of the overarching design illustrating the principal data flows between each of the constituent platform components.



Figure 2. Conceptual platform design and function

#### 5.1 Platform Integrations

Platform Integrations are foundational to the success of Project Symphony and detailed in <u>Project</u> <u>Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements</u>, as well as in the figure above. As described in the testing approach section, a holistic integration design was developed as part of the project. Each integration was assigned a specific identifier and an associated name, a description, a set of integration characteristics, and a data payload. The following table (Table 3) summarises the automated integrations and provides a common reference for details in the DSO, DMO and Aggregator platform sections and associated appendices. A subsequent table features a manual integration list.









ntegration Name ntegration ID Description ntegration Frequency rom 0 Weekly IS0101A National Metering Provides registration data for DMO Aggregator Identifier (NMI) each Distributed Energy Standing Resource (DER) NMI facility. May have overlaps with DER register data. IS0101B Device Standing Provides registration data for Weekly DSO DMO each DER Device. May have overlaps with DER register data. IS0102 Weekly DMO Facility Provides registration and Aggregator Registration technical characteristics data for the facility that is being registered. Aggregate of total unconstrained capacity of the devices IS0102b Network Support NSS facility composition details As Aggregator DSO Services Facility required details IS0104 (Facility) Provides registration data for Ad hoc DMO DSO Registration Data each DER NMI facility. IS0105 DSO DMO & Dynamic Operating constraints for each Once per Operating NMI trading Aggregator Envelope (DOE) day IS0106 Real Time Market Price/quantity bids sent from the As DMO Aggregator Submission Aggregator for energy and required (RTMS) Essential Services (ESS) IS0107 Dispatch An instruction of the dispatch Once per DMO Aggregator Instruction quantity for the next dispatch 5min interval for each market service dispatch interval (energy and ESS) to the Aggregator There is one dispatch instruction sent for each registered facility and is sent every 5 mins (start of the dispatch interval) The dispatch instruction is also the integration that informs the Aggregator of a CTZ event









| Our | energ | av fi | uture |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|
|     |       |       |       |

| IS0108 | Telemetry Facility | Telemetry data from the                 | Once per | Aggregator | DMO        |
|--------|--------------------|---|----------|------------|------------|
|        |                    | aggregator for the facility.            | 5min     |            |            |
|        |                    | Provides a view of the facility         | dispatch |            |            |
|        |                    | behaviour during past dispatch          | interval |            |            |
|        |                    | intervals                               |          |            |            |
| IS0112 | Forecast Energy    | Energy and ESS Contingency              | Once per | DMO        | Aggregator |
|        | Price              | Raise Price data from the               | 5min     |            |            |
|        |                    | Market Operations platform that         | dispatch |            |            |
|        |                    | is sourced manually by the user.        | interval |            |            |
|        |                    | The forecast price is used by           |          |            |            |
|        |                    | the Aggregator to inform their          |          |            |            |
|        |                    | RTMS.                                   |          |            |            |
|        |                    | The forecast price is updated           |          |            |            |
|        |                    | from the Market Operations              |          |            |            |
|        |                    | platform at 16mins and 46mins           |          |            |            |
|        |                    | past each hour                          |          |            |            |
| IS0114 | Pre-dispatch       | A schedule of future instructions       | Once per | DMO        | Aggregator |
|        | Instruction        | of the dispatch quantities for          | 5min     |            |            |
|        |                    | each market service (energy             | dispatch |            |            |
|        |                    | and ESS) to the Aggregator.             | interval |            |            |
|        |                    |   |          |            |            |
|        |                    | The schedule covers the time            |          |            |            |
|        |                    | period where the platform has           |          |            |            |
|        |                    | valid                                   |          |            |            |
|        |                    |   |          |            |            |
|        |                    | <ul> <li>forecast price data</li> </ul> |          |            |            |
|        |                    | registered facility data                |          |            |            |
|        |                    | RTMS data                               |          |            |            |
|        |                    |   |          |            |            |
|        |                    | There is one pre-dispatch               |          |            |            |
|        |                    | instruction sent for each               |          |            |            |
|        |                    | registered facility and is sent         |          |            |            |
|        |                    | every 5 mins (start of the              |          |            |            |
|        |                    | dispatch interval)                      |          |            |            |
|        |                    |   |          |            |            |
|        |                    | The pre-dispatch instruction is         |          |            |            |
|        |                    | also the integration that informs       |          |            |            |
|        |                    | the Aggregator of future                |          |            |            |
|        |                    | scheduled Constraint To Zero            |          |            |            |
|        |                    | (CTZ) events                            |          |            |            |
| IS0115 | Network Service    | NSS requirements and service            | As       | DSO        | DMO        |
|        | Registration       | information                             | required |            |            |
| IS0117 | NSS Deployment     | NSS operational demand                  | As       | DSO        | DMO        |
|        | Signal from DSO    | information for specific dispatch       | required |            |            |
|        |                    | intervals during the trading day        |          |            |            |
| IS0118 | Facility Forecast  | Portfolio (Synergy's operating          | Once per | Aggregator | DMO        |
|        |                    | envelope for the trading interval)      | 5min     |            |            |
|        |                    | view of the facility's capacity for     |          |            |            |









|        |                | future dispatch intervals. The    | dispatch |            |            |
|--------|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------|------------|------------|
|        |                | solution is providing a forecast  | interval |            |            |
|        |                | to AEMO every 6 hours.            |          |            |            |
| IS0121 | NSS Deployment | Acknowledgement signal sent to    | As       | Aggregator | DSO        |
|        | Signal         | the DSO to confirm that a NSS     | required |            |            |
|        | Acknowledgemen | deployment signal is received in  |          |            |            |
|        | t/Reject       | the aggregator platform           |          |            |            |
| IS0123 | NSS Deployment | NSS operational demand            | As       | DMO        | Aggregator |
|        | Signal to      | information for specific dispatch | required |            |            |
|        | Aggregator     | intervals during the trading day  |          |            |            |
| IS0125 | Dispatch       | Acknowledgement signal sent       | Once per | Aggregator | DMO        |
|        | Instruction    | by the Aggregator to confirm      | 5min     |            |            |
|        | Acknowledgment | that a dispatch instruction is    | dispatch |            |            |
|        |                | received by the aggregator        | interval |            |            |
|        |                | platform                          |          |            |            |

Table 3: Automated integration list







The following table details the integrations that were implemented as a manual process/activity.

 Table 4: Manual integration list

| Interface ID | Interface Name                     | Interface<br>Description   | Frequency | From       | То                |
|--------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------|------------|-------------------|
| IS0110       | Network Model                      | Exchange of Network Model (Distribution  | Ad hoc    | DSO        | DMO               |
| 150111       | Network Constraint                 | Present the output from the DOE calculator   | Ad boc    |            | ПΜΟ               |
|              | / Limiting<br>component report     | indicating which components of the network<br>model are acting as limiting factors when the<br>load is applied.  |           |            | DWO               |
| IS0119       | NSS Requirements                   | Requirements between Aggregator and DSO (off platform)   | Ad hoc    | Aggregator | DSO               |
| IS0120       | NSS Requirements                   | Prerequisites specified by DSO (off platform)  | Ad hoc    | DSO        | Aggregator        |
| IS0124       | CTZ Notification<br>(informal)     | A notification of a CTZ dispatch instruction<br>sent to the Aggregator by the DMO and DSO<br>for the purpose of conducting a Test and<br>Learn experiment on certain NMIs over a<br>specified timeframe. This does not require a<br>formal data definition sheet; rather, an email<br>notification is sufficient as this is an<br>infrequent technical test.   | Ad hoc    | DMO        | DSO               |
| IS0126       | HSDR data                          | Interval data from HSDR device(s) at a predetermined high frequency is gathered, consisting of two asset data type levels, namely NMI and device data.   | Ad hoc    | Aggregator | AEMO              |
| IS0127       | CTZ notification<br>(Pre-dispatch) | An email message notifying an Aggregator of<br>a CTZ dispatch instruction issued by the<br>DSO and DMO for carrying out a Test and<br>Learn experiment on designated NMIs over<br>a predefined duration. This does not<br>necessitate the compiling of a formal data<br>definition sheet; instead, an email notification<br>was determined to be adequate as this is an<br>infrequent technical trial. | Ad hoc    | DMO        | DSO               |
| 150120       | Copy of NSS                        | Notification of NS service registration with<br>DMO, including details such as service<br>registration name  | Ad hoc    | DSO        | Aggregator        |
| IS0130       | HSDR Data                          | Data is transmitted from the DSO's HSDR.<br>Interval data is collected from a HSDR<br>device at a frequency that is predetermined<br>by the WEM procedures and is expected to<br>be at NMI level.  | Ad hoc    | DSO        | DMO<br>Aggregator |

Table 4: Manual integration list

In partnership with:

western power







### 6 **DSO Platform**

The Project Symphony Functional and Non-Functional Requirements<sup>10</sup> (*Project Requirements*) document defines the requirements to deliver a Distributed System Operator (DSO) Platform for the Project Symphony Pilot. This section of the document:

- Assesses how well the as-built implementation of the DSO Platform satisfies the documented requirements; and,
- Provides learnings to inform how the solution and its constituent parts may be scaled to deliver an enterprise DSO Platform solution.

#### 6.1 DSO Platform Requirements

The as-built DSO Platform was assessed against how well it meets the *Project Requirements*, including:

- **DSO Design Principles:** High-level business requirements the DSO Platform needs to satisfy to align with Western Power's values, policies, and operating procedures.
- **Solution Architecture Principles:** High-level requirements defined to align the DSO Platform build with Western Power's internal enterprise architecture, technical standards and policies that are based on industry best practice.<sup>11</sup>
- **DSO Platform Functional Requirements:** Detailed solution requirement statements detailing the functional capabilities the platform requires to support DSO functions<sup>12</sup> under the Hybrid model.<sup>13</sup>
- **DSO Platform Non-functional Requirements:** Constraints and expectations the solution will need to meet to be deemed fit-for-purpose.

#### 6.2 DSO Platform Delivery Approach

Project Symphony's objectives, deliverables and constraints influenced the approach taken to deliver the DSO Platform. Key aspects of the Project that shaped the DSO Platform delivery approach include:

 Project Scope. To achieve the Project purpose - understanding how the opportunities and challenges of increasing DER can be managed to ensure a reliable, secure, and affordable electricity system<sup>14</sup> - the DSO Platform needs to support the execution of the four 'must-have' scenarios end-to-end,







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> <u>Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements</u>, Electricity Networks Corporation, February 2022, Section 3 <sup>11</sup> This includes but is not limited to the Western Powers ICT Governance Standard (2017), Enterprise Architecture Standard (2015), and Cyber Security Standards.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> <u>EA Technology, Open Energy Networks Report</u>, July 2019, Pg. 23.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Interim Report: Required Capabilities and Recommended Actions, AEMO and Energy Networks Australia, July 2019, pgs. 21-22.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Project Symphony Project Management Plan, pg. 10-11



- **Timeframe.** To deliver within the Project Symphony Timeline and provide inputs to other Western Australian Government DER Roadmap projects<sup>15</sup> The project is expected to publish timely Pilot learnings to inform necessary changes to regulations and market rules to support a two-way power flow electricity grid that allows for better integration of customer DER; and,
- Learning Focus. Project Symphony is focused on testing, documenting, and sharing learnings amassed from the Pilot to inform how aggregated DER can participate in future energy markets.

Western Power conducted an options appraisal to determine the best approach for delivering the DSO Platform to support Project Symphony objectives. Evaluation criteria included:

- **Project Requirements**. How the option supported Western Power in meeting its commitments under Project Symphony, including timeframe and scope,
- **Pilot Test and Learn Strategy**. Whether the option allowed for 1) incremental evolution in response to Pilot learnings and input from subject matter experts, and 2) retention of technology, learnings and/or other intellectual property,
- **Delivery of Requirements.** How well the option delivered the requirements outlined in Section 3 of the *Project Requirements*; and,
- Longevity. Whether the option could be reused more broadly within Western Power.

After considering the available options, it was decided to procure multiple functionally discrete solutions, including a Pilot Dynamic Operating Envelope (DOE) calculation solution and integrating with modules sourced from existing Western Power systems. This approach was assessed as meeting most of the evaluation criteria, including the ability to:

- Calculate DOEs in support of key functional requirements,
- Integrate with DSO systems and provide options for integrating with DMO and Aggregator Platform solutions,
- Evolve in response to learnings as part of the Project's test and learn strategy and input from subject matter experts; and,
- Deliver within Project Symphony timeframes.

Appendix B: DSO Module Diagram shows a detailed diagram of the DSO Platform solution, with new Modules sourced, built and/or implemented by Project Symphony highlighted in green.

As the options appraisal focused on selecting a solution to deliver a DSO Platform specifically to conduct the Project Symphony Pilot, options that satisfied *Project Requirements* and *Pilot Test and Learn Strategy* criteria were favoured over other options. Therefore, it was understood from the outset that some of the modules used to build the Pilot DSO Platform may not be fit-for-purpose in the long-term.<sup>16</sup>







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> <u>DER Roadmap</u>. <u>Distributed Energy Resources Roadmap Two-year Progress report (www.wa.gov.au)</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup><u>Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements</u>, Western Power, pg. 35 states "the solution described in this document may not be the best solution for delivering a DSO Platform long-term. A revised set of assessment criteria will be developed as part of project learnings to inform any future evaluation of technology to support the wider delivery of DSO functions."



#### 6.3 DSO Platform 'As-built' Solution Overview

#### 6.3.1 Solution Modules

To meet the requirements, the Pilot DSO Platform was developed using Western Power's existing information, communication, and technology (ICT) systems and complementing new applications only where necessary. In summary, the Pilot DSO Platform can be conceptually described as six integrated modules:

- **Network and Environment Monitoring**: Receives and processes network and environment<sup>17</sup> monitoring information from the local distribution network in support of DSO Platform functions.
- **Data Processing**: Contains Modules that organise, store, and manage Pilot DSO Platform data, including, the Network Module details and operating constraints.
- **DOE Calculator:** Contains Modules to support the calculation and allocation of DOEs.
- Analysis and Reporting: Contains modules to support reporting and analysis activities,
- **Data Exchange Service:** This area contains modules that support the secure exchange of information between the DSO Platform, Aggregator and DMO Platforms. Consequently, this area is critical to DSO Platform integration with DMO and Aggregator platforms in support of a holistic system that can be used to execute the 'must-have' scenarios, end-to-end.
- **Battery Service**: Provides a gateway to control the grid connected Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS). The gateway will allow the Aggregator to control the grid connected BESS in support of VPP operations within the bounds of safe operating parameters defined by the DSO. Furthermore, Western Power Network Operations Control Centre (NOCC) will analyse various parameters of the BESS and have priority control to decide on a course of action for the BESS.

The diagram in figure 4, presents the conceptual model of the DSO Platform that was proposed in the Project Requirements. Appendix B displays the as built DSO Platform Module diagram and demonstrates how the conceptual modules were implemented. Lastly, Appendix C, explains how the as-built modules were measured against both functional and non-functional requirements.







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Environmental monitoring includes weather data, such as temperature, wind strength and precipitation, and solar irradiance data.





Figure 4: DSO Platform

#### 6.3.2 Information Technology (IT) Environments

Four different IT virtual environments were created to host the development and testing of the DSO Platform. These environments are:

- Development: Support the development of software Modules used to manage Project Symphony data and integration with established Modules and partner systems,
- Test: Supports the system testing of software Modules,
- Cross-Test (X-Test): Support the end-to-end cross-project parties testing of the DSO, Aggregator and DMO solutions (this environment – including the data – is kept in a comparable state to the "pilot environment" for fast tracking issues arising during test & learn),
- Pilot<sup>18</sup>: Used throughout the stability period to execute the 'must-have' scenarios, with data collected and analysed as part of test and learn activities.

Some Modules had more environments established than others to cater for different development and testing requirements. The following table details the DSO Platform environments by a high-level component:







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> This environment name is also known as "Trial" and is akin to a "Production" environment.



| D <sup>19</sup> | Module                             | Development<br>(DEV) | Test | X-<br>TEST | Pilot |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|------|------------|-------|
| C-1             | DSO Data processing                | Yes                  | Yes  | Yes        | Yes   |
| C-2             | Network and Environment Monitoring |                      | Yes  |            | Yes   |
| C-3             | DOE Calculator                     | Yes                  | Yes  | Yes        | Yes   |
| C-4             | Analysis and Reporting             | Yes*                 | Yes  | Yes*       | Yes   |
| I-5 & I-        | Data Exchange Service              |                      |      | Yes        | Yes   |
| 13              |                                    |                      |      |            |       |
| C-6             | Battery Service                    |                      |      |            | Yes   |

\*Only available for reporting not for historical analysis.

Table 5: Environments by high-level modules

#### 6.4 DSO Platform 'As-built' Assessment Methodology

The as-built DSO Platform was qualitatively assessed with respect to the project requirements by area. Two types of assessments were conducted by the DSO platform:

- 1. A detailed assessment on how the Modules meet individual requirement statements, available in appendix C; and
- 2. A high-level qualitative assessment based on the outputs from a workshop attended by DSO Project Symphony Team Members<sup>20</sup> against the criteria in Table 66:

| Requirement<br>Area    | Assessment Description   | Assessment Rating  |
|------------------------|--|--|
| Technical<br>Readiness | How well the solution has progressed to<br>support the readiness of Aggregator<br>DER orchestration. | <i>Green</i> : System tested, ready & operational.<br><i>Amber</i> : System / sub-system requires some<br>development / Technology demonstration.<br><i>Red</i> : Technology yet to be developed,<br>Research required to prove feasibility and/or<br>Basic technology research. |







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See diagram and table in Appendix B – DSO Module Diagram for a more detailed description of each Module

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> As-built Solution Evaluation Workshop held at Western Power Wellington St office on the 16/11/2022, attended by Western Power Project Symphony team members.



|                 | -   |   |
|-----------------|---|---|
| Project /       | How well the solution area meets the  | Green: Meets relevant mandatory project   |
| Functional /    | relevant functional and non-functional  | Functional / Non- Functional requirements.  |
| Non- Functional | requirements (including the Design and  | May not meet desirable requirements.  |
| Assessment      | Architectural Principles) detailed in the<br>Project Requirements <sup>21</sup> .                             | <b>Amber</b> : Does not meet key mandatory<br>Functional / Non- Functional requirements, but<br>there is potential to modify and/or extend the<br>module Modules to meet all mandatory<br>requirements.   |
|                 |   | <b>Red</b> : Does not meet key mandatory project<br>Functional / Non- Functional requirements,<br>with major changes required, such as the<br>replacement and/or redevelopment of one or<br>more solution Modules.  |
| Overall         | How well the solution has progressed to   | Green: Meets relevant project outcomes.   |
| Assessment      | communicate with the DMO and<br>aggregator platforms to meet the<br>relevant project outcomes <sup>22</sup> . | Amber: Does not meet relevant project<br>outcomes, but there is potential to modify<br>and/or extend the module to meet.<br>Red: Does not meet relevant project<br>outcomes, with major changes required, such<br>as the replacement and/or redevelopment of<br>one or more solution modules. |

Table 6: DSO Platform 'As-built' Assessment Methodology

#### 6.5 Evaluation of the DSO As-Built Platform against the requirements

The following sections describe each of the solution modules and provides a relative assessment of each module, using the method described in section 6.4 <u>Appendix C: DSO Requirements Mapped</u> to <u>Modules</u> contains a detailed map of all requirements contained in Section 3.5 of the Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements document to the Module that delivers the requirement.

The colour code status (Red, Amber and Green) used in this assessment correlate to what extent the modules cover the requirements. Table 1 provides the evaluation of the assessment against Technical, Functional and Non-Functional Requirements.

| Table 1: Evaluation of the DSC | As-Built against the | requirements |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|

| DSO Module                            | Technical Readiness | Functional / Non-<br>Functional Requirement | Overall Assessment |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------------|
| Network and Environment<br>Monitoring | GREEN •             | GREEN •                                     | GREEN •            |

<sup>21</sup> Project Requirements, section 3.2







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Project Symphony Vision and Impact Pathway, section 3.5

Our energy future

| DSO Module             | Technical Readiness | Functional / Non-<br>Functional Requirement | Overall Assessment |
|------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------------|
| DSO Data Processing    | GREEN •             | GREEN •                                     | GREEN •            |
| DOE Calculator         | GREEN •             | AMBER •                                     | AMBER •            |
| Analysis and Reporting | GREEN •             | GREEN •                                     | GREEN •            |
| Data Exchange Service  | GREEN •             | AMBER •                                     | GREEN •            |
| Battery Service        | GREEN •             | GREEN •                                     | GREEN •            |

Table 7: Evaluation of the DSO As-Built against the requirements

Table 8 provides the rationale for the RAG scoring provided in the table above. Table 2: Assessment Rationale of the DSO solution

| DSO Module                               | Technical Readiness<br>Assessment Narrative   | Functional Requirement Assessment Narrative   |
|--|---|---|
| Network and<br>Environment<br>Monitoring | <ul> <li>The DSO Platform has<br/>implemented a monitoring<br/>architecture to collect,<br/>store and manage<br/>telemetry and environment<br/>data.</li> <li>Storage and management<br/>of data has been<br/>optimised through the use<br/>of discrete solutions to<br/>ensure efficient collection<br/>of data.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Feeder, DSTR and Service Connection data<br/>have all been successfully recorded and stored<br/>with the required granularity.</li> <li>High speed recording data is available.</li> <li>Weather data has been successfully stored with<br/>the required history, granularity and availability.</li> </ul>   |
| DSO Data<br>Processing                   | <ul> <li>The DSO Data Processing<br/>Module organises, stores<br/>and manages the<br/>collected data, making it<br/>available to other modules<br/>and partner platforms.</li> <li>Integration points have<br/>been established to allow<br/>for the exchange of data<br/>with partner platforms, and<br/>the module stores two<br/>weeks of monitoring and<br/>output data in the<br/>operational database.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Requirements for network components, connections, constraints, and service connections have been met. The DSO platform has managed network models, refreshed them, and tracked changes in the model, outage info and planned outages.</li> <li>Additionally, it has provided registration data for facilities, service connections and DERs, as well as market service information, service requests, and dispatch.</li> </ul> |







Our energy future

| DSO Module                | Technical Readiness<br>Assessment Narrative   | Functional Requirement Assessment Narrative   |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| DOE<br>Calculator         | <ul> <li>The DOE Calculator<br/>module, implemented on<br/>the Evolve Platform, can<br/>accurately calculate and<br/>allocate DOEs to NMI's.</li> <li>The DOE Calculator<br/>module is able to identify<br/>network constraints and<br/>trigger processes for<br/>provisioning and dispatch<br/>of NSS DSO, DMO and<br/>Aggregator.</li> <li>DOEs are calculated daily<br/>and 'default' DOEs are<br/>published for each NMI at<br/>short notice in cases of<br/>network changes.</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>The relevant functional requirements have been met. Functionality was implemented to provide transformer level DOE allocation and other related capabilities.</li> <li>There was a potential to modify or extend the module to meet further requirements such as Network Analysis, DOE Calculator Notification Configuration, DOE Calculation in Response to Incidents and Outages, Load Flow Analysis - Output, Optimal Allocation, Load Forecasting.</li> </ul>  |
| Analysis and<br>Reporting | <ul> <li>The solution was<br/>effectively implemented by<br/>providing timely reports to<br/>support operational<br/>decision making and the<br/>ability to validate<br/>hypotheses as part of a<br/>Test and Learn strategy.</li> <li>The technology readiness<br/>of Aggregator DER<br/>orchestration has been<br/>progressed by the<br/>provision of analytical<br/>capabilities to support<br/>longer-term planning.</li> <li>The DSO Platform<br/>provides a suite of<br/>reporting and service<br/>verification tools, ensuring<br/>accuracy and compliance<br/>with DOEs, as well as<br/>NSS delivery for<br/>settlement.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>All relevant functional requirements have been met, such as DOE Compliance, Report Creation, Report Management, Schedule Report Publication, Data Visualization, Standard Derivations and Measures, Data Quality Reports, Ad-hoc Data Analysis, Ad-hoc Data Visualisation, Additional Data, Logical Separation of Activities, Data Retention and Identified NSS Constraints - Output.</li> <li>A sufficient level of functionality has been achieved with all of relevant requirements, providing users with the ability to create and manage reports, schedule their publication, visualise data, calculate standard derivations and measures, and analyse data.</li> <li>Features was delivered such as data quality reports, ad-hoc data analysis, ad-hoc data visualisation, additional data, logical separation of activities and data retention, to ensure that all requirements are met.</li> </ul> |







Our energy future

| DSO Module                  | Technical Readiness<br>Assessment Narrative   | Functional Requirement Assessment Narrative   |
|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Data<br>Exchange<br>Service | The Data Exchange<br>Service module has<br>allowed for the successful<br>exchange of information<br>between partner platforms,<br>such as published facility<br>registrations, DOE files,<br>and NSS requests, to<br>support the execution of<br>'must-have' project<br>scenarios.  | <ul> <li>The functional requirement capabilities were met to receive, publish and exchange additional files.</li> <li>There was the potential for some modules to be modified or extended to ensure the module strictly follows the IEEE 2030.5 standard by exploring alternative integrations without causing performance or storage issues.</li> </ul>  |
| Battery<br>Service          | <ul> <li>The DSO Platform has<br/>successfully implemented<br/>a Battery Service module,<br/>providing detailed data on<br/>BESS functions and<br/>allowing Aggregators to<br/>monitor and use the<br/>battery as part of a VPP.</li> <li>It has enabled integration<br/>of the BESS with the<br/>DSOs SCADA Distribution<br/>Management System and<br/>real-time data historian,<br/>allowing Network<br/>Operations to take control<br/>of the BESS in case of<br/>emergency.</li> <li>The DSO Platform has<br/>progressed the technology<br/>readiness of DER<br/>orchestration, providing a<br/>comprehensive solution to<br/>facilitate the deployment of<br/>energy storage systems.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>The DSO Platform has met the relevant<br/>functional requirement capabilities of Aggregator<br/>Control, Aggregator Visibility, DMO HSDR<br/>Visibility, DMO BESS Visibility, HSDR Data<br/>Sharing Transactions, DSO Control and<br/>Prioritisation of Control.</li> <li>The successful implementation of the SCADA<br/>RTU allowed the DSO to take full control of the<br/>BESS to monitor and manage the end of a<br/>BESS lease agreement.</li> <li>Overall, the DSO Platform has met the relevant<br/>functional requirement capabilities effectively.</li> </ul> |

Table 8: Assessment Rationale of the DSO solution

Table 9 provides the rationale for the RAG scoring provided in the table above with regards to the non-functional requirements. Only the mandatory non-functional requirements have been addressed in this table.







Our energy future

| RID | Non-functional<br>Requirement                       | Assessment Summary   |  |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 98  | User Management                                     | <ul> <li>User accounts for both DSO Web-UI and reporting modules are<br/>managed via Active Directory (AD). Web-UI roles are also<br/>governed by AD roles. DOE calculator cloud service not covered<br/>by single sign-on / federated AD.</li> </ul>  |  |
| 99  | Compliance with<br>Australian Privacy<br>Principles | <ul> <li>No confidential or personal information is stored in the Symphony<br/>Database of The DSO Platform, meeting the necessary non-<br/>functional requirements.</li> </ul>  |  |
| 100 | Cloud Risk<br>Assessment                            | <ul> <li>Cloud risk assessments have been conducted for all cloud<br/>services that are currently operational in the DSO platform. Some<br/>services were classified as "CONTAIN" which means these were<br/>acceptable to be used in the context of the Symphony Pilot<br/>project, but cannot be used as-is for wider Western Power BAU<br/>purposes without further work to be done to address the risks<br/>identified.</li> </ul> |  |
| 101 | Virus and Malware<br>Detection                      | The DSO Platform has met its non-functional requirements, as<br>cloud software is regularly monitored for potential attacks, and the<br>on-premises Virtual Machines are continually scanned for signs of<br>malware or viruses as part of the DSO's infrastructure services.  |  |
| 102 | SSDLC   | <ul> <li>The DSO Platform Modules have been designed and created<br/>according to Secure Software Development Lifecycle processes<br/>and principles and have met all necessary non-functional<br/>requirements. To ensure the highest level of security, a<br/>Penetration Test was conducted to identify any potential<br/>vulnerabilities and weaknesses.</li> </ul>  |  |
| 103 | Environment<br>Provisioning                         | <ul> <li>Multiple environments have been set up to support the project's different activities to be run in parallel. Refer to section 6.3.2 for more information.</li> <li>Release management, Testing Tool, Support, and Maintenance modules have met the non-functional needs.</li> </ul>  |  |
| 104 | Test Environment<br>Equivalence                     | <ul> <li>This requirement has been met through establishment and<br/>maintenance of a lower environment equivalent of the pilot<br/>environment. Refer to section 6.3.2 – The X-SIT environment is on<br/>stand-by to reproduce issues found in the "Pilot environment".</li> </ul>  |  |
| 118 | Data Provenance                                     | <ul> <li>All modules (DSO Platform, DOE Calculator, Analysis &amp;<br/>Reporting) keep basic records of when input data was received.</li> </ul>   |  |

Table 9: Non-Functional Assessment Rationale of the DSO solution









#### 6.6 DSO Platform Build Lessons

The following sections highlight early project lessons identified during the DSO Platform delivery phase of the project. They have been grouped under four sections:

- **Build to facilitate Test and Learn:** Issues and/or areas that impacted the enablement of Test and Learn for the DSO Platform.
- Ability to Scale: Issues and/or areas to be addressed to support future scalability of a DSO Platform,
- **Process Improvement:** Identified areas where DSO capabilities require review and improvement to support a future DSO; and,
- **Maturity and Supportability:** Issues and/or areas to consider that impact future support and management of the DSO Platform as-built solution.

#### 6.6.1 Build to facilitate Test and Learn

Issues and/or areas that impacted the enablement of Test and Learn for the DSO Platform.

| No. | Торіс                  | Benefit / Barrier   | Outcome / Lesson  |
|-----|------------------------|---|---|
| 1   | Symphony Network Model | Benefit<br>During the earlier stages of the Test<br>and Learn (T&L) phase of the Pilot, it<br>became apparent that the DOE<br>resulting from spare hosting capacity<br>was higher than the total capacity of<br>the DER assets. This prevented the<br>Aggregator from successfully<br>demonstrating that DER could remain<br>within the DOEs while providing<br>market services.<br>The Symphony network model,<br>enabled the project team to artificially<br>make changes to the network ratings,<br>such as lowering the distribution<br>transformer (DSTR) and cable<br>impedance ratings, without impacting<br>Western Power's business as usual<br>network model. | Outcome:<br>Alterations to the Pilot network<br>model's import side of the network<br>ratings generated the expected DOE<br>constraints and allowed testing of<br>DOE compliance for export. However,<br>these changes led to unforeseen DOE<br>compliance problems as a rules-<br>based DOE QR Checker failed<br>because the Import Ratings were too<br>low, causing DOEs to not be<br>published until the rule was disabled.<br><b>Lesson</b> :<br>Keeping the Symphony network<br>model isolated from the Western<br>Power BAU Network Model will allow<br>the project to reach its Test and Learn<br>objectives. It is recommended to<br>consider Test Objectives during the<br>platform requirements phase of the<br>project to allow flexibility in the future. |
|     |                        | <b>Benefit:</b><br>The DSO platform developed it's own<br>network model that allowed it to enter<br>certain DER assets, for example<br>Symphony BESS, manually without<br>impacting the BAU procesess.  | <b>Lesson</b> :<br>To avoid breached DOE compliance<br>on the export side in the future, the<br>network model could be altered to<br>feature two different ratings (one for   |








|   |   |  | import and one for export) to avoid   |
|---|---|--|---|
| - |   |  | potential future consequences.  |
| 2 | Alignment to Enterprise<br>supported technology and<br>support models | <b>Benefit:</b><br>The DSO's approach in developing<br>the solution modules enabled the<br>DSO Platform to focus on the Design<br>principles "Learning over Obstacles",<br>as well as "Minimum Viable Product"<br>to obtain the learning outcomes<br>faster.   | Outcome:<br>The DSO Platform established its own<br>Support and Maintenance team to<br>manage technical assistance<br>requests, troubleshooting issues, and<br>new feature requests/enhancements<br>according to its Service Level<br>Agreements.   |
|   |   | <b>Barrier:</b><br>The Pilot DSO Platform was designed<br>and built operationally independent<br>from core Western Power BAU<br>business systems and processes. As<br>a result, Western Power BAU Support<br>Process did not support several<br>technologies included in the 'as-built'<br>DSO Platform. | Lesson<br>It is important to ensure that business<br>systems and process are<br>operationally independent to not<br>impact enterprise technologies during<br>the Pilot, as well as ensure that the<br>governance regarding corporate and<br>technology strategy, assumptions,<br>business requirements and design<br>principles deliver the desired<br>outcomes. This requires careful<br>consideration on how the modules<br>can be deployed quickly to acquire<br>the learnings, whilst allowing for<br>future growth and support from<br>Maintenance and Support teams as<br>time progresses past the Pilot. |
| 3 | Dependency<br>management  | <b>Barrier:</b><br>Parallel technology build and<br>commercial framework development<br>had been done in order to ensure<br>that the technology build was<br>completed in time for the summer<br>testing period.   | Outcome: Difference in the technical<br>solution that was built vs the<br>commercial framework agreed by the<br>DSO and Aggregator for validation of<br>NSS compliance.<br>Lesson:<br>Complete key commercial framework<br>items prior to the commencement of<br>technology design and consider a co-<br>design approach between technology<br>and commercial teams going forward.  |
| 4 | Analysis and Reporting<br>Tools                                       | <b>Barrier:</b><br>Project Symphony's data output<br>included intricate and varied datasets<br>which could not be supported by<br>existing Enterpris <b>e</b> Data Analytics<br>Platform tools alone for Test and<br>Learn Hypotheses test cases.  | Outcome:<br>A corporate server Python<br>environment and the associated data<br>scientist libraries were needed for the<br>analysis of large time series datasets.<br>Lesson:<br>When first starting a project involving<br>large data sets, it will be important to  |









|  | consider the capabilities of data         |
|--|---|
|  | analytics toolsets in terms of their size |
|  | and granularity to support the            |
|  | necessary statistical analysis. It is     |
|  | essential to evaluate these tools early   |
|  | on in the process.                        |

Table 10: Build to facilitate Test and Learn Lessons

### 6.6.2 Ability to Scale

The Pilot DSO Platform was designed and built with an objective to deliver Learning Outcomes and as such, components of the design and build may not scale.

Issues and/or areas to be addressed to support future scalability of a DSO Platform.

Table 3: Ability to Scale Lessons

| No. | Торіс  | Benefit / Barrier   | Outcome / Lesson   |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 1   | Functional Aspect to<br>Scaling the DOE<br>Calculator Module | <ul> <li>Barrier:<br/>The development of the Pilot was centred<br/>around a single urban 22kV distribution feeder<br/>from the Southern River Zone Substation<br/>named "SNR 540". Characteristics of this<br/>network included:</li> <li>High penetration of grid-connected<br/>solar photovoltaic power systems,</li> <li>Large percentage of modern<br/>dwellings,</li> <li>Serviced by majority underground<br/>power infrastructure,</li> <li>Low number of commercial service<br/>connections or HV meters,</li> <li>High level of advance metering<br/>infrastructure (AMI); and,</li> </ul> | Outcome<br>The acquired knowledge<br>provided a pathway forward to<br>inform the potential for broader<br>deployment of VPP and DER<br>orchestration across the SWIS.<br>Lesson:<br>When developing a module to<br>calculate DOE's, it is important<br>to ensure that it is transferrable<br>to different networks and can be<br>used in a variety of contexts, for<br>example, low AMI penetration,<br>rural properties, and above<br>ground HV and LV networks.<br>The key learning is that when |
|     |  | Subsequently, modules developed and tested<br>for the DSO Platform during the Pilot may not<br>be easily transferable to different physical<br>network topology due to the dependence on<br>AMI meters and other characteristics specific<br>to SNR540, which vary between networks.  | testing a DSO platform,<br>consideration should be given to<br>the specific characteristics of<br>the network it is being tested on<br>and its potential implications for<br>transferability.  |
|     |  | <b>Benefit:</b><br>Focusing on SNR540 feeder enabled the<br>project to speed up the process of acquiring<br>knowledge.  | <b>Lesson:</b><br>Before scaling, it will be<br>important to evaluate different<br>network topologies and<br>characteristics.  |









| 2 | Load Forecasting | Barrier:  | Outcome:                          |
|---|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
|   |                  | The solution delivered relied on the DOE            | Inaccurate forecasting of NSS     |
|   |                  | Calculator Module load forecaster (at NMI           | calls can lead to increased       |
|   |                  | level) for:   | financial costs and operational   |
|   |                  | DOE calculations: Load forecast was                 | inefficiencies, which could       |
|   |                  | crucial for determining the available               | negatively impact the business    |
|   |                  | network capacity, which was used for                | and network.                      |
|   |                  | DOE calculations,                                   | To mitigate this risk, a manual   |
|   |                  | Network Support Service (NSS)                       | work around solution was          |
|   |                  | dispatch; Load forecast was used for                | implemented that relied on rules  |
|   |                  | determining whether an NSS dispatch                 | based on temperature forecast.    |
|   |                  | was required – the capacity (MW),                   | This solution required DSO        |
|   |                  | quantity (MWh) and timing (start and                | Platform users to check the       |
|   |                  | end times)  | weather forecast for              |
|   |                  |   | temperatures above 35 degrees     |
|   |                  | However, the quality of the forecast at lower       | Celsius and a minimum of 20       |
|   |                  | network segments such as NMI or Distribution        | degrees Celsius.                  |
|   |                  | Transformer Level was not accurate enough           |                                   |
|   |                  | especially during sudden weather changes            | Lesson:                           |
|   |                  | and very hot days, particularly for the Network     | Accurate forecasting of load or   |
|   |                  | Support Services dispatch.                          | demand is essential to make       |
|   |                  |   | informed decisions and ensure     |
|   |                  |   | operational efficiency going      |
|   |                  |   | forward. Prior to scaling, define |
|   |                  |   | the level of accuracy required    |
|   |                  |   | and test the forecast models for  |
|   |                  |   | periods of sudden weather         |
|   |                  |   | changes and very hot and cold     |
|   |                  |   | days in the future.               |
| 3 | DOE Calculation  | Barrier:  | Outcome:                          |
|   |                  | The Evolve Platform had calculated and              | An "exception" path had been      |
|   |                  | allocated the publication of Dynamic                | created to enable the             |
|   |                  | Operating Envelope's (DOE) for the Pilot. Due       | implementation of a short         |
|   |                  | to the need to transfer large amounts of data       | notice/ Default Operating         |
|   |                  | from the DSO Data Processing module to the          | Envelope (OE) in the event of     |
|   |                  | Evolve Platform, and carrying out multiple          | outages, both planned and         |
|   |                  | power flows to assess and assign the entire         | unplanned allowing, the           |
|   |                  | DOE set (72 hours), including a high number         | Symphony engineer to publish      |
|   |                  | of DER participating, a considerable amount         | the DOE in a timely manner.       |
|   |                  | of processing time had been required,               | Evaluation is required to         |
|   |                  | resulting in the following scalability limitations: | compare the DOE Calculator        |
|   |                  | • As the acquisition of data can take up            | Module against the default/static |
|   |                  | to two hours and current computing                  | seasonal Operating envelope to    |
|   |                  | power needed to assess, calculate                   | determine the best approach in    |
|   |                  | and assign the entire DOE set (72-                  |                                   |
|   |                  | hour forecast) for the network in the               |                                   |
|   |                  | Pilot area requires up to 1 hour of                 |                                   |
|   |                  | processing time, this module did not                |                                   |
|   |                  | allow for easy recalculation in                     |                                   |









|    |                     | response to deviations from forecasts,<br>network outages and unplanned<br>switching | maintaining DOE accuracy and reducing network risk.            |
|----|---------------------|--|--|
|    |                     | <ul> <li>In addition, it had been unlikely that</li> </ul>                           | l osson:   |
|    |                     | In addition, it had been unlikely that     the other parts of the DOE extended       | Lesson.  |
|    |                     | the other parts of the DOE calculation   | it is essential property design                                |
|    |                     | process were reasible for a larger   | and architect the solution to be                               |
|    |                     | solution. For example, 72-hour DOE   | able to scale up and enable                                    |
|    |                     | forecast time period would mean that   | DOE computations to be done                                    |
|    |                     | calculations would have needed to be   | simultaneously for each feeder.                                |
|    |                     | done on separate versions of the   |  |
|    |                     | Symphony Network Model to take into  | It is recommended to assess                                    |
|    |                     | account scheduled and unplanned  | other possible ways to improve                                 |
|    |                     | outages in other networks.   | the speed of DOE computations<br>without compromising accuracy |
|    |                     | Benefit:   | and/or network security. For                                   |
|    |                     | By having implemented the default DOE  | example, calculating and                                       |
|    |                     | process, including custom static values,   | publishing DOEs at shorter                                     |
|    |                     | unplanned outages were guickly identified.   | periods more frequently with                                   |
|    |                     | allowing the Symphony engineer to publish  | lower Data Exchange or partial                                 |
|    |                     | the correct DOE and maintain network   | re-calculations will result in                                 |
|    |                     | stability.   | better forecast input, and                                     |
|    |                     | 5  | network model accuracy in the                                  |
|    |                     | Benefit:   | future.  |
|    |                     | Additionally, the default DOE process that had                                       |  |
|    |                     | been implemented enabled the Aggregator to   |  |
|    |                     | recruit customers outside of the original Pilot                                      |  |
|    |                     | Area in order to meet customer and DER   |  |
|    |                     | asset targets whilst still receiving a DOE.  |  |
| 4  | Analysis and Report | Barrier:   | Outcome:   |
| l' |                     | The challenge of managing the amount and   | The DSO Platform users had                                     |
|    |                     | complexity of data Project Symphony  | been unable to obtain all the                                  |
|    |                     | generated for each partner, along with the lack                                      | necessary strategic and  |
|    |                     | of adequate tools and resources to carry out   | operational performance  |
|    |                     | Service Verification activities created a barrier                                    | insights from the data without                                 |
|    |                     | to developing an information architecture  | using intermediary applications                                |
|    |                     | cuitable for a larger DSO Platform. The  | (other than the Western Power                                  |
|    |                     | Suitable for a larger DSO Flatform. The  | (other than the western Fower                                  |
|    |                     | to apply support advanced statistical  | additional work and recourses                                  |
|    |                     | to easily support advanced statistical   | additional work and resources                                  |
|    |                     | lectifiques for large datasets.  | being required.  |
|    |                     | Benefit:   | Lesson:  |
|    |                     | The reuse of existing Western Power  | Significant resourcing will be                                 |
|    |                     | reporting tools and adequate monitoring of   | needed in Data Warehouse                                       |
|    |                     | DOE and NSS compliance for the Pilot   | design, Data Engineering and                                   |
|    |                     | through the Reporting area had ensured that,   | Data Analysis to maximise the                                  |
|    |                     | should the DSO platform scale, transitioning   | business and operational                                       |
|    |                     | the reports to enterprise teams to maintain  |  |
|    |                     | and support will be easy.  |  |
|    |                     |  |  |









|  | benefits from advanced data<br>analysis. |
|--|--|
|  | Lesson:                                  |
|  | When aiming to expand the                |
|  | solution, the architecture must          |
|  | take in account the need for             |
|  | Reporting, medium and long-              |
|  | term analysis, metrics, and key          |
|  | performance indicators that will         |
|  | be reported.                             |

Table 11: Ability to Scale Lessons

### 6.6.3 Process Improvement

Identified areas where DSO capabilities require review and improvement to support a future DSO.

| Table | 4. | Process  | Improvement Lessons |
|-------|----|----------|---------------------|
| rubic | т. | 11000033 |                     |

| No. | Торіс               | Benefit / Barrier  | Outcome / Lesson   |
|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| 1   | AMI Data<br>Quality | Barrier:<br>Utilising a headend system that was not<br>created for telemetry data, the initial<br>applications are more tolerant to<br>communication interruptions and data<br>losses.<br>Benefit:<br>The DSO Platform improved the 3-phase<br>meter accuracy and reliability of data<br>collected from service connections, which<br>in turn improved load forecasting and<br>service verification (DOE and NSS). | Outcome:<br>The DSO platform is taking steps to<br>investigate and resolve the component<br>that is causing permanent PQ data loss.<br>However, there is still a risk of not being<br>able to verify DOE and NSS complaince<br>and a potential decrease in the accuracy<br>of load forecasting until the issue is<br>resolved.<br>Lesson:<br>It is suggested that further investigation<br>should be carried out to determine whether<br>non-contestable customers should also<br>move towards a 5-minute settlement in the<br>future to help with the settlement process,<br>as well as adhere to DOE and NSS<br>compliance. This suggestion is in line with<br>the plans in place in Western Australia<br>about transitioning towards a 5-minutes<br>settlement for contestable customers. |
| 2   | DOE<br>Compliance   | <b>Barrier:</b><br>The DOE Compliance Process had used<br>AMI data to compare to DOEs for each<br>interval and measure compliance. The<br>processtook into account the number of<br>NMIs that exceeded DOEs, the number of<br>times DOEs were exceeded, how long   | <b>Outcome:</b><br>DOE compliance was adequately<br>measured using AMI data. Additional<br>consideration was required to assess<br>regulatory approval for policies or   |









|   |                                       | DOEs were exceeded, and the percentage<br>of DOEs breached. The resultswere<br>reported from the perspective of the NMI<br>and formatted to be shared with the<br>Aggregator.<br>Challengeshad been experienced in<br>establishing systems to accurately monitor<br>and report on compliance in a way that is<br>resilient to incomplete measurement data<br>and the potential measurement and time<br>differences between the Aggregator<br>measurement system (using an inverter<br>connected power meter at the NMI) and<br>DSO's measurement system (using the<br>revenue meter at the NMI).<br><b>Benefits:</b><br>Early indications through test dispatches<br>with a constrained network and binding<br>DOE limits demonstrated that compliance<br>performance was acceptable. | measures to apply when compliance was<br>consistently not met.<br>Lesson:<br>Careful planning and consideration are<br>necessary to ensure that a DOE<br>compliance system is resilient to<br>incomplete measurement data, differences<br>in measurement systems, and the<br>potential for measurement and time<br>differences between the Aggregator and<br>DSO systems when establishing and<br>implementing it in the future.  |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| 3 | DER Asset<br>Standing Data<br>Process | <ul> <li>Barrier:</li> <li>The DER Standing data created for Project Symphony was a combination of data collected via the Western Power's DER Register and Project Symphony's participating DER data, provided by the Aggregator. This is because the existing Western Power DER Register was deemed not fit for the purposes of the Pilot, both in terms of data timeliness and completeness, due to the following reasons: <ul> <li>The time difference between DER's being added, uprgraded and or removed did not align with the DSO Platform requirements for dispatch schedules in the load forecast.</li> <li>The requirements provided for the DER register only allowed for certain types of DER to be registered, not any type of controllable load.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | Outcome:<br>It was determined that in order to ensure<br>reliability, timeliness, and completeness of<br>data, a solution was implemented that<br>enabled the Aggregator to send the details<br>of the as-installed DER directly to the DSO<br>Platform for NMIs that had been<br>registered, rather than using the usual<br>BAU DSO/Western Power process to<br>inform the Symphony project. Additionally,<br>the manual process to enter DER assets<br>had to be to be defined in order for a larger<br>DSO platform.<br>Lesson:<br>The Pilot Asset Standing Data process is<br>deemed fit for the purpose of the Pilot,but<br>further improvement is required to<br>enhance the data collection processes to<br>be sufficient for DER Orchestration at<br>scale. Additionally, further analysis is<br>required to determine the best approach to<br>accurately record electric vehicle charger<br>data, and assess how these types of DER,<br>as well as other controllable DERs such as<br>Air-Con. Hot Water Systems and |









|   |                        |  | Swimming Pool Pumps, will affect the<br>available network capacity. This work is<br>expected to be undertaken through the<br>AEMO initiated proposal <sup>23</sup> to amend the<br>WEM Procedure: DER Register<br>Information to incorporate new DER Types<br>such as EVSE.  |
|---|------------------------|--|--|
|   | 4 Outage<br>Management | <ul> <li>Barrier:</li> <li>Western Power existing processes for managing and communicating outages on the low voltage network were not responsive enough for effectively managing VPP operations, due to the following reasons: <ul> <li>Outage windows were often overestimated in planning documents to provide field crews with flexibility,</li> <li>Some planned outages did not go ahead as planned for various reasons,</li> <li>much of the information related to planned outages was recorded in Western Power's systems in an unstructured format, including switching plans,</li> <li>Western Power's GIS derived LV model was based on the "as-built" model, not the "as-operated" model, resulting in mis-alignment to the actual network state.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Benefit:</li> <li>The DSO Platform had implemented the Outage Management Process for both planned and unplanned outages in order to preserve the steadiness of the network in the Pilot area. Almost in real time, outage information was utilised to warn the DSO Platform formation was utilised to warn the DSO Platform of major outages that could impact DOEs to ensure that the correct DOEs were published.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Outcome:<br/>The process was not practical for DSO larger scale operations as it did not allow for the early identification and management of network changes that impacted Virtual Power Platform operations.</li> <li>Lesson: <ul> <li>The DOE calculation period needs to be shortened, for example, every three hours in order to better consider planned and unplanned outages in the future. Currently, there is a 72 hour forecast window which does not account for the numerous network changes that may occur in that time.</li> <li>Investigating alternative solutions such as Network Model discovery through Neural Networks/Machine Learning or State Estimation could be done to improve accuracy of the network model, resulting in an optimised network and DOE performance.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Finally, combining the electrical network "as-switched" and "as-designed" data sources can provide a more comprehensive view of the system and the problems it will be facing. This can be especially useful when dealing with complex networks,</li> </ul> |
| ļ |                        | an innovative approach in combining "as-   | as it will allow for a clearer picture   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> https://www.aemo.com.au/consultations/current-and-closed-consultations/aepc\_2022\_02









|   |                 | switched" and "as-designed" data to get a    | of the current state of the network    |
|---|-----------------|--|--|
|   |                 | better understanding of the network model    | and address any potential issues       |
|   |                 | and the load created by the NMIs             | that may impact VPP                    |
|   |                 | connected to the PPilot area.                | orchestration.                         |
| 5 | Pilot Processes | Barrier:                                     | Lesson:                                |
|   |                 | Several processes and associated             | • Review the requirements,             |
|   |                 | modules had been implemented to enable       | information architecture and           |
|   |                 | data sharing for the purpose of enabling     | associated data models for their       |
|   |                 | testing and learning by all project partners | suitability at scale prior to scaling. |
|   |                 | with the intent to meet key project          | Wherever possible, align with a        |
|   |                 | objectives such as informing future scale.   | National Approach such as the          |
|   |                 | Thus, to inform scale some processes and     | adoption of IEEE 2030.5 and            |
|   |                 | integrations are not suitable to scale       | CSIP-AUS                               |
|   |                 | outside of the Pilot, these include:         | Consider a policy position on          |
|   |                 | • End-to-end NSS process; The                | whether customers will need to be      |
|   |                 | solution built did not support the           | part of a registered market            |
|   |                 | tracking and change of NSS calls,            | faciliities to access DOEs and/or      |
|   |                 | and the current data model made              | whether flexible exports can be        |
|   |                 | it challenging to validate NSS               | made available without market          |
|   |                 | provision when multiple requests             | participation.                         |
|   |                 | were made at the same time                   | Following this, implement a            |
|   |                 | intreval.                                    | separate mechanism, instead of         |
|   |                 | • Facility registration; The solution        | Facility registrations, for the DSO    |
|   |                 | built relied on Facility Registrations       | and Aggregators to identify            |
|   |                 | to identify customers that required          | customers that will require DOEs.      |
|   |                 | DOEs. This process assumed that              | Prepare the as-built modules for       |
|   |                 | participants were registered to              | production environments,               |
|   |                 | provide services in the market and           | removing single points of failure,     |
|   |                 | as such, did not allow for flexible          | automating system integerations        |
|   |                 | exports for non-market                       | and implementing exception             |
|   |                 | participants. The solution built may         | / handling for integration between     |
|   |                 | not have allowed for customers               | partners. This will ensure that the    |
|   |                 | who invest in expanded PV and                | system is able to handle               |
|   |                 | battery capacity as they would               | unexpected errors and maintain a       |
|   |                 | have been prevented from                     | reliable performance.                  |
|   |                 | dispatching above their static               |  |
|   |                 | inverter connection limit, except            |  |
|   |                 | when included by their Aggregator            |  |
|   |                 | in a facility, even though there may         |  |
|   |                 | be have been spare network                   |  |
|   |                 | hosting capacity.                            |  |
|   |                 | Robustness; Some parts of the                |  |
|   |                 | solution would have required                 |  |
|   |                 | further hardening to sustain them            |  |
|   |                 | beyond the Pilot. For example,               |  |
|   |                 | currently the DSO platform is still          |  |
|   |                 | in the "Pilot" enviroment, the               |  |
|   |                 | DSTR monitoring virtual machine              |  |
|   |                 | server was a single point of failure.        | ,                                      |









| manual system integrations were  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| not responsive enough in their   |  |
| current state and the DMO        |  |
| platform ignored NSS requests    |  |
| with Service ID's that they were |  |
| unaware of.                      |  |

Table 12: Process Improvement Lessons

## 6.6.4 Maturity and Supportability

Issues and/or areas to consider that impact future support and management of the DSO Platform as-built solution.

| Table | 5: | Maturitv | and | Sup | portabilit | v Lessons  |
|-------|----|----------|-----|-----|------------|------------|
| rabio | Ο. | watarity | ana | Oup | portaomi   | , _0000110 |

| No. | Торіс                 | Benefit / Barrier  | Outcome / Lesson  |
|-----|-----------------------|--|---|
| 1   | New Technology        | <b>Barrier:</b><br>The 'as-built' DSO Platform included<br>several technologies that were<br>relatively new to Western Power and<br>might not have been supported<br>beyond the Pilot in their current<br>state. These technologies included<br>physical network devices, data<br>platforms, and data routing<br>technology.   | Lesson:<br>It will be essential to develop an<br>enterprise transition plan at the outset<br>of the process when developing new<br>technologies in order to evaluate the<br>scalability, upkeep, and how to<br>progress beyond the Pilot phase.   |
| 2   | Data Exchange Service | <b>Barrier:</b><br>The Data Exchange Service used in<br>the PPilot was a product provided as<br>part of the DMO Platform Vendor. A<br>client was installed on the DMO,<br>DSO and Aggregator Platforms to<br>facilitate the use of this solution. The<br>solution required all parties to have<br>installed the same/correct version<br>(at the same time), with limited<br>backwards compatibility with major<br>releases. This created difficulty in<br>maintaining the solution as different<br>versions were released and<br>indicated that the solution may not<br>have been as reliable or secure as<br>more mature data transfer systems. | <ul> <li>Outcome:<br/>This Module did not meet the DSOs<br/>solution architecture principles for<br/>Containerised Application Architecture<br/>and Open Standards Integration. The<br/>requirement to upgrade simultaneously<br/>with partner organisations impeded the<br/>ability to upgrade independently.</li> <li>Lesson: <ul> <li>Early enaging, aligning and<br/>agreeing between project<br/>partners on critical technology<br/>touchpoints such as<br/>integration technology is<br/>recommended to ensure future<br/>sucess.</li> <li>When working with providers<br/>of innovative technology<br/>solutions that are less mature,<br/>it is recommended to factor<br/>complexity, time and risk into<br/>planning. This effort should not</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |









|   |                      |          |                             |     |                |        | be underestimated; for<br>example, factor in multiple<br>upgrades during the lifecycle<br>in project plans and consider<br>decoupling timelines with other<br>projects and shared<br>environments.   |
|---|----------------------|----------|-----------------------------|-----|----------------|--------|--|
|   |                      |          |                             |     |                |        | to be given to providing the following:  |
|   |                      |          |                             |     |                |        | <ul> <li>The practicality of coordinating multiple organisations for release management, as part of the ongoing service management processes, will be recommended for industry wide deployment. A more streamlined, standardised deployment model similar to other web-hosted applications will be suggested This could be a standard DevOps type solution to automate the distribution and deployment of updates and new versions.</li> <li>The cost-benefit analysis of investing in capabilities to manage the product integrations that may persist after the Pilot will be assessed,</li> <li>The risk associated with not having a direct relationship with the product's supplier will increase in the future.</li> <li>The architecture principles of containerised application architecture and open standards integration will be essential when scaling a solution that uses the Data exchange services in the future.</li> </ul> |
| 3 | Absence of standards | industry | <b>Barrier:</b><br>The lack | of  | established in | dustry | <b>Outcome:</b><br>The outcome of this was that the lack of  |
|   |                      |          | standards,                  | for | example CSIP   | -AUS,  | established industry standards made it   |









|   |   | posed a significant obstacle in the<br>creation of a DSO platform, such as<br>the Data Exchange Service.   | difficult to develop all modules bespoke<br>to the standard.<br>Lesson:<br>Establishing industry standards is<br>essential for successful development of<br>DOE platforms and services. The lack<br>of established industry communication<br>standards and protocols increases the<br>difficulty of creating modules, leading to<br>potential issues in the development<br>process.   |
|---|---|--|---|
|   |   |  | Additionally, before beginning any<br>large-scale project, where possible, it is<br>important to ensure that the maturity<br>level of the modules involved are up to<br>industry standards and are able to be<br>easily adapted and evolved as needed.  |
| 4 | DSO Core Capability -<br>DOE                      | Benefit:<br>The Evolve Platform had been<br>selected for the Pilot due to its ability<br>to deliver the DOE Calculator<br>module within the timeframe<br>allocated based on prior experience<br>as part of the evolve DER Project. | Outcome:<br>While the platform had performed well<br>for the Pilot, further analysis was<br>required to ensure the platform and its<br>supplier can deliver and support a<br>robust calculation mechanism at scale.<br>Lesson<br>Having prior relevant experience will<br>enable the DSO to complete<br>development of technology necessary<br>to publish DOEs within the Project<br>Symphony timeline.<br>To meet the DSO functional<br>requirements, there are a number of<br>components such as Default DOEs,<br>NSS functions, Integration with DMO |
|   |   |  | and Aggregator that will be built<br>outside of the Evolve Platform and<br>consideration should be provided to<br>rationalising these components before<br>scaling to reduce the total cost of<br>ownership.  |
| 5 | Change control<br>process for database<br>objects | <b>Barrier:</b><br>The DSO Platform Symphony Data<br>Base and Enterprise Data Analytics<br>Platform had received large volumes<br>of inputs from the DMO and   | <b>Lesson:</b><br>Deploying modern database tools,<br>principles and administration<br>procedures early on in the project will<br>reduce development time, increase   |









|  | Aggregator which was very complex<br>and required a significant amount of<br>resource effort in database<br>development time and change | robustness and improve the quality of<br>database management. |
|--|---|---|
|  | control.  |   |

Table 63: Maturity and Supportability Lessons









# 7 **DMO Platform**

## 7.1 DMO Platform Description

Driven by actions 22 and 23 in the Western Australian Government DER Roadmap, an approach was developed by AEMO that shaped a core set of requirements (functional and non-functional) for the DMO platform and target time frames for delivery of Project Symphony. Various industry and market trends, and the requirements of the National Energy Market (NEM) and AEMO's sister DER project, Project EDGE<sup>24</sup> were also considered.

Sharing the platform development with Project EDGE enabled a more comprehensive implementation, focussed on expanded hypothesis and test outcomes by leveraging AEMO's broad capabilities across a wide range of business areas.

The functional and non-functional DMO platform requirements were developed to deliver the capability to integrate with the DSO and Aggregator platforms to deliver the end-to-end solution, and to enhance the learnings from Project Symphony by including additional interfaces, reporting and assessment capability and integrations between the platforms.

AEMO sourced individual platform components to meet its identified business requirements and platform specifications. The core DMO platform solution consisted of two layers' market bids and offers solver, the data repository, and a user interface (intelligence layer) to provide insight via monitoring the aggregator responses to instructions and market conditions, and the interfaces and message processor (Data exchange layer) between the Project platforms.

## 7.2 DMO Solution Architecture

### 7.2.1 Solution Overview

This subsection summarises the overall solution supporting the DMO domain.

Figure 3 provides a conceptual view of the DMO platform and how it interacts with both internal systems and infrastructure and partner platforms. Significantly, the figure does not include solution details of the DSO or the Aggregator as the overall Symphony solution supports the concept of "separation of concerns". This allowed the solutions relevant to the DSO and Aggregator to be developed separately and are detailed in other sections of this report.

Section 7.4 provides a more detailed breakdown of each of the capabilities specific to the DMO platform.













#### Figure 5: DMO Platform

Table 14 lists the capabilities inherent in the DMO platform and supported by DMO infrastructure to deliver the project objectives.

| Domain                               | Capability                   | Description   |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| DMO Platform -<br>Intelligence layer | DER Status                   | This capability provides access to the status of<br>the DER environment from the perspective of<br>the DMO.                   |
|                                      |                              | For the DMO, access is via PI Vision as there<br>is no direct access to the solutions<br>underpinning the intelligence layer. |
|                                      |                              | This approach was driven principally by cyber security concerns.  |
|                                      | Market Bids and Offer Solver | This is the heart of the intelligence layer<br>enabling core market functions such as:  |
|                                      |                              | <ul> <li>Manage Bids and Offers;</li> <li>Manage Dispatch Instructions; and</li> <li>Control Signals.</li> </ul>              |







Our energy future

| Domain                          | Capability                 | Description   |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
|                                 |                            |   |
|                                 |                            |   |
|                                 |                            | These functions support the execution of the market pertinent to the role of the DMO.   |
|                                 | Operational Data           | This capability provides the ability to view,<br>analyse and report on the DMO real-time<br>operational data covering historical and real-<br>time data.  |
|                                 |                            | The data contained is limited to the data associated with the DMO role.   |
| DMO Platform -<br>Data Exchange | Registration               | This element of the solution maintains<br>participant registration, facility, and asset<br>details required for undertaking the DMO role.<br>In the context of the Project, the registration<br>data (standing data) is sourced from either the<br>DSO or the aggregator rather than directly<br>captured by the DMO. |
|                                 | Platform Interface         | The platform provides the Data Exchange /<br>interface hub by which the data to manage and<br>support the operational Pilot is handled. It<br>serves as the centralised messaging hub for<br>the overall solution.  |
| DMO                             | Market (Wholesale) Systems | Leverages existing market systems, principally<br>the existing dispatch engine, to provide pricing<br>data to support the dispatch process in an off-<br>market context.<br>The data provided allow the market to be<br>simulated with realistic market scenarios and   |
|                                 | Enterprise Data Platform   | behaviours.   |
|                                 |                            | mentioned above and augments that data with<br>content from the DSO and Aggregator to<br>support the test and learn activities associated<br>with the Project.  |
|                                 |                            | The platform supports data storage, analytics<br>and visualisation capabilities that extend and<br>complement the Operational Data capability<br>mentioned above.   |

Table 14: DMO Platform Capability









### 7.2.2 Solution Context

The DMO solution was developed in the context of a set of business objectives, principles/design criteria and overarching non-functional requirements that spanned the overall solution.

An overarching set of business objectives defined for the DMO solution are listed below:

- Demonstrate roles for the DMO, DSO and Aggregator (actors).
- Build and Pilot integration components between actors and simulated market systems, including demonstrating dispatch of DER.
- Demonstrate capability of DER to participate in WEM markets (post WEM Reform), in parallel with providing network support services (NSS).
- Provide key learnings and definition of the DER orchestration model and market participation model targeted to be implemented in the WEM from October 2025<sup>24</sup>.
- Develop stakeholder understanding of expectations for DER orchestration in the WEM and SWIS.

| Function ID | Capability (from the vendors Tech Spec) | Description   | Business Function    |
|-------------|---|---|----------------------|
| F01         | Participant Onboarding                  | Participant onboarding<br>(Aggregator and DSO)<br>refers to the set of activities<br>involved in configuring,<br>onboarding, and setting up<br>the participant on the<br>platform to facilitate Data<br>Exchange. | Register Participant |
| F02         | Participant Enrolment                   | This allows the participant to<br>utilise their portfolio to<br>participate in the market and<br>publish Real Time Market<br>Submission (RTMS) to<br>provide wholesale energy<br>services, NSS and ESS.           | Register Participant |
| F03         | Aggregator Facility<br>Registration     | Aggregator Facility<br>Registration includes the<br>Facility configuration<br>provided by the Aggregator<br>and published to the<br>Platform. An Aggregator can   | Register Participant |

<sup>24</sup> At the time the DMO solution was conceived, the target date for DER orchestration and participation models to be implemented in the WEM was July 2023.









|     |   | also send multiple updates<br>to their Facility Registration,<br>for instance, to de-activate<br>or re-activate a Facility (a<br>condition specific to the<br>Pilot).   |   |
|-----|---|---|---|
| F04 | NSS Service Registration<br>Data Processing   | The NSS service<br>registration is published by<br>the DSO to the Platform<br>(and by DSO directly to the<br>Aggregator off-platform).<br>The Platform must receive,<br>store, and process the NSS<br>Service information if it<br>meets the schema<br>validations.   | Process Facility and<br>Constraint Data |
| F05 | DSO NSS Deployment<br>Signal Data Processing  | The DSO NSS Deployment<br>Signal is sent from the DSO<br>to the Platform.<br>The Platform stores and<br>forwards the NSS<br>Deployment Signal to the<br>Aggregator.   | Process Facility and<br>Constraint Data |
| F06 | Dynamic Operating<br>Envelope Data Processing | NMI level (dynamic)<br>operating envelopes (DOE)<br>are published by the DSO to<br>the DMO platform.  | Process Facility and<br>Constraint Data |
| F07 | Market Forecast Price<br>Data Publication     | The forecast energy price is<br>provided by the DMO via the<br>Price Ingestion schema,<br>processed by the Platform<br>and published to the<br>Aggregator using the<br>Forecast Energy Price<br>schema in support of<br>creating/updating their<br>RTMS. The forecast energy<br>price message is<br>republished to the<br>Aggregator every 5-minutes<br>for the remainder of the<br>balancing horizon in<br>conjunction with the pre-<br>dispatch instruction | Process Facility and<br>Constraint Data |









|     |  | schedule post rate frequency.   |   |
|-----|--|---|---|
| F08 | Forecast and Market Price<br>Data Processing | The market price ingestion<br>file share data is generated<br>by the DMO and published<br>to the Platform. Price<br>ingestion provide the market<br>strike price. Platform will<br>persist, store, and process<br>pricing data to:  | Process Facility and<br>Constraint Data |
|     |  | <ul> <li>Publish the forecast<br/>energy pricing to the<br/>Aggregator using Forecast<br/>Energy Price data schema;</li> <li>use the forecast<br/>pricing to construct the pre-<br/>dispatch instruction<br/>schedule; and</li> <li>use the strike price to<br/>clear the RTMS quantity<br/>tranches and construct the<br/>dispatch instruction</li> </ul>  |   |
| F09 | Facility Telemetry Data<br>Processing        | dispatch instruction.<br>The Facility Telemetry data<br>is the portfolio level<br>telemetry data provided by<br>the Aggregator. This is also<br>referred as facility level<br>actual operational data and<br>refers to the actual<br>instantaneous<br>measurements at the facility<br>level. The resolution is<br>expected to be 1-minute<br>interval data and a post rate<br>of every 5-minutes. | Process Facility and<br>Constraint Data |
| F10 | Control Room User<br>Interface               | The Platform will provide<br>AEMO with data<br>visualization in the form of<br>displays and charts to show<br>the operation of the Pilot  | Process Facility and<br>Constraint Data |
| F11 | Facility Forecast Data<br>Processing         | The facility forecast<br>represents the future look<br>ahead of available capacity<br>for generation, load, and<br>storage in an Aggregator   | Process Facility and<br>Constraint Data |









|     |  | portfolio. Facility forecasts<br>are produced per facility and<br>only incorporates generation<br>and load devices that are<br>explicitly under control of the<br>Aggregator.<br>The Platform can receive<br>Aggregator forecasts at any<br>post rate.  |                           |
|-----|--|---|---------------------------|
| F12 | Processing Real Time<br>Market Submissions<br>(RTMS) | c. RTMS are submitted<br>by the Aggregator for<br>intending to deliver<br>wholesale energy services,<br>NSS, ESS, and to provide<br>operational visibility of their<br>portfolio to the DMO.<br>d. Standing RTMS -<br>Aggregators will publish an<br>RTMS Standing submission<br>daily for the Trial context. An<br>RTMS Standing submission<br>will contain a maximum of<br>288 intervals.<br>e. RTMS Variations -<br>Aggregators can also<br>publish RTMS Variations<br>based on changes to their<br>facility generation/load<br>availability or NSS provision<br>requested by the DSO. An<br>RTMS Variation submission<br>may only contain a subset of<br>intervals representing the<br>time period for which the<br>bid/offer price-quantities are<br>being changed.<br>f. RTMS Consolidation<br>- All RTMS Variations must<br>be merged and consolidated<br>with a consolidated RTMS<br>Standing submission. This<br>consolidated RTMS is then<br>processed to determine the<br>dispatch or ESS CR | Manage Bids and<br>Offers |









|     |  | enablement amounts for the  |                                   |
|-----|--|---|-----------------------------------|
|     |  | next dispatch interval.   |                                   |
| F13 | User Modifiable Test<br>Variables - specifically<br>• Out of Merit Dispatch<br>(see below)<br>• Price Ceiling/Floor<br>Modifier<br>• ESS Test Modifier<br>• Constrain to Zero<br>Scheduler (see below) | There are four use cases<br>involving the user<br>modification of platform<br>variables and market solver<br>override for out-of-merit<br>dispatch events, to test<br>different market outcomes<br>during the Trial period.<br>A DMO analyst will have<br>limited access to Microsoft<br>SQL Server Management<br>Studio (SSMS) via a jump<br>host to read and modify<br>properties in select data<br>tables relating to each user<br>modifiable requirement.<br>For all user modified test<br>variable inputs, the platform<br>will not provide the ability to<br>cancel the submitted user<br>input. The DMO analyst<br>must overwrite the previous<br>modified test variable input<br>with a new test variable input<br>input. | User Modifiable Test<br>Variables |
| F14 | Out of Merit Dispatch  | DMO analyst can manually<br>construct a pre-dispatch and<br>dispatch instruction,<br>essentially 'overriding' the<br>Platform in-merit market<br>solver for a specific Facility.<br>The manually loaded pre-<br>dispatch and dispatch<br>instruction data is then<br>scheduled and published to<br>the Aggregator based on the<br>specified trading date and<br>dispatch interval(s).   | User Modifiable Test<br>Variables |
| F15 | Constrain to Zero<br>Scheduler   | A DMO analyst can<br>schedule an out-of-merit<br>'Constrain to Zero' (CTZ)<br>event. A CTZ event will<br>result in a CTZ instruction  | User Modifiable Test<br>Variables |









|     |                        | being sent to the<br>Aggregator, the CTZ flag<br>is included in the dispatch<br>instruction.<br>This is platform capability<br>used to undertake a<br>technical verification test of<br>the Aggregator's ability to<br>constrain energy generation<br>from the NMI to the network<br>to 0 MW (gross) or<br>constraining each NMI to<br>zero export (net).  |  |
|-----|------------------------|--|--|
| F16 | In Merit Market Solver | The Symphony DER<br>Marketplace 'market solver'<br>will process the accepted<br>RTMS a few minutes before<br>the start of each dispatch<br>interval.<br>The market solver will apply<br>the market logic<br>incorporating the market<br>strike price (energy and<br>ESS CR), service<br>prioritisation rules and<br>registered facility data to the<br>consolidated RTMS<br>including energy bid-offers<br>for wholesale energy<br>(BMO), NSS and/or ESS<br>service provision and solves<br>for the trading interval.<br>The market solver will also<br>prioritise services for<br>dispatch to ensure priority<br>services are dispatched in a<br>defined priority order. In<br>Project Symphony which is<br>performed in an off-market<br>environment, the priority is<br>NSS, Energy and then ESS<br>CR. Where a CTZ event is<br>scheduled then this will<br>override all services. In a<br>real market environment, | Manage Dispatch<br>Instructions and Control<br>Signals |









|     |  | energy and ancillary<br>services (ESS CR) would be<br>co-optimised and<br>dispatched given the optimal<br>outcome, this co-<br>optimisation capability was<br>out of scope for the Project.   |  |
|-----|--|---|--|
| F17 | Dispatch Instructions<br>Publication             | Dispatch Instructions (DI)<br>are generated and issued by<br>the Platform to the<br>Aggregator for the purpose<br>of meeting the balance of<br>demand and supply in the<br>DER Marketplace. The<br>platform will construct and<br>publish DIs every 5 minutes<br>to the Aggregator.<br>The dispatch instruction will<br>also take in to account any<br>out-of-merit CTZ dispatch<br>events scheduled by a DMO<br>analyst via the User<br>Modified Test Variable<br>interface.   | Manage Dispatch<br>Instructions and Control<br>Signals |
| F18 | Pre-dispatch Instruction<br>Schedule Publication | The platform publishes a<br>pre-dispatch instruction<br>schedule to the Aggregator.<br>This schedule will allow the<br>Aggregator to plan and<br>optimize their assets to for<br>market services during the<br>trading day.<br>The pre-dispatch must be<br>constructed and published<br>to the Aggregator every 5-<br>minutes for the remaining<br>length of the balancing<br>horizon (remaining Trading<br>Day + next Trading Day).<br>The pre-dispatch will also<br>take in to account any out-<br>of-merit CTZ dispatch<br>events scheduled by a DMO<br>analyst via the User | Manage Dispatch<br>Instructions and Control<br>Signals |









|     |                            | Modified Test Variable interface.  |  |
|-----|----------------------------|--|--|
| F19 | Platform Data Storage      | <ul> <li>The Platform stores all DER<br/>Marketplace data into the<br/>three Platform databases:</li> <li>SQL (for<br/>transactional and static<br/>data)</li> <li>Mongo (for incoming<br/>and outgoing messages)</li> <li>PI Data Archive (PI<br/>DA) (for time series data)</li> </ul>                                     | Reporting and<br>Performance<br>Assessment |
| F20 | Platform Data for Analysis | The Platform will enable<br>AEMO Analysts access to<br>market data via an Extract,<br>Transform, and Load (ETL)<br>process to the DMO's<br>Enterprise Data Lake (called<br>the AEMO's EDP later in<br>this document).<br>All data processed or<br>published by the Platform is<br>provided to DMO's<br>Enterprise Data Lake. | Reporting and<br>Performance<br>Assessment |

Table 15: DMO functional requirements

The ARENA Report outlined a set of principles/design criteria (Section 4.1.3) which are described in the following table. These principles/design criteria provided another lens against which the DMO solution was defined, designed and delivered.

| Principle/Design Criteria  | Description  |
|--|--|
| Meet the Pilot requirements at a minimum.                                  | The solutions and technologies need to facilitate each partner's<br>ability to assess their roles and responsibilities in the Hybrid<br>model's effectiveness, as well as identify any learnings that<br>could be used to inform policy and legislative requirements for<br>the introduction of DER into the WEM.<br>Functional coverage must address the core business needs<br>required for the Project. |
| Have the potential to scale to meet additional participants (Aggregators). | The underlying solutions and technology need to be able to support additional aggregators even though there is only one principal aggregator for Symphony.   |







#### Our energy future

| Principle/Design Criteria  | Description   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Be simple for additional participants to join and utilise.                                   | The solution must facilitate the ability for additional market participants to interact with the solution with minimal barriers to entry/adoption.  |  |
| Be able to manage high frequency telemetry data.   | The solution must enable visibility of DER facility performance<br>when participating in energy or ancillary markets and is<br>appropriate to support dispatch obligations.   |  |
| Preferably be a SaaS solution and at a<br>minimum be hosted on a Cloud based<br>Platform.    | <ul> <li>This criterion is in line with:</li> <li>Industry trends.</li> <li>The DMO's intent to increase the cloud SaaS/PaaS solutions in its application landscape.</li> <li>The intent of Project Symphony (and the NEM counterpart project called EDGE) to assess alternative market integration/messaging approaches to those currently adopted in both the WEM and NEM.</li> </ul> |  |
| Have the ability to be easily modified<br>to align to market rules once they are<br>defined. | The solution must be modifiable or configurable to allow implementation of market rules identified during the Project.  |  |
| Have the ability to integrate with market systems in the future.                             | As the Project was conceived as a Pilot, there is a potential to<br>move the overall solution into a strategic market solution and<br>incorporate aggregated DER into the WEM.  |  |
| Align to the AEMO security principles and governance.  | The solution must be able to meet non-functional security requirements.   |  |
| Operate in an off-market environment for the duration of the Project.                        | The solution needs to be able to operate independently of, and without impacting or influencing, the WEM.   |  |

Table 16: Principle / Design Criteria of the DMO solution

Underpinning the solution was a set of non-functional requirements that was common across all components of the solution. From an overall perspective, a set of specific non-functional requirements (NFR) were developed with the intent of:

- ensuring the software system follows legal and compliance rules;
- ensuring the reliability, availability, and performance of the software system;
- ensuring a good user experience and ease of operating the software; and
- supporting the formulation of security policy.

The NFR's identified for the DMO components are listed in the following table along with a description of each. More detailed descriptions of each NFR can be found in the ARENA report.







Our energy future

| ID    | Non-Functional Requirement  | Commentary   |
|-------|---|--|
| SEC01 | Security of platform  | The DMO platform (solution ecosystem and infrastructure)<br>must assure and maintain the overall security of the<br>solution in accordance with the DSO and industry cyber<br>standards and/or obligations.  |
|       |   | The solution is required to provide non-repudiation (i.e.,<br>assure recipient validity of sender and transaction) through<br>secure transfer of messages (ensure messages are not<br>compromised, tampered, modified, or manipulated with<br>when exchanged via a shared platform).   |
|       |   | Validation of this NFR would cover:  |
|       |   | <ul> <li>Auditing of the design and delivery against agreed<br/>cyber requirements; and</li> <li>Penetration testing outcomes.</li> </ul>  |
| PER01 | Platform performance measured<br>by response time.  | <ul> <li>Degree to which a solution or component performs its designated functions with minimum consumption of resources and can respond to a request from the user / system that supports market operation needs such as a 5-minute dispatch capability and participant registration.</li> <li>As the Market Platform is operating as an off-market Pilot (non-business critical), the performance requirements for the Project are low due compared to a platform operating in a live market. It is expected that the majority of the transactions – e.g., enrolment of Participants, Registration of Facility and upload of some telemetry – do not require a high-performance target.</li> <li>This NFR will assess Bids and Offers being processed by the platform in under four seconds, and Dispatch Instructions being generated in under four seconds.</li> </ul> |
| SCA01 | Ability to scale up or out.   | The underlying applications and infrastructure needed to be<br>able to support additional; transactions, transaction volume,<br>and number of participants/users across the DER DMO<br>context without any noticeable impact to services.  |
| SCA02 | Capability of platform to add<br>additional users without any<br>noticeable impact to services. | A specific element of SCA01 but focuses on the ability to<br>extend the users to cater for the test and learn activities,<br>and ultimately to support a production capability.<br>The solution must scale up to 10 concurrent users if<br>additional participants are added in the Pilot, and/or data<br>flows (number of messages processed within a given unit<br>of time) increase, without any noticeable impact to<br>services.  |







Our energy future

| ID    | Non-Functional Requirement  | Commentary  |
|-------|---|---|
| AVA01 | Capability of platform to run<br>without a failure for a given period | <ul> <li>The intention of this NFR requirement is to validate the underlying stability and robustness of the solution components that could support a 24x7 market operations environment. It was also intended to provide confidence that the DMO solution could be migrated from Pilot to a production capability.</li> <li>As the Market Platform is operating as an off-market Pilot, the solution will be available and fully operational for 99% of time for the period which the scenarios are run.</li> <li>The Maximum Tolerable Downtime per Incident is one day.</li> </ul> |
| MAN01 | Periodic maintenance window   | To support the evolution, and the test and learn activities<br>planned within the project, the solution must be able to<br>support changes and maintenance of the solutions and the<br>infrastructure.<br>As per AVA01, this was also to confirm the "production"<br>readiness of the underlying solutions and the vendors<br>delivering the solutions.   |
| REC01 | System restoration objectives and ability to recover                  | As per previous comments, this NFR is intended to support<br>the needs to maintain the data associated with the Project,<br>as well as confirm the ability of the solution to be able to<br>support a production target.<br>Appropriate Recovery Point Objective (RPO) and Recovery<br>Time Objective (RTO) targets have been defined for a Pilot<br>project – being 48 hours for both measures.  |
| REC02 | Data backup and<br>retention objectives                               | This NFR ensures that data backup and recovery targets<br>can be met across the overall DMO solution ecosystem.<br>Confirmation that system data is be backed up daily and<br>retained for seven days. System messages and logs are<br>stored and retained for the length of the Pilot will also be<br>assessed.  |
| DAT01 | Backup daily  | <ul> <li>This NFR is principally focussed on the Pilot to ensure that data can be recovered should there be any issues with the solution or infrastructure to ensure the test and learn activities can continue.</li> <li>This NFR complements REC002.</li> <li>All system data must be backed up daily and retained for seven days. System messages and logs will be archived and retained for the length of the Pilot.</li> </ul>   |







Our energy future

| ID    | Non-Functional Requirement  | Commentary  |
|-------|---|---|
| INT01 | Handle multiple versions of schema  | The Pilot was conceived as progressively delivering market<br>components and technical capabilities via a series of<br>"drops". As a consequence, the underlying integration<br>payloads would evolve and be extended during the course<br>of the Pilot. Support for multiple schemas also enables<br>participants to operate independently of each other.<br>Support for multiple versions of API schema, i.e., provide<br>message interoperability between versions, will be<br>assessed.   |
| AUD01 | Audit record of user actions  | The solution needs to capture and maintain appropriate<br>audit record of significant user (and other) events/actions.<br>This NFR is consistent with good practice and will assist in<br>the test and learn analysis activities.<br>Auditing would also support the cyber controls that have<br>been established for the DMO solution ecosystem. It will<br>also support tracing transactions from originator to<br>approver to final disposition, through a system by an<br>auditor.<br>Specific validation of this NFR includes validation of user<br>action (e.g., manual data modification) and recording all<br>Business To Business (B2B) and Business to Market<br>(B2M) communications.  |
| SUP01 | Technical and non-<br>technical support required for<br>the system.<br>Solution must be supportable | <ul> <li>Support from the DMO application providers would be required including:</li> <li>Technical – to support the implementation, evolution and remediation of the underlying platform components</li> <li>Non-technical support included project management, documentation, etc</li> <li>The DMO would provide the requisite support of the underlying infrastructure.</li> <li>Without appropriate support capabilities, extending the Pilot or migrate the solution to support a production solution would not be viable.</li> <li>The following specific aspects were going to be assessed:</li> <li>System errors / failures will report back relevant error messages for troubleshooting;</li> <li>System errors are logged and accessible for support staff; and</li> </ul> |









| ID  | Non-Functional Requirement | Commentary  |
|---|----------------------------|---|
|   |                            | <ul> <li>Business support staff are able to manage and<br/>view test data being processed or configured.</li> </ul> |
| Table 17: Non-Functional Requirements of the DMO solution |                            |   |







# 7.3 DMO Platform Delivery Approach

The Project Symphony Functional and Non-Functional Requirements<sup>25</sup> (*Project Requirements*) report details the approach AEMO undertook to develop the conceptual design, specifications and requirements and procurement of the DMO platform.

Two vendors were identified to jointly deliver the solution, and an incremental/agile approach was adopted for the delivery of the required technical capabilities for the allocated budget and project timelines. The approach enabled:

- The progressive delivery of the four core must-have scenarios.
- Separation from the existing market and system applications supporting the WEM to be maintained so there were no unintentional impacts on the WEM wholesale market.
- A Leveraging of the capability/solutions being developed for WEM reform:
  - Allowed these changes to be considered within the project scope (particularly integration requirements)
  - Allowed the ability to extract and adjust real wholesale market data to drive the DER market behaviour/test scenarios
- Support for a test and learn process to demonstrate the necessary organisational and technology capabilities needed for Project Symphony.
- A data reporting and analysis platform to support the execution of the project and the test and learn process.
- Evolving the DMO solution as new learnings and requirements emerged from the various implementation drops and evolving industry/market context.
- Alignment and support with the delivery of similar capabilities for Project EDGE to minimise the overall costs and maximise value to both the WEM and the NEM.

Upon execution of the vendor contracts, intensive workshops were held with vendors to further define the platform requirements and develop technical specifications to guide the platform build. A third party helped project manage the vendors to deliver and support the single solution. As the design was completed in conjunction with the project participants, the initial platform build commenced once the BMO scenario design was progressed to detailed design for the core functionality and data models, platform integration methodology and schemas were defined.

The initial platform deployment for the BMO scenario was considered the minimum viable product (MVP) and deployed and tested with partner platforms during the first phase of customer recruitment







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements, Electricity Networks Corporation, February 2022, Section 3



of PV and battery DER assets. An associated delivery and defect resolution process was developed and adopted to support delivery of the DMO platform and the partner requirements.

Subsequent platform deployments over the next 16 months delivered the remaining three scenarios, final functionality and final assets. Test and learn hypothesis, data management systems, and reporting templates were developed in parallel to align platform capability with project objectives.

## 7.4 DMO Platform Assessment

This section assesses the DMO platform delivered by Project Symphony using the solution context defined in Section 2.3.2. This section follows the same order, namely

- 1. Functional Requirements
- 2. Principles/Design Criteria
- 3. Non Functional Requirements.

The following table provides a rationale and assessment of how well each core requirement has been supported by the solution. The framework uses the Red, Amber, and Green (RAG) assessment scoring approach. The meaning of each of the RAG scores follow:

- Green: All relevant aspects are supported/delivered.
- Amber: Most of relevant aspects are supported/delivered.
- Red: None or minimal relevant aspects are supported/delivered.

| Business Function                    | Functional | Functional Assessment Commentary   |
|--------------------------------------|------------|--|
|                                      | Assessment |  |
| Register Participant                 | GREEN ●    | <ul> <li>The ability of an aggregator to nominate to<br/>participate in the DER energy market -<br/>Participant Onboarding &amp; Participant<br/>Enrolment</li> </ul>  |
|                                      |            | The ability of the aggregator to successfully register a facility with AEMO - Aggregator Facility Registration   |
| Process Facility and Constraint Data | GREEN ●    | <ul> <li>The solution components delivered allows<br/>the DMO platform to receive, then process<br/>and store, the operational market data from<br/>the Aggregator and DSO.</li> <li>The solution integrations allow the DMO to<br/>receive data that represents NMI<br/>constraint, NSS contractual commitment<br/>and facility capacity and status.</li> </ul> |
| Manage Bids and Offers               | GREEN ●    | <ul> <li>The solution components delivered allows<br/>the DMO platform to receive, then process<br/>market bids and offers from the<br/>Aggregator.</li> <li>The solution also allows for the ability to<br/>manage variations to standing bids and</li> </ul>   |







Our energy future

| Business Function                               | Functional | Functional Assessment Commentary  |
|---|------------|---|
|   | Assessment |   |
|   |            | <ul> <li>offers in response to NSS, market demand<br/>and price triggers .</li> <li>The solution integrations allow the DMO to<br/>receive RTMS data in a manner that<br/>supports AEMO's capability to maintain<br/>operational control of the WEM.</li> </ul>   |
| Manage Dispatch Instructions/Control<br>Signals | AMBER      | <ul> <li>The solution components delivered allows<br/>the DMO platform to send pre-dispatch<br/>instructions and dispatch instructions to the<br/>Aggregator.</li> <li>The solution caters for instructions to be<br/>sent for all services including energy, NSS<br/>and ESS-CR as well as for a CTZ scenario</li> <li>The solution integrations allow the DMO to<br/>send instruction data in a manner that<br/>supports a real time DER market and also<br/>receive a confirmation response from the<br/>Aggregator</li> </ul>   |
| Reporting and Performance Assessment            | GREEN ●    | <ul> <li>The solution components delivered allows<br/>the DMO platform to collect and provide to<br/>the DMO data lake the following DER<br/>Marketplace data         <ol> <li>transactional and static data</li> <li>incoming and outgoing message data</li> <li>time series data</li> </ol> </li> <li>The solution provides all data processed or<br/>published by the platform to DMO's<br/>Enterprise Data Lake within the DMO data<br/>lake allows the DMO to perform analysis of<br/>the operations of the market and expected<br/>outcomes of DER orchestration in the<br/>WEM/SWIS</li> </ul> |
| User Modifiable Test Variables.                 | GREEN ●    | The solution components delivered allows<br>system variables within the DMO platform<br>to be configured without coding effort  |

Table 18: Assessment of DMO Platform Against Initial Requirements

As indicated in section 7.1.2, a set of principles and design criteria was defined. These are assessed in table 18 below.

| Principle/Design Criteria                 | Alignment | Commentary  |
|---|-----------|---|
| Meet the Pilot requirements at a minimum. | GREEN ●   | The DMO solution meets the Pilot requirements.<br>Refer to Appendix C which covers the alignment<br>of requirements and solution capabilities in more<br>detail |









| Principle/Design Criteria  | Alignment | Commentary  |
|--|-----------|---|
|  |           |   |
| Have the potential to scale to meet additional participants (Aggregators)                    | GREEN ●   | The underlying solution and technologies could scale vertically and horizontally to support additional aggregators and facilities.  |
|  |           | Determination of the scaling limits of the overall solution has yet to be determined.   |
|  |           | AEMO's sister project, Project EDGE, has<br>confirmed the ability for the platform to support<br>multiple Aggregators (parent). Project Symphony<br>does not require additional Aggregators to be<br>added.   |
| Be simple for additional participants to join and utilise.                                   | GREEN ●   | From a DMO perspective, this requirement has<br>been met as the DMO consumes the data from<br>the DSO and the Aggregator.   |
|  |           | The Data Exchange solution enables participants<br>to install their solution within their environment.<br>For Project Symphony, this has been less of a<br>challenge due to the smaller cohort of actors<br>involved and their associated digital capabilities<br>than that for Project EDGE. |
|  |           | However, the solution has demonstrated the capability and benefits of multiple participants joining via the Data Exchange solution  |
| Be able to manage high frequency telemetry data.   | AMBER 🗕   | The ability for the overall solution to transfer high<br>frequency telemetry data in a timely fashion (i.e.,<br>less than five minutes) has been identified as a<br>potential issue.  |
|  |           | Further analysis will be required in this area.   |
| Preferably be a SaaS solution, and at a minimum be hosted on a Cloud based Platform.         | GREEN ●   | The two core elements of the solution, the<br>"integration layer" and the "Data Exchange /<br>Identity and Access Management layer", are<br>deployed in a cloud environment. Refer to section<br>7.1.1 for further details.   |
| Have the ability to be easily modified to<br>align to market rules once they are<br>defined. | AMBER 🗕   | The DMO platform was implemented to deliver a specific set of scenarios per the Project agreed scenarios and detailed design. As there is uncertainty around both the requirements for the DMO and Aggregator under future rules the flexibility needs of the platform is unclear             |







## Our energy future

| Principle/Design Criteria   | Alignment | Commentary   |
|---|-----------|--|
|   |           |  |
| Have the ability to integrate with market systems in the future.    | AMBER 🔶   | As mentioned in Section 7.1.1, market pricing dispatch data is currently provided into the Pilot. As WEM reform <sup>26</sup> is still progressing, the ability to fully integrate with the relevant systems (e.g., WEM Dispatch Engine) has yet to be assessed. |
| Align to the AEMO security principles and governance.               | GREEN ●   | The solution has been assessed against AEMO security requirements and has been endorsed.<br>Alignment with AESCSF has also been included as part of the cyber assessment.  |
| Operate in an off-market environment for the duration of the Pilot. | GREEN ●   | The market operates in an off-market<br>environment. However, as mentioned in section<br>7.1.2, data ingested into the solution represents<br>both real and simulated market conditions to<br>enable the Pilot objectives to be met.                             |

Table 19: Assessment of the DMO solution

Non-Functional Requirements (NFR) are an overarching element spanning the DMO solution. Table 20 assesses the degree to which the DMO solution met each NFR.

| ID    | Non-Functional<br>Requirement                     | Alignment | Commentary   |
|-------|---|-----------|--|
| SEC01 | Security of platform                              | GREEN ●   | Penetration testing has undertaken and identified<br>no critical issues or exposures.<br>Cyber design obligations confirmed.   |
| PER01 | Platform performance<br>measured by response time | GREEN ●   | Formal validation yet to be undertaken of the<br>performance of the solution.<br>Informal assessment indicates target response<br>times are being achieved.  |
| SCA01 | Ability to scale up or out                        | GREEN ●   | Project EDGE has confirmed the ability of the<br>solution to support additional aggregators. In<br>project Symphony, there is no requirement to go<br>beyond one parent aggregator. Internal<br>performance testing with up to 10 Aggregators<br>demonstrated the ability of the solution to support<br>additional aggregators |

#### <sup>26</sup> AEMO | WEM Reform program







Our energy future

| ID    | Non-Functional<br>Requirement  | Alignment      | Commentary  |
|-------|--|----------------|---|
| SCA02 | Capability of platform to add<br>additional users without any<br>noticeable impact to services | GREEN ●        | The solution could scale to support additional users. Project EDGE has confirmed this requirement can be met.   |
|       |  |                | Additional users have been provisioned to access components associated with the intelligence layer.   |
|       |  |                | Internal performance testing with up to 10<br>Aggregators without noticeable impact to<br>services. The effective upper limits for the<br>solution have yet to be determined. |
| AVA01 | Capability of platform to run<br>without a failure for a given<br>period of time               | AMBER 🔶        | The project is ongoing so the solution has not yet<br>demonstrated the ability to run without failure for<br>the duration of the project.                                     |
| MAN01 | Periodic maintenance<br>window   | GREEN ●        | This NFR has been successfully met.   |
| REC01 | System restoration<br>objectives and ability to<br>recover                                     | GREEN ●        | RTP and RPO targets can be met from the current solution and supporting infrastructure.   |
| REC02 | Data backup and retention objectives   | GREEN ●        | The DMO infrastructure provides these capabilities.   |
| DAT01 | Backup daily   | GREEN ●        | The DMO infrastructure provides these capabilities.   |
| INT01 | Handle multiple versions of schema   | GREEN ●        | The Data exchange layer provides these capabilities.  |
| AUD01 | Audit record of user actions   | GREEN ●        | Vendor solutions provide auditing of user actions<br>and messaging.<br>The DMO platform includes other forms of<br>auditing that complements these two solutions,             |
|       | Technical and non-technical  | 00000          | principally in the cyber domain.  |
| 50201 | support required for the system.   | GREEN <b>●</b> | the DMO for the Pilot.  |
|       | Solution must be supportable   |                |   |

Table 20: NFR Assessment of the DMO solution







# 7.5 DMO Platform 'As-built' Solution Overview

### 7.5.1 Component Overview

This subsection describes the core components making up the DMO platform solution. The solution components introduced in section 7.1.2 are described in further detail in figure 4. The additional detail provides the framework to describe how the overall solution meets the Pilot requirements. Note, figure 4 has a set of identifiers that provide the link between it and table 20, which defines each component in more detail.



Figure 6. DER Integration Platform Solution

The table below describes each component in more detail using the IDs in the figure above as a cross-reference between the table 20 and figure 4.





Our energy future

| Component<br>ID | Component Name                      | Description   |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| C01             | Data Exchange Client                | Data Exchange Client is the messaging service of the Data Exchange Message Gateway.   |
|                 |                                     | Data Exchange Message Gateway SDK is intended to run on-<br>premises or in participants' cloud environment to interact with<br>the Data exchange layer Broker (C03) via a gateway (C02).  |
|                 |                                     | The gateway is the central point for participants' integration<br>with the solution. The client will authenticate, authorize, and<br>encrypt messages using self-sovereign identities and verifiable<br>credentials (rather than centrally trusted and managed<br>approaches seen in legacy solutions). |
| C02             | DER Exchange<br>Message Gateway     | Message gateways have endpoints to authenticate, publish,<br>and subscribe for the message sending/receiving (via Topics<br>which define the channels by which messages can be<br>exchanged).   |
|                 |                                     | Users/systems need to implement a client application to make<br>a call to those endpoints successfully. To make this process<br>easy and user-friendly, a Data Exchange Client library was<br>developed which consists of publish, subscribe, authenticate,<br>and sign functions.                      |
| C03             | Data Exchange<br>Message Broker     | The Message Broker is the endpoint to which the<br>users/systems connect to publish or subscribe to the message<br>delivery system. The Data Exchange Message Broker checks<br>if the channel name exists and users/systems have the right<br>roles to publish/subscribe to messages.                   |
| C04             | Data Exchange<br>Platform Transport | The Transport capability is used to transmit messages from<br>one Message Broker to the other. Message Transport stores<br>the messages until they expire. Only Message Brokers can<br>publish and subscribe on the Message Transport.  |
| C05             | Data Exchange<br>Platform UI        | A dApp (decentralized app) allows users to manage role<br>definitions and participate in credentials exchange<br>(request/issuance) for role credentials.   |
| C06             | Message Processor                   | The purpose of the Message Processor is to receive messages<br>from market participants and to send messages to market<br>participants Data Exchange layer using the DER Exchange<br>Client.  |
|                 |                                     | The Message Processor will subscribe to messages from the<br>Data exchange layer Broker, authenticate them to determine<br>the sender, map the sender to the appropriate Role within the  |






# Project Symphony

Our energy future

| Component<br>ID | Component Name              | Description  |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|--|
|                 |                             | Intelligence Layer, and perform the requested operation associated with that role.   |
| C07             | Telemetry Visualisation     | There is no direct communications to Intelligence Platform<br>components with the exception of the Telemetry Visualisation<br>capability. Telemetry Visualisation "tool" displays data using<br>the Telemetry Asset Model and the Telemetry Archive solution.<br>The Aggregators and DSOs access to specific data in the DER<br>market platform will be provided via the Data Exchange<br>Platform Brokers using pre-defined SQL queries.                                      |
| C08             | Intelligence Node           | The Intelligence Node runs the Market Solvers. The<br>applications running on this node are responsible for assessing<br>the data fed into the Market Place, apply the relevant business<br>rules for each market, and output results to the data stores.<br>Message Senders on the Message Processor will pick up the<br>result set and publish them to appropriate recipients via the<br>Data exchange layer Broker(s).  |
| C09             | Telemetry Asset Model       | The Telemetry Asset Model holds configuration information as<br>well as Model information for all participants and resources<br>under their control related to the Market Place.<br>Its underlying storage consists of tables stored in the Virtual<br>Machine running Microsoft SQL Server.   |
| C10             | ETL Node                    | The ETL Node will be responsible for extracting data out of the<br>Intelligence Layer (and Data exchange layer Broker, if<br>needed), Transforming the data into a format acceptable for<br>ingestion into the DMO Data Platform and loading the data into<br>the related Warehouse/Lake.<br>This ETL processes running on this node require credentials to<br>access the DER Exchange Platform Broker, query the<br>Intelligence Layer, and write into the DMO Data Platform. |
| C11             | Telemetry Data Archive      | The Telemetry Data Archive is responsible for storing time<br>series data (i.e., data that varies over time), telemetry and<br>dispatches, but not data for which multiple alternative values<br>coexist at the same time (e.g., past boffers for a given time<br>period that were superseded by revised boffers from the same<br>Market Participant).   |
| C12             | Dispatch<br>Bids and Offers | The current DMO's Wholesale Market dispatch engine used to provide dispatch interval pricing data to allow the off-market simulated pricing for both Energy and ESS Contingency  |









| Component<br>ID | Component Name | Description  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
|                 |                | Reserve Raise to be operated using real market data as an input.   |
| C13             | Data Platform  | Data warehouse and visualisation capability provided by DMO<br>used to support analysis of relevant data associated with the<br>Pilot. |
| C14             | Jumper Server  | Before any users may access any virtual machines in the<br>Trusted or Secure Zones, users must first go through a<br>Jump/TS Server.   |
|                 |                | The use of a Jump/TS Server seeks to maintain a strong cyber security posture in the overall solution.                                 |

Table 21: Components of the DMO solution

Table 22 maps the solution components against each of the relevant functions/capabilities defined in section 7.1.2 and illustrates which solution components are required to enable each capability.

| Function<br>ID | Solution Capability<br>(from the solution<br>Tech Spec) | C01 – Data Exchange<br>Message Gateway | C02 – Data Exchange<br>Client | C03 – Data Exchange<br>Message Broker | C04 – Data Exchange | C05 – DER App UI | C06 – Message<br>Processor | С07 – РІ | C08 – Intelligence Node | C09 – PI AF | C10 – ETL Node | C11 – PI Data Archive | C12 – RTDE | C13 – EDP/PowerBl | C14 – Jumper Server |
|----------------|---|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| F01            | Participant<br>Onboarding                               | ~                                      | 1                             | ~                                     | 1                   | 1                |                            |          |                         |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F02            | Participant Enrolment                                   | 1                                      | √                             | √                                     | 1                   | 1                |                            |          |                         |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F03            | Aggregator Facility<br>Registration                     | ~                                      |                               | 1                                     | 1                   |                  |                            |          |                         |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F04            | NSS Service<br>Registration Data<br>Processing          |  |                               | 1                                     | 1                   |                  |                            |          |                         |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F05            | DSO NSS<br>Deployment Signal<br>Data Processing         |  |                               | ✓                                     | 1                   |                  | √                          |          | 1                       |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F06            | Dynamic Operating<br>Envelope Data<br>Processing        |  |                               | 1                                     | 1                   |                  | ✓                          |          | 1                       |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F07            | Market Forecast Price<br>Data Publication               |  |                               |                                       | 1                   |                  | 1                          |          | 1                       |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F08            | Forecast and Market<br>Price Data<br>Processing         |  |                               |                                       | 1                   |                  | <b>√</b>                   |          | V                       |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |









| Function<br>ID | Solution Capability<br>(from the solution<br>Tech Spec) | 201 – Data Exchange<br>/lessage Gateway | 202 – Data Exchange<br>Client | 203 – Data Exchange<br>/lessage Broker | 04 – Data Exchange | 205 – DER App UI | 206 – Message<br>Processor | 207 – PI | 208 – Intelligence Node | 209 – PI AF | 210 – ETL Node | 211 – PI Data Archive | 312 – RTDE | 313 – EDP/PowerBI | 314 – Jumper Server |
|----------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--|--------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| E09            | Facility Telemetry                                      | -02                                     | -0-0                          | _0 2                                   | 1                  | -0-              |                            |          |                         |             |                | -0-                   |            | -0-               | -0                  |
|                | Data Processing   |   |                               |  | v                  |                  | V                          |          | V                       |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F10            | Control Room User<br>Interface                          |   |                               |  |                    |                  |                            |          |                         | 1           |                |                       |            |                   | √                   |
| F11            | Facility Forecast Data<br>Processing                    |   |                               |  | 1                  |                  | 1                          |          |                         |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F12            | Processing Real Time<br>Market Submissions<br>(RTMS)    |   | √                             | √                                      | 1                  |                  | 1                          |          | 1                       |             |                |                       | 1          |                   |                     |
| F13            | User Modifiable Test<br>Variables                       |   |                               |  |                    |                  | 1                          |          | 1                       |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F14            | Out of Merit Dispatch                                   |   |                               |  |                    |                  | 1                          |          | 1                       |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F15            | Constrain to Zero<br>Scheduler                          |   |                               |  |                    |                  | 1                          |          | 1                       |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F16            | In Merit Market Solver                                  |   |                               |  |                    |                  | 1                          |          | 1                       |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F17            | Dispatch Instructions<br>Publication                    | 1                                       | √                             | √                                      | 1                  |                  | 1                          |          |                         |             |                |                       |            |                   |                     |
| F18            | Pre-dispatch<br>Instruction Schedule<br>Publication     | 1                                       | √                             | √                                      | 1                  |                  |                            |          |                         |             |                |                       | 1          |                   |                     |
| F19            | Platform Data<br>Storage                                |   |                               |  |                    |                  |                            |          |                         |             | 1              | 1                     |            |                   |                     |
| F20            | Platform Data for<br>analysis                           |   |                               |  |                    |                  |                            | 1        |                         |             | 1              |                       |            | 1                 | ✓                   |

Table 22: Solution components mapped to functionality

#### 7.5.2 Data exchange layer

The Data exchange layer is a core part of the solution architecture described in Section 7 of the ARENA <u>Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements</u><sup>27</sup> report. The Data exchange layer provides the underlying capability to enable the interfaces described in table 21 (above). The layer includes many elements, this section focuses on the two most significant aspects – the Data Exchange mechanism and the authorisation and authentication (authn/authz) mechanism.







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> <u>Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements</u> refers to the data exchange layer as a distributed service bus.



#### 7.5.2.1 Data Exchange Mechanism

The simplified model of the Data Exchange mechanism is illustrated in figure 5. The core component of the model is the Data Exchange message broker which serves as a messaging hub for the principal actors in the project. The message broker is equivalent to the distributed service bus mentioned in the ARENA <u>Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional</u> Requirements<sup>28</sup> report, and supports the list of capabilities enunciated in Section 7 of that report.



Figure 7. Data Exchange Mechanism

The key components comprising the Data Exchange mechanism, as illustrated in figure 5 are:

- Data Exchange Client the mechanism by which solutions outside the vendors environment can exchange (publish or subscribe) data with the intelligence layer in a consistent and secure manner.
- Data Exchange Platform Gateway the container containing the software components to support the interacting with the message broker.
- Data Exchange Platform Broker a messaging hub (or distributed service bus) through which messages are published or subscribed to across the DER ecosystem.
- Data Exchange Platform Transport provides a mechanism through which messages can be "bridged" between different message gateways securely and reliably. A message archive associated with the transport component is used which keeps a persistent log of all messages exchanged.







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> <u>Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements</u> refers to the data exchange layer as a distributed service bus.



Each participant's container (Data Exchange Platform Gateway) provides the capability to send/receive messages asynchronously with other peers within the common messaging infrastructure.

The container contains topics or channels which act as a conduit through which messages can be published or subscribed to in the Broker. Access to topics is managed via the authn/authz approach which is described in the next section. Schemas are defined for each topic describing the nature of the data (or the interface payload) that is exchanged. Schema for each channel or integration are listed in Appendix D of this report.

#### 7.5.2.2 Authorisation and Authentication

The authorisation and authentication element implemented by the vendor is principally based on the use of blockchain technology and open-source standards.

Registration to use the system is via a Gateway UI which establishes a credential, a decentralised identifier (DID) enabling participation (when approved by the DMO) and for encryption/decryption of messages (delivered by topics). Localised DID documents provide the mechanism by which the credential and associated claims (i.e., what can be done) are managed and maintained within the containers mentioned above.

The use of Self-Sovereign Identity (SSI) technologies to support the DID documents enables participants to maintain their own credential certificates for accessing the hub or Data Exchange Message Broker. Secure communications between participants (the DSO and Aggregator) and DMO is managed by IP Whitelisting and MTLS certificates. The use of Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) allows a verifiable data registry to be managed across all the DER market without relying upon a centralised authority. The Verifiable Credentials (VCs) maintained with the registry provide the digital credentials that support the identification and non-repudiation of the messages exchanged.

#### 7.5.2.3 Multiple Data Exchange Message Gateway Nodes

To bring the Data Exchange mechanism and the authorisation and authentication (authn/authz) mechanism together, each participant or actor hosts their own container in their environment (the Data Exchange Message Gateway). The distributed service bus or hub environment is composed of multiple Data Exchange Message Gateway nodes interacting with each other through the transport layer (Data Exchange Message Broker). Each node (or multiple nodes) in the cluster is identified with a unique Decentralised Identifier (DID).

Blockchain technology enables each participant to create and maintain this unique and persistent identifier (DID) for which they retain full control. This identifier enables the encryption of any messages they generate and determines which topics they can access and use.

#### 7.6 Platform Technology

At a combined level, the principal technologies associated with the solution components are illustrated in figure 6. These are colour coded according to the technology type.







Figure 8. DMO Platform Solution Components

Table 23 describes the supporting technology for each of the solution components detailed in section 7.2.1. Note, some components of the DMO solution are Commercial Off-the-shelf (COTS) products, this report will not cover the technologies used in each product, noting that some products are open source.

| Component ID | Component Name                                       | Technology       |
|--------------|--|------------------|
| C01          | Data Exchange Client DSB Data Exchange               | Microsoft AKS    |
| C02          | DER Exchange Message Gateway DSB Data<br>Exchange    | Microsoft AKS    |
| C03          | Data Exchange Message BrokerDSBData                  | Microsoft AKS    |
|              |  | Microsoft Cosmos |
| C04          | Data Exchange PlatformTransport DSB Data<br>Exchange | Microsoft AKS    |
| C05          | Data Exchange Platform UI                            | Microsoft AKS    |
| C06          | Message Processor                                    | Windows Server   |
|              |  | Mongo DB         |
| C07          | Telemetry Visualisation                              | Windows Server   |
| C08          | Intelligence Node                                    | Windows Server   |







### Project Symphony

Our energy future

| Component ID | Component Name         | Technology                     |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| C09          | Telemetry Asset Model  | Microsoft SQL Server           |
|              |                        | Windows Server                 |
| C10          | ETL Node               | Windows Server                 |
|              |                        | Microsoft SQL Server           |
| C11          | Telemetry Data Archive | Windows Server                 |
| C12          | Dispatch               | Bespoke developed application  |
|              | Bids and Offers        |                                |
| C13          | Data Platform          | Range of technologies with the |
|              |                        | including:                     |
|              |                        | Azure Data Factory             |
|              |                        | Azure Blob Storage             |
|              |                        | Azure Synapse                  |
|              |                        | MICrosoft PowerBI              |
| C14          | Jumper Server          | Windows virtual server         |

Table 23: Supporting technology of the solution components

### 7.7 DMO Platform Build Lessons Learnt

This section describes a range of topics and the associated outcomes and/or lessons learnt that pertained to defining and building the DMO platform solution. Whilst the content in this section is perhaps more pertinent to the scope in the ARENA <u>Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements</u> report, a range of topics have arisen since the development of that report. Hence, they have been included for completeness.

#### 7.7.1 Defining the Solution

| No. | Торіс   | Barrier Or Benefit  | Outcome and/or Lesson   |
|-----|---|---|---|
| 1   | Adoption of emerging technologies and standards | <b>Benefit:</b> The selection of the two DMO principal vendors introduced a range of new technology capabilities. | <b>Outcome:</b> A workable solution<br>was established that<br>demonstrated the viability of<br>emerging technologies and<br>approaches.      |
|     |   | <b>Barrier</b> : There was a lack of fit-for-purpose DMO systems in the marketplace.                              | Outcome: The solution<br>aligned with emerging DER<br>technology developments<br>worldwide specific to how DER<br>is being supported in other |









| No. | Торіс                                       | Barrier Or Benefit   | Outcome and/or Lesson  |
|-----|---|--|--|
|     |   |  | jurisdictions. The adoption of<br>alternative solutions may have<br>reduced the impetus to<br>consider the technology<br>supporting Project Symphony.  |
|     |   | <b>Barrier:</b> There is a lack of skills and understanding of the technology in the local market.   | <b>Outcome:</b> The solution<br>required a high level of<br>customisation to ensure if was<br>fit-for-purpose for the Pilot.   |
|     |   | <b>Benefit:</b> Consideration of new technologies and standards will-inform and shape future directions. Particularly the data exchange solution which will demonstrate concepts such as   | <b>Lesson:</b> The impact and risks<br>of adopting new technologies<br>need to be assessed and<br>mitigated as part of the early<br>project planning and<br>execution.   |
|     |   | Distributed Ledger Technology<br>(DLT), Self-Sovereign Identity<br>(SSI) and Decentralized<br>identifiers (DID).   | Lesson:<br>Initial use and performance<br>testing has demonstrated the<br>data exchange solution has<br>high potential to manage the<br>increased scale of data and<br>higher number of participants<br>expected to be transacted to<br>support DER orchestration.   |
| 2   | Non-Functional Requirement<br>specification | <b>Barrier:</b> Some of the metrics<br>used to confirm the NFR was<br>met were inherently difficult to<br>define given the initial use of<br>the solution as part of a Pilot.<br>The nature of the Pilot meant<br>that the level of investment in<br>technical capability precluded<br>fully meeting various NFR<br>metrics. Likewise, the scale<br>and scope of the NFR was<br>constrained in line with the<br>Pilot. | Outcome: Some of the NFRs,<br>whilst valid, were difficult to<br>directly measure and meet.<br>Outcome: The underlying<br>monitoring and logging<br>capability to confirm the<br>metrics were not established<br>or the time/cost was prohibitive<br>in implementing them.<br>Lesson: A balance between<br>metrics relevant for a Pilot<br>versus a production<br>requirement to be carefully<br>assessed to ensure the correct<br>and appropriate definition. |







# Project Symphony

Our energy future

| No. | Торіс                                  | Barrier Or Benefit  | Outcome and/or Lesson   |
|-----|--|---|---|
|     |  |   | <b>Lesson:</b> Confirm the<br>availability of capabilities to<br>support the measurement of<br>NFR targets when the NFR are<br>framed to ensure they can be<br>adequately measured.   |
| 3   | Vendor relationships                   | Barrier: Although the two<br>principal DMO vendors had<br>relevant experience and track<br>record, they had not previously<br>been engaged to deliver a<br>combined commercial or<br>technical solution.<br>Relationships needed to be<br>established as a necessary<br>prerequisite to undertaking the<br>Pilot.<br>Barrier: No integrated<br>software delivery platform was<br>available to support both  | Outcome: The adoption of two<br>separate vendors with no<br>previous relationships added<br>complexity and time to the<br>delivery of the Pilot.<br>Lesson: The impact and risks<br>of adopting vendors with no<br>previous history would need to<br>be assessed and mitigated as<br>part of any project planning<br>and execution.   |
| 4   | Alignment with Technology<br>Standards | <ul> <li>Vendors.</li> <li>Barrier: The DMO technology<br/>standards and patterns<br/>imposed a set of requirements<br/>on the vendors, which was<br/>different to the standard<br/>technologies they typically<br/>used.</li> <li>Benefit: Alignment with<br/>technology standards provides<br/>benefits such as efficient<br/>support and operational<br/>activities.</li> <li>The adoption of standards also<br/>provided economies of scale,<br/>reduced security exposure, etc<br/>across the enabling<br/>platform(s).</li> <li>Alignment with standards<br/>would also facilitate the<br/>transition from a Pilot to a<br/>more production capable<br/>solution</li> </ul> | Outcome: The requirement to<br>adopt a different set of<br>enabling platform technologies<br>introduced additional risk, cost,<br>and time to the delivery.<br>Vendors had to adjust /<br>augment their<br>delivery/technical resources<br>and practices to deliver<br>solutions.<br>Lesson: The impact of<br>technology standards and<br>patterns on vendors be<br>established and factored into<br>the project plan and approach<br>as early as possible. |

Table 24: Lessons Learnt: Defining the Solution









#### 7.7.2 Delivering the Solution

This section describes a range of topics and the associated outcomes and/or lessons learnt that were identified when considering delivering the DMO's solution for Project Symphony.

| No. | Торіс   | Barrier_Or Benefit   | Outcome and/or Lesson  |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 1   | Multiple repositories across<br>DMO & vendors | <b>Barrier:</b> DMO managed its<br>design and technical artifacts<br>within its own document<br>management systems <u>.</u><br>Artifacts needed to be<br>duplicated across all vendor &<br>DMO document management<br>systems                    | <b>Outcome:</b> The DMO had to<br>manage multiple repositories<br>and ensured duplicated<br>documents were maintained.<br>This caused additional effort<br>for version control and artifact<br>management.                                 |
|     |   |  | <b>Outcome</b> : Despite multiple<br>repositories, a set of shared<br>documents were developed<br>and maintained to keep the<br>solutions aligned (principally<br>in integration) in lock-step with<br>each other.                         |
|     |   |  | <b>Lesson:</b> A single repository<br>for technical documentation<br>could be adopted and_shared<br>across DMO, partners and<br>vendors.   |
| 2   | Awareness of delivery delays                  | <b>Barrier:</b> A framework for<br>communicating and tracking<br>key dates and requirements<br>was established to ensure<br>there was a common<br>understanding with vendors.<br>However, notification of the<br>inability of vendors to deliver | Outcome: Testing planning<br>and coordination activities had<br>to be modified, which resulted<br>in project delays and additional<br>costs.<br>Lesson: Ensure that the<br>awareness and   |
|     |   | capability was often late in the testing planning and mobilisation activities.   | communication of the delivery<br>timeframes, requirements, and<br>completeness (entry/exit)<br>criteria are well defined and<br>communicated.  |
| 3   | Incremental delivery approach                 | <b>Benefit:</b> To support a rapid<br>test and learn process and to<br>provide rapid feedback to<br>Project participants, an<br>incremental delivery approach<br>was adopted in which<br>capability was progressively                            | Outcome: Some early design<br>decisions and specifications<br>had to be reversed as a more<br>comprehensive solution was<br>delivered after delivery<br>limitations were identified. In<br>some cases, the original intent<br>of the DMO's |









| No. | Торіс   | Barrier_Or Benefit   | Outcome and/or Lesson   |
|-----|---|--|---|
|     |   | delivered across the hybrid<br>business "ecosystem".<br><b>Barrier:</b> Early design decisions<br>and specifications had to be   | approach/solution had to<br>rebuilt/refactored as the<br>project progressed. (For<br>example, the original intent<br>was for the Aggregator to  |
|     |   | reversed as a more<br>comprehensive solution was<br>delivered.   | dispatch from the DI but was<br>changed to dispatching off pre-<br>dispatch).   |
|     |   | <b>Benefit:</b> Incremental delivery<br>supported the ability to provide<br>rapid feedback on the<br>suitability of the overall<br>solution, and to assist in the<br>evolution of the overall solution   |   |
|     |   | enhancements and defects<br>were identified earlier in the<br>delivery process. These items<br>could then be incorporated into<br>subsequent solution iterations.  |   |
|     |   | <b>Benefit:</b> The test and learn<br>process was able to<br>commence early in the process<br>to assist in the delivery and<br>confirmation of capabilities<br>delivered.  |   |
| 4   | Deployment in non -production<br>environments | <b>Barrier:</b> To reduce costs and<br>to maximise flexibility in code<br>delivery and solution<br>configuration, the DMO<br>solution was delivered and<br>operated from a test<br>environment rather than<br>production environment.<br>The standard monitoring and<br>logging technologies deployed<br>in a production environment<br>were not available to the<br>project (imposing additional<br>costs and support overheads). | Outcome: Monitoring<br>capabilities were not in place<br>to rapidly identify issues such<br>as lack of data delivery which<br>impacted test and learn<br>activities.<br>Manual monitoring and<br>invention were required as a<br>result of automated_monitoring<br>and alerting capabilities not<br>being in place.<br>Lesson: The rationale and<br>implications of this deployment<br>approach needed to be<br>communicated to partners to<br>ensure expectations and a<br>shared understanding of the |







### Project Symphony

Our energy future

| No. | Торіс  | Barrier_Or Benefit  | Outcome and/or Lesson  |
|-----|--|---|--|
|     |  |   | benefits of cost and flexibility<br>in code and solution vs. the<br>processes and disciplines of a<br>production environment is<br>required.   |
| 5   | Visibility of Vendor's System<br>Integration Testing (SIT)<br>Outcomes | <ul> <li>Barrier: Vendors' SIT<br/>approach and outcomes were<br/>not made visible to the project<br/>team in the early part of the<br/>project. As a result, the<br/>completeness of internal<br/>testing before release was<br/>unclear which had adverse<br/>impacts.</li> <li>Benefit: In response to these<br/>challenges, a robust three<br/>phase process was</li> </ul> | Outcome: Some gaps in the<br>solution were exposed during<br>cross SIT in the QA<br>environment and other testing,<br>resulting in delays and<br>additional costs.<br>Lesson: Robust vendor-<br>related SIT processes are<br>established early in a project,<br>which also produces auditable<br>evidence of the vendor's SIT<br>outcomes. |
|     |  | <ul> <li>established:</li> <li>The DMO developed a list of test requirements</li> <li>The vendor would take this information and develop a detailed vendor SIT plan.</li> <li>The vendor executed a walkthrough of the testing (live or a recording) according to the test plan</li> </ul>  |  |
| 6   | Different projects shared the same environments                        | <b>Barrier:</b> Two projects, Project<br>EDGE and Project Symphony,<br>shared the same underlying<br>infrastructure and solutions.<br>This created delivery<br>complexities due to each<br>project's schedules.   | <b>Outcome:</b> The shared<br>environment created a direct<br>set of dependencies between<br>the project influence and the<br>project execution and timing of<br>both.   |
|     |  | <ul> <li>Benefit: The overall cost to the DMO was lower than otherwise would have been the case.</li> <li>Benefit: The sharing of the same platforms enabled developments to be shared across both projects (where there was common needs),</li> </ul>  | <b>Lesson:</b> Ensure the impacts<br>and implications are fully<br>explored at the<br>commencement of the two<br>projects that share common<br>infrastructure to understand<br>delivery dependencies and<br>impacts.   |

Table 25: Lessons Learnt: Delivering the Solution









#### 7.7.3 Supporting the Solution

This section describes a range of topics and the associated outcomes and/or lessons learnt that were identified when considering supporting the DMO's solution.

| No. | Торіс                     | Barrier Or Benefit   | Outcome and/or Lesson   |
|-----|---------------------------|--|---|
| 1   | Deployment automation     | <b>Barrier:</b> The vendor solution<br>did not easily support the use<br>of a Continuous Improvement<br>/Continuous Delivery (CI/CD)<br>pipeline. Manual intervention<br>was required to promote<br>software changes to the test<br>(and QA) environments. | Outcome: Operational<br>overheads were higher when<br>CI/CD pipelines could not be<br>used – this also impacted the<br>ability to support automated<br>code inspections.<br>Lesson: In line with modern<br>practices, ensure that software<br>can be deployed in via a CI/CD<br>pipeline primarily to support a<br>DevSecOps approach which<br>that underpinned the delivery<br>of the Pilot (and supports the<br>cyber security obligations of<br>the DMO).  |
| 2   | Configuration consistency | <b>Barrier:</b> The configuration<br>between the development and<br>the test/QA environments were<br>different for a period of time.   | Outcome: Software migration<br>issues and testing outcome<br>misalignment between the<br>different environments created<br>additional support and testing<br>issues until the root cause was<br>identified.<br>Lesson: Ensure appropriate<br>documentation, configuration<br>and change management<br>across all technical<br>environments.<br>Lesson: Ensure all the correct<br>environments are in place for<br>managing the migration of<br>code through to a "production"<br>environment. For example<br>going from QA to trial without a<br>"pre-prod" env that mirrors<br>"production" (i.e. the trial<br>environment). |

Table 26: Lessons Learnt: Supporting the Solution









### 8 Aggregator Platform

#### 8.1 Aggregator Platform Build Approach

The Project Symphony Functional and Non-Functional Requirements<sup>29</sup> (*Project Requirements*) document defines the requirements to deliver a Aggregator Platform for the Project Symphony Pilot.

Synergy's Aggregator solution – known as the Synergy Energy Management System, or SEMS – was developed using Agile-based practices by both Synergy and the aggregator platform vendors. This approach aligned with the explorative nature of Project Symphony, allowing Synergy to incrementally deliver capabilities as required by the overall Project Symphony 'Drop' schedule, to respond to feedback from testing cycles, incorporate new requirements, and to re-prioritise work as needed to meet evolving project objectives.

The SEMS solution, as explained in Section 8.2, consists of both custom-built elements and vendor sourced SaaS-hosted COTS solutions.

The initial build of SEMS based on the misalignment of requirements did not include support for third party aggregators being incorporated into one Virtual Power Plant (VPP) Facility, despite it being a requirement of the scope. When the requirement was later aligned and considered within the platform it lead to rework and increased complexity for a significant portion of the custom build elements.

The custom build elements of the solution were developed using a substantial element of cloudhosted Platform as a Service (PaaS) services. This allowed Synergy to invest in system capabilities only as required, to pivot on technical platform choices as and when new requirements necessitated change. It also allowed Synergy to take advantage of the breadth of technical platform options available in the major cloud vendor environments to deliver requirements.

The bespoke or custom build elements were coded using modern scripting languages and serverside application frameworks, deployed into cloud hosted application containers, to support Agile development practices and incremental feature delivery.

Given the context of Project Symphony as a Pilot initiative, the solution was built largely on standalone implementations of solution components – even where the selected solution is an incumbent technology or vendor platform within Synergy – to minimise risk to the stability or operational behaviour of existing enterprise systems. At the same time, the custom solution components developed by Synergy for Project Symphony have been hosted within Synergy's cloud tenancy to benefit from existing enterprise-wide capabilities – such as network and cyber-security controls.







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements, Electricity Networks Corporation, February 2022, Section 3



#### 8.2 Aggregator Solutions Architecture

#### 8.2.1 Solutions Principles

The following four Synergy Enterprise Architecture principles have specifically influenced the design of SEMS:

| Principle            | Description   | Applicability   |  |
|----------------------|---|---|--|
| Secure End to<br>End | Technology and information is<br>secured in accordance with<br>technology policies, security<br>patterns and the risk to the grid,<br>enterprise or it's stakeholders,<br>customers, partners and employees | SEMS will have operational control of<br>customer assets, with the potential for<br>financial, safety and reputational<br>damage if asset control is<br>compromised.<br>The platform will therefore apply best-<br>practice security end-to-end to reduce |  |
|                      |   | risk to customer assets so far as is<br>reasonably practical.   |  |
| Mature<br>Solutions  | Cutting-edge technology or vendors<br>were avoided to minimise technical<br>risk and resource constraints, unless<br>specifically innovating for business<br>advantage.                                     | While mature solutions were<br>preferred, operational control of DER<br>assets aggregated as VPPs is a still<br>developing solution domain, with no<br>one vendor providing a complete end-<br>to-end solution.   |  |
|                      |   | To achieve test and learn goals,<br>Synergy worked with multiple vendors<br>to meet project objectives, including<br>developing local customisations or<br>enhancements.  |  |
| Reuse, Buy,<br>Build | Re-use existing applications within the Enterprise, if determined 'fit for purpose'.  | Due to the "best of breed" vendor<br>selection approach (documented<br>previously in The Project Symphony   |  |
|                      | If there is no option to re-use, select<br>proven COTS solutions to reduce<br>delivery risk, increase supportability<br>and reduce TCO.   | Functional and Non-Functional<br>Requirements <sup>30</sup> the specific<br>requirements of Project Symphony's<br>'simulated market rules' and  |  |
|                      | If there is no fit for purpose COTS solution, develop the solution.   | operational processes, a substantial<br>element of custom build was required<br>to support both integration between<br>multiple vendor platforms and<br>implementation of features not<br>available in COTS solutions.                                    |  |

<sup>30</sup> Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements, Electricity Networks Corporation, February 2022, Section 3









|             |   | Therefore, while "buy" was the<br>primary strategy for obtaining many of<br>the core DER/VPP capabilities, "build"<br>was adopted where necessary to<br>integrate or supplement procured<br>solutions.  |
|-------------|---|---|
| Cloud First | If appropriate, leveraging SaaS or<br>PaaS solutions is preferred in order<br>to reduce Synergy's overall PaaS<br>debt. | Project Symphony is a Pilot to assess<br>future solution options and business<br>models for incorporating DER assets<br>into the SWIS and WEM. At the<br>completion of the Pilot some or all the<br>current Pilot SEMS solution may be<br>retired, contained or may need<br>redevelopment in light of Test and<br>Learn outcomes. As such, solution<br>components and capabilities were<br>sourced where practical through<br>subscription-based SaaS or PaaS<br>platforms. |

Table 27: Architecture Principles

#### 8.2.2 Solutions Overview

At the completion of The Project Symphony Functional and Non-Functional Requirements<sup>31</sup>, a highlevel logical model was proposed for the Aggregator solution, based on a market assessment of potential vendors and an understanding of their capabilities. That model is repeated here to provide traceability from the logical to the final physical design.









<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Project Symphony Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements, Electricity Networks Corporation, February 2022, Section 3



| AEMO                             | Western Power                     |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| SYNERGY TECHNOLOGY STACK         |                                   |  |  |  |  |
| Synergy Energy Management System | Synergy Energy Management System  |  |  |  |  |
| External                         | External Partner APIs             |  |  |  |  |
| Synergy Trading Platform         | DER Trading Platform              |  |  |  |  |
| CLOUD PLATFORMS                  | CLOUD PLATFORMS                   |  |  |  |  |
| DER O                            | DER Optimisation                  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor & C                      | Monitor & Control Platform        |  |  |  |  |
| ON-SITE                          | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Party Aggregators |  |  |  |  |
| Gateway Controller               | 3PA Platform                      |  |  |  |  |
| DER Assets                       | 3PA DER Assets                    |  |  |  |  |
|                                  |                                   |  |  |  |  |

Figure 9 – Aggregator High-Level Logical Solution

The following diagram and table (below), provides a high-level overview of the as-built integrated platforms that make up SEMS. As can be seen by comparing elements across the diagrams, there is a close correspondence between the envisaged solution and the actual platform components implemented to provide the Aggregator solution.

Note that Third-Party Aggregators (3PA) are included in this diagram for contextual completeness. Synergy required 3PAs to provide services to Synergy that possessed an Energy Management System (EMS) for controlling their customer's DER assets. From Synergy's perspective each 3PA's EMS is viewed as a "black box": Synergy provides outcomes-based instructions to the 3PAs and expects the 3PAs to control their assets to those objectives. It is not within the scope of this report to describe or assess each 3PA EMS.

In addition, while 3PAs provide details of their enrolled DER assets to Synergy to enable Synergy to fulfill other market obligations (such as Asset Registration with AEMO acting in its role as the Distributed Market Operator), SEMS does not directly monitor or control individual 3PA DER assets. 3PAs register collections of DER assets as "Asset Groups" with SEMS, and Synergy dispatches and receives telemetry to/from 3PAs at the Asset Group level<sup>32</sup>. As such individual 3PA DER assets are not represented in the following diagram.







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> See Section 7.2.4,' for further details.



| AEMO DER Data             | Western Power DER       |             |            |                        |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|------------------------|
| Instance                  | Platform Instance       |             |            |                        |
| ·····                     | <b>1</b>                |             |            |                        |
|                           |                         | (           |            |                        |
| /nergy Energy Manage      | ement System            |             |            | Third Party Aggregator |
| ▼<br>Synergy DER Data Exc | hange Platform Instance | Synergy API |            | ₹ 3PA API Gateway      |
|                           | 3                       | Gateway     |            |                        |
| ,                         | •                       |             |            |                        |
| ,                         | ↓<br>▼                  | ↓ ↓         |            | i 🗸                    |
| SEMS Platform             | •                       |             |            | 3PA EMS                |
|                           |                         |             |            |                        |
| <u></u>                   | <b>^</b>                |             |            |                        |
| <u> </u>                  | •                       |             |            |                        |
| 2 VPP & DER Optimisatio   | on Platform             |             |            |                        |
|                           |                         |             |            |                        |
|                           | ↑                       |             |            |                        |
| DER Monitor and Contr     | ol Platform             |             |            |                        |
|                           |                         |             |            |                        |
|                           |                         |             |            |                        |
|                           |                         |             | /          |                        |
| /nergy Customer Sites     |                         |             | <u>`</u> } |                        |
|                           | +                       |             |            |                        |
| g Gateway Controller      |                         |             |            |                        |
|                           |                         |             |            |                        |
|                           | ↑                       |             |            |                        |
| Controllable Asset / Mea  | asurement Sensor        |             |            |                        |
|                           |                         |             |            |                        |
|                           |                         |             |            |                        |
|                           |                         |             |            | i                      |

Figure 10 – Aggregator Solution Platform Overview

| Component | Purpose  |
|-----------|--|
| 3PA EMS   | 3PA Energy management System. Each Third-Party<br>Aggregator's functional equivalent to Synergy's SEMS<br>solution. Responsible for forecasting, optimising, controlling<br>and monitoring DER assets operated by the 3PA. |









| API Gateway                    | A platform for hosting web-services based on open industry<br>standards such as REST, JSON and HTTPS. Enables the<br>secure publishing of internal APIs to external business<br>partners via the internet. In the case of Project Symphony,<br>used by Synergy to expose SEMS hosted APIs to Third-<br>Party Aggregators. 3PAs are assumed to have an<br>equivalent API Gateway capability to make their internal<br>APIs available to Synergy. |
|--------------------------------|---|
| DER Monitor & Control Platform | Provides consolidated management and control of sites<br>where the vendor's Gateway Controller has been installed.<br>Depending on the type of service required, may provide asset<br>level optimisation based on site level objectives provided from<br>the up-stream VPP & DER Optimisation Platform.<br>Provides a centralised location for observing DER asset<br>health, collecting monitoring data and sending setpoint                   |
|                                | commands to individual Gateway Controller.  |
|                                | Provides data from DER asset monitoring to validate a service was dispatched correctly to enable payment of the service.  |
| SEMS Platform                  | The platform is the designed to interface with AEMO, and<br>Generac to allow RTMS, forecast and Dispatch of the VPP<br>facility submitted Synergy's custom developed solution,<br>used to:  |
|                                | <ul> <li>Consume messages from and publish messages to the<br/>DER Data Exchange .</li> </ul>   |
|                                | <ul> <li>Manage reference data and business processes that<br/>are not otherwise supported within any of the selected<br/>vendor solutions.</li> </ul>  |
|                                | <ul> <li>Provide contextual awareness for routing messages to<br/>either internal systems (for Synergy managed DER<br/>assets) or 3PAs (for assets under their control).</li> </ul>   |
|                                | <ul> <li>Provide a Web UI for SEMS Operators and Traders to<br/>manage data and submit and review market<br/>transactions.</li> </ul>   |
|                                | <ul> <li>Provide aggregation of multiple VPPs up to AEMO registered Facility level.</li> </ul>  |
|                                | <ul> <li>Provide scheduling services for regularly recurring tasks.</li> </ul>  |
|                                | Route and transform messages between DER Data Exchange and selected vendor solutions.   |









Table 28 – Aggregator Solution Overview – Element Catalogue

#### 8.2.3 Solution Control Loop

As illustrated in the following diagram, the component layers within the overall SEMS solution have been designed to provide increasing scheduling granularity and localised autonomy as control authority moves closer to the actual DER asset.



Figure 11 – Aggregator Control Loop Overview

The higher-level platforms maintain an understanding of the behaviour of the system as a whole, while monitoring and optimising over longer time intervals (e.g., market intervals) while lower-level





platforms operate on a more limited view of the state of the overall system but can monitor and react on shorter timeframes.

Each layer applies instructions to the layer below based on:

- Instructions issued from the immediately higher layer in the control hierarchy.
- Aggregated telemetry reported from the immediately lower layer in the control hierarchy.

The layering approach has been designed to provide several outcomes:

- Localised self-optimisation at each layer within the overall objectives that the DER assets are being controlled to.
- Reduced communications and processing workload at each layer.
- Faster response to transient local conditions at the customer site.

#### 8.2.4 Primary Aggregator Managing Third-Party Aggregator DER Asset Control

The following diagram illustrates how SEMS represents and manages 3PA managed DER assets, given that SEMS does not have direct control or visibility of 3PA DER assets.

| Project Symphony Partners        |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Synergy Energy Management System | Image: Second |
| VPP & DER Optimisation Platform  | Corresponds To  |

Figure 12 – 3PA DER Asset Control

Each 3PA self-nominates one or more "Asset Groups" to represent a collection of DER assets under their control and management. Each nominated Asset Group is represented within the Aggregator





VPP & DER Optimisation Platform as a corresponding "Virtual Energy Resource". A Virtual Energy Resource is not in direct communication with the actual DER assets in the Asset Group. Instead, based on information provided by the 3PA, a Virtual Battery is configured for expected load and generation behaviour, including regular updates to forecast base capacity and flex-up and flex-down.

The expected behaviour of the Virtual Energy Resource is to be aggregated together with Synergy managed DER assets when participating in market transactions such as forecasts and bids and offers. When pre-dispatch and dispatch instructions are received, the VPP & DER Optimisation platform SEMS Platformdisaggregates those instructions between Synergy managed DER assets and 3PAs based on the expected Virtual Battery behaviour. The 3PA-specific dispatch instructions are generated at the Asset Group level, and the 3PA is responsible for further disaggregating the instructions to the individual DER assets within the Asset Group. To close the control loop and confirm the requested behaviour was delivered, the 3PA provides after-the-event telemetry summarised to the Asset Group

#### 8.2.5 Solution Component Integration

Automated integrations between SEMS components have been implemented using authenticated, web standards-based, API endpoints over encrypted public internet infrastructure (e.g., REST/JSON over HTTPS).

Integration between the DER Monitor & Control PlatformDER Monitor & Control Platform and the Gateway Controller at a customer site is a singular variation from this pattern: communications between these platforms is provided via 3G/4G infrastructure, secured using a VPN.

Connectivity between the Gateway Controller and any Controllable Asset/Sensor at the customer site is via physical connection to the customer's home network (e.g., via Ethernet cable). Due to DER assets typically lacking more than one isolated data port (and that port already connected to the customer network for OEM monitoring) the Gateway Controller also needs to be joined to the customer's home network to gain access to DER assets installed at site. However, communication between the DER Monitor & Control PlatformDER Monitor & Control Platform and the Gateway Controller for DER asset control and reporting is via the internal 3G/4G modem, and does not traverse the customer's home network.

Some one-off or infrequent and ad hoc information exchanges between Synergy and other Project Symphony partners have been implemented using an authenticated, cloud hosted file sharing service.

#### 8.2.6 Customer DER Asset Integration

The following diagram illustrates the foundational architecture pattern for physically integrating the Aggregator solution with DER assets at Synergy controlled customer sites. Note that there may be minor variations on this architecture for specific customer sites<sup>33</sup>, but the substantial portion of customer sites follow this approach. In the diagram, the orange/brown lines are the power







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> For example, installing a second Gateway Controller in a cluster to support control of DER assets that are too physically distant from each other at a site to connect to a single device.



connection. A core capability for the aggregator is to monitor, control the DER assets and the the collection of aggregation data, The customer data is collected at both the DER and connection point. In addition to this diagram, The grey lines are the communications and control connections



Figure 13 – Customer Site Deployment Model

Controllable DER assets are physically connected to the Gateway Controller installed at the customer site. Given the range of DER asset vendors and models that have been encountered at recruited customer sites and lack of API standards for local integration, installation of the Gateway Controller as built for Project Symphony will need to consider additional configuration or protocol adaptor updates to support DER asset connectivity and control.

To achieve the objectives when conducting Essentinal System Services Contingency Reserve Raise (ESS-CR) Test and Learn events, a sub-set of enrolled sites with AC-coupled batteries have been selected for instrumentation with High-Speed Data Recorders (HSDR). For those sites where Synergy has installed a HSDR, one of two configurations apply: all HSDR measured sites have an HSDR deployed to measure net power (HSDR 1), while approximately a third of sites have an additional HSDR deployed to measure the battery performance (HSDR 2).





Neither the Gateway Controller nor the HSDR have a dependency on a site's home network for operational control and reporting. The Gateway Controller uses a dedicated 3G/4G modem to securely communicate with the overDER Monitor & Control Platform public telecommunications infrastructure via a Virtual Private Network (VPN). A separate 3G/4G modem is similarly used to communicate with the DER Monitor & Control Platform, SEMS Platform although in this case it is over a Synergy specific private APN (Application Private Network) service.

#### 8.2.7 Site Deployment Metrics

The following table provides some key metrics on the scope of the Project Symphony client site footprint<sup>34</sup>:

| Metric   | Value | Comments                      |
|--|-------|-------------------------------|
| Number of Deployed Sites                               | 356   | Individual Customer sites     |
| Number of PV Systems                                   | 295   |                               |
| Number of Inverter Manufacturers                       | 7     |                               |
| Number of Inverter Models                              | 32    |                               |
| Sites with Residential BESS                            | 150   | 120 AC-Coupled, 30 DC-Coupled |
| Number of BESS Manufactuers                            | 3     | 5 different models            |
| Network Battery  | 1     | Located at Harrisdale         |
| C&I Behind The Meter Battery with<br>Existing PV array | 1     | City of Armadale              |
| Third Party Aggregators Assets                         | 195   | Across 3 vendors              |
| Third Party Aggregators Customers                      | 163   | Across 3 vendors              |
| Sites with Hot Water Systems                           | 30    |                               |
| Sites with Air Condioners                              | 211   |                               |
| Number of HSDR   | 100   |                               |
| Sites with HSDR  | 73    |                               |

Table 29 – Site Deployment Metrics

From a customer site integration perspective, the most significant metrics are the number of inverter manufacturers and models encountered. The effort and cost for integration between the Gateway Controller and the assets at site are impacted by the lack of standards across manufactures and models, and the resulting need to develop custom adapters.







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> As at 03/05/2023.















#### 8.3 Aggregator Platform Description

#### 8.3.1 DER Data Exchange Layer

The DMO sourced data exchange layer is provided as a containerised image to each Project Symphony partner. The following remarks on the data exchange layer solution is limited to the perspective of Synergy as a systems integrator: that is, primarily in terms of ease of deployment and integration into the SEMS environment, without making any assessment of the internal design or implementation qualities of the solution.

| Name                          | DER Data Exchange Layer  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Description                   | AEMO provided data exchange layer for authenticating<br>participants and securely exchanging market messages<br>between primary Project Symphony partners. Each<br>partner hosts their own instance of the data exchange<br>layer  |
| Technology                    | Containerised image provided by DMO to project participants. Deployed into Aggregators cloud hosted, container management environment.   |
| Conformance with Requirements | Message payloads exchanged through the Der data<br>exchange layer have been agreed specifically for the<br>requirements of Project Symphony, and therefore are<br>well aligned.  |
| Scalability                   | Throughput of single instance sufficient for Project Symphony message volumes.   |
| Reuse                         | The Data Exchange Platform was specified by AEMO (DMO) for the purposes of data exchange in Project Symphony. Extended use beyong this is a consideration of project recommendations rather than requirements. The DER Data Exchange is viewed as a project specific solution.   |
| Standards                     | The DER Data Exchange publishes and consumes<br>messages using common industry transport protocols<br>(e.g., web sockets and REST), assisting integration with<br>Synergy systems without dependency on vendor specific<br>client libraries.<br>Provision of a containerised image facilitates deployment<br>into Synergy's cloud environment. |
| Security                      | Fit for purpose as a stand-alone solution. Lacks integration with enterprise authentication and authorisation systems.   |









| Technology / Market Maturity | As a Data exchange layer that is being enhanced in<br>response to project requirements, the DER Data<br>Exchange lacks some features commonly found in<br>commercial, enterprise quality messaging platforms. This<br>has necessitated some additional compensatory<br>development in the SEMS Platform component. Further<br>functional enhancements will be required to establish<br>parity with mainstream messaging platforms. |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | parity with mainstream messaging platforms.  |

Table 30: DER Data Exchange Platform

#### 8.3.2 API Gateway

| Name                             | API Gateway   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Description                      | A platform for hosting web-services based on open industry standards such<br>as REST, JSON and HTTPS. Enables the secure publishing of internal<br>APIs to external business partners via the internet. In the case of Project<br>Symphony, used by Synergy to expose SEMS hosted APIs to Third-Party<br>Aggregators. 3PAs are assumed to have an equivalent API Gateway<br>capability to make their internal APIs available to Synergy.  |
| Technology                       | Project Symphony has levereged Synergy's enterprise APIM platform to<br>provide the API Gateway capability. The APIM is a commercial product<br>which is available either as a SaaS offering or as a self-hosted installation.<br>The selected platform supports a wide range of messaging protocols and<br>authentication standards.<br>For Project Symphony, the APIM is self-hosted within Synergy's Cloud<br>tenancy using a containerised image provided by the APIM vendor. |
| Assessment                       |   |
| Conformance with<br>Requirements | Published APIs are designed specifically for Synergy's Aggregator requirements of Project Symphony, and therefore well aligned.   |
| Scalability                      | Self-hosted instances can be scaled as required by deploying additional instances behind a load-balancer.   |
| Reuse                            | The API Gateway is a general purpose platform for exposing APIs, and is re-usable outside the project.  |
|                                  | Published APIs for Project Symphony are specific to the requirements of Synergy's Aggregator role, and not intended for use outside that context.   |
| Standards                        | The API Gateway supports common industry protocols for API publication,<br>and has an extensible architecture for developing custom protocol handlers<br>if required.   |









| Security                        | While anonymous API access is supported, access to the API Gateway for<br>Project Symphony published APIs requires authentication and authorisation<br>using pre-shared credentials.                            |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Technology / Market<br>Maturity | Synergy's selected platform is well established in the relevant market segment, and there are a number of prominent vendors with equivalent platforms available should the need arise to replace the incumbent. |

Table 31: API Gateway

#### 8.3.3 3PA EMS

Description and assessment of individual 3PA EMS is outside the scope of this report. The following comments are limited to the perspective of a systems integrator consuming services from, or publishing services to, Third-Party Aggregators.

| Name                             | 3PA EMS   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Description                      | Each Third-Party Aggregator's functional equivalent to Synergy's SEMS solution. Responsible for forecasting, optimising, controlling and monitoring DER assets operated by the 3PA.   |
| Technology                       | Synergy has no insight into, or dependency on, the internal technologies realising 3PA EMS behaviour. It is not in the scope of this solution to describe the 3PA systems. Interactions are via authenticated REST/JSON-based API calls in either direction, and therefore not tightly coupled to any technical platforms operated by 3PAs. |
| Assessment                       |   |
| Conformance with<br>Requirements | API contracts with 3PAs were designed specifically for Synergy's Aggregator role, and therefore are well aligned.   |
| Scalability                      | Not assessed. 3PA EMS API services support the target recruitment numbers for Project Symphony.   |
| Reuse                            | Due to their specific design scope, 3PA EMS APIs are limited to supporting only those behaviours required for the in-scope Test and Learn scenarios.  |
| Standards                        | Interaction with 3PA EMS is via web-based APIs using well supported, industry standard protocols such as HTTPS and REST/JSON.   |
| Security                         | Access to 3PA EMS APIs require authentication and authorisation using pre-shared credentials.   |
| Technology / Market<br>Maturity  | Due to the fact that the 3PA operating model is new to this market, the API definitions and underlying behaviours have required exploration and agreement with contracted 3PAs. Each contracted vendor has been required to develop and publish APIs specific to the needs of Project   |









Symphony, as no existing API definitions existed for this type of market participation.

Table 32: 3PA EMS

#### 8.3.4 SEMS Platform

| Name                                | SEMS Platform  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Description                         | Synergy's custom developed solution, used to:  |  |
|                                     | Consume messages from and publish messages to the DER Data Exchange .  |  |
|                                     | <ul> <li>Manage reference data and business processes that are not otherwise supported<br/>within any of the selected vendor solutions.</li> </ul>   |  |
|                                     | <ul> <li>Provide contextual awareness for routing messages to either internal systems (for<br/>Synergy managed DER assets) or 3PAs (for assets under their control).</li> </ul>  |  |
|                                     | <ul> <li>Provide a Web UI for SEMS Operators and Traders to manage data and submit and<br/>review market transactions.</li> </ul>  |  |
|                                     | Provide aggregation of multiple VPPs up to AEMO registered Facility level.   |  |
|                                     | Provide scheduling services for regularly recurring tasks.   |  |
|                                     | <ul> <li>Route and transform messages between DER Data Exchange and selected vendor solutions.</li> </ul>  |  |
| Technology                          | <ul> <li>SEMS Platform is a containerised, cloud hosted solution using an assembly of PaaS solutions for foundational capabilities (such as data persistence) and bespoke development for SEMS specific business behaviour.</li> <li>Due to the explorative nature of Project Symphony, SEMS Platform has used modern webbased application frameworks and languages to support agile-based development practices.</li> </ul> |  |
|                                     |  |  |
| Assessment                          |  |  |
| Conformance<br>with<br>Requirements | Solution was designed and built to support the specific functional requirements of Project Symphony.   |  |
| Scalability                         | In principle could be scaled out due to utilisation of PaaS capabilities to host solution components. Ability for a cluster of SEMS instances to reliably consume messages from a single DER Data Exchange instance not investigated.  |  |
| Reuse                               | Implemented capabilities are specific to the requirements of Project Symphony.   |  |
|                                     | While consideration has been given to good software development principles, developing a solution that anticipates requirements other than those of Project Symphony has not been a driving concern given the explorative focus of the project.  |  |
|                                     | Designing for reuse has also been constrained by balancing project deadlines, budget and resource, requiring tactical decisions at times to achieve project outcomes.  |  |







## Project Symphony

#### Our energy future

| Standards                          | Integration between SEMS Platform and other platforms is based on open industry standards (e.g., REST/JSON).  |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Security                           | Access to the web UI for the solution is limited to authenticated internal Synergy users.<br>Internet accessible APIs are restricted to authenticated and authorised users. API access<br>requires pre-approval and internal configuration by Synergy administrators: self-registration<br>is not a supported option.   |
| Technology /<br>Market<br>Maturity | The underlying PaaS capabilities used by the SEMS Platform are available and well supported across all major cloud vendors (although migration between cloud vendors would require rework to address vendor specific APIs and management controls).<br>The SEMS Platform as a whole has no market equivalents for comparison due to the specific requirements of Project Symphony, which has necessitated custom development. |

Table 33: SHEMS Platform

#### 8.3.5 VPP & DER Optimisation Platform

| Name                             | VPP & DER Optimisation Platform  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Description                      | A SaaS hosted COTS solution responsible for modelling the composition of<br>Virtual Power Plants, defining their operational objectives, and generating<br>events to control DER assets in support of the operational objectives.<br>Determines which DER assets will meet the required demand directly from<br>the DMO or as part of the aggregator optimisation for safety or financial<br>outcomes. |
| Technology                       | The VPP & DER Optimisation Platform is a SaaS-hosted solution.   |
|                                  | Automated integration with the platform is via authenticated REST/JSON<br>APIs. User interaction with the Platform is via a HTML5-based web<br>application.  |
|                                  | While the Platform vendor has shared material with Synergy on their hosting<br>environment, as a SaaS-hosted solution the underlying technology<br>selection and design is not within Synergy's remit and for the purposes of<br>this document is viewed as a "black box".   |
| Assessment                       |  |
| Conformance with<br>Requirements | Solution selected for best alignment amongst assessed vendors for the VPP optimisation requirements.   |
| Scalability                      | Subscription based SaaS hosted solution.   |
| Reuse                            | As a commercial, enterprise level solution designed for VPP and DER optimisation, potentially reusable as a solution platform outside the specific requirements of Project Symphony use cases. Actual scope for reuse would  |









|                                 | be dependent on the specifics of any future operational requirements and relevant market rules.  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Standards                       | Integration APIs are specific to vendor.   |
| Security                        | Token based authenticated APIs for integration.<br>User account based authentication for web-based customer portal.                      |
| Technology / Market<br>Maturity | Partial solution for VPP based DER asset optimisation and control, requiring integration with a DER Monitor & Control Platform solution. |

Table 34: VPP & DER Optimisation Platform

#### 8.3.6 DER Monitor and Control Platform

| Name                             | DER Monitor & Control Platform   |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Description                      | Provides consolidated management and control of sites where the vendor's <b>Gateway Controller</b> has been installed. Depending on the type of service required, may provide asset level optimisation based on site level objectives provided from the up-stream VPP & DER Optimisation Platform. |
|                                  | Provides a centralised location for observing DER asset health, collecting monitoring data and sending setpoint commands to individual Gateway Controller.   |
|                                  | Provides data from DER asset monitoring to validate a service was dispatched correctly to enable payment of the service.   |
| Technology                       | The DER Monitor and Control Platform is a SaaS-hosted solution.  |
|                                  | Automated integration with the platform is via authenticated REST/JSON APIs. User interaction with the Platform is via a HTML 5-based web application.   |
|                                  | While the Platform vendor has shared material with Synergy on their hosting environment, as a SaaS-hosted solution the underlying technology selection and design is not within Synergy's remit and for the purposes of this document is viewed as a "black box".                                  |
| Assessment                       |  |
| Conformance with<br>Requirements | Solution selected for best alignment amongst assessed vendors for the DER asset control requirements.  |
| Scalability                      | Subscription based SaaS hosted solution.   |
| Reuse                            | As a commercial, enterprise level solution designed for DER asset control, potentially reusable as a solution platform outside the specific requirements   |









|                                 | of Project Symphony. Actual scope for reuse would be dependent on the specifics of any future operational requirements and relevant market rules. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Standards                       | Integration APIs are specific to vendor.  |
| Security                        | Token based authenticated APIs for integration.   |
|                                 | User account based authentication for web-based customer portal.  |
| Technology / Market<br>Maturity | Partial solution for VPP based DER asset optimisation and control, requiring integration with a VPP & DER Optimisation Platform solution.         |

Table 35: DER Monitor & Control Platform

#### 8.3.7 Gateway Controller

| Name                             | Gateway Controller  |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Description                      | A physical device installed at each Synergy-contracted customer site, and responsible for the IoT Edge Compute function.  |
|                                  | <ul> <li>Integration and protocol translation for interoperability with a broad<br/>range of widely-used DER devices and types for monitoring, control,<br/>diagnostics, and analysis</li> </ul>                            |
|                                  | <ul> <li>Site-level control of individual devices for consolidated management of<br/>diverse assets to optimise energy outcomes (e.g., self-consumption or<br/>export management).</li> </ul>                               |
| Technology                       | The Gateway Controller is an internet connected embedded computer which provides all necessary local Input/Output (I/O), compute, communications and storage resources required to support its site role.                   |
|                                  | The Gateway Controller supports network connectivity either through the customers internet (using Ethernet and Wifi), this has not been used for the project, or through an included dedicated 4G modem.                    |
| Assessment                       |   |
| Conformance with<br>Requirements | Ability to control DER assets subject to capabilities exposed by the specific vendor and/or model of assets installed at client sites.1   |
|                                  | Support for default DOE schedule-based behaviour initially proposed by Western Power is missing, although the device could be enhanced to implement this behaviour.   |
| Scalability                      | The selected Gateway Controller has a fixed number of ports for connection to DER assets. Where the number of ports on a single device is insufficient multiple devices can be installed at site and operate in a clustered |









|                                 | configuration, or a Synergy provisioned network switch can be attached to increase the number of available ports.   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Reuse                           | The installed Gateway Controller is provided by, and specific to, the selected DER Monitor & Control Platform. A change of DER Monitor & Control Platform would require replacing the Gateway Controller.   |
| Standards                       | The selected Gateway Controller supports a number of industry standard and vendor specific communications protocols.  |
|                                 | The Gateway Controller supports IEEE2030.5 client, although this was not used as it does not support all of the project scenarios.  |
| Security                        | The Gateway Controller supports connectivity via either 3G/4G or via a local network router (e.g., the customer's LAN). For improved security, Gateway Controllers have been commissioned with 4G SIM cards and communicate to the DER Monitor & Control Platform via a secure VPN. |
|                                 | The Gateway Controller provisioning process establishes a trust relationship between the device and the DER Monitor & Control Platform using the platform vendor's Public Key Infrastructure (PKI).   |
|                                 | All data paths between the Gateway Controller and the DER Monitor & Control Platform are encrypted.   |
| Technology / Market<br>Maturity | Support for standards including IEEE2030.5, CSIP-AUS IEEE 1574-2018, AS/NZS 4777.2:2015 are still evolving. In the interim, local connectivity to specific vendor DER assets requires the development of vendor specific local protocol adaptors by the Gateway Controller vendor.  |

Table 36: Gateway Controller

#### 8.3.8 Controllable Assets/ Measurement Sensors

| Name        | Controllable Asset/Sensor  |
|-------------|--|
| Description | Any DER asset at site capable of controllable generation and/or load, or<br>any device at site capable of measuring and reporting on the behaviour of<br>DER assets. |
|             | Currenty enrolled DER assets includes:   |
|             | AC and DC-coupled batteries  |
|             | Solar PV systems   |
|             | Hot water systems  |
|             | Airconditioning system   |
|             | • Front of meter battery (one instance)  |
| Technology  | Variable across asset types and vendors.   |









| Assessment                       |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Conformance with<br>Requirements | Customer sites were targeted for recruitment based – in part – on the presence of DER assets suitable for the test and learn objectives of Project Symphony.   |  |  |  |
| Scalability                      | Not applicable for customer owned DER assets.The DER platform scales capability rather than the assets scaling themselves.   |  |  |  |
| Reuse                            | Not applicable for customer owned DER assets.  |  |  |  |
| Standards                        | Support for relevant standards, such as IEEE2030.5, is still evolving. In the interim, local connectivity to specific vendor DER assets can require the development of vendor specific local protocol adaptors by the Gateway Controller vendor. |  |  |  |
| Security                         | Varies across devices by vendors and devices, ranging from Basic authentication through to PKI based authentication.   |  |  |  |
| Technology / Market<br>Maturity  | Developing. While DER assets typically make use of well supported industry network protocols (e.g., TCP, Modbus, etc), API capabilities and definitions vary across vendors.   |  |  |  |

Table 37: Controllable Asset/Sensor

#### 8.4 Aggregator Platform Build Lessons

It is not within the scope of this document to address non-build project facets such as customer sentiment or the viability of commercial models. The following "Lessons Learnt" are specifically limited to the activities undertaken by Synergy to design and build an Aggregator platform for Project Symphony.

#### 8.4.1 Project Management

Project Management lessons reflect on the way the project's build activities were scoped, scheduled, and controlled.

| No.     | Topic   | Benefit / Barrier   | Outcome / Lesson   |
|---------|---|---|--|
| Scope   | Barrier:  |   | Outcome:   |
| Control | The delayed s<br>into the platfor<br>develpopment<br>significant incr | coping of Third-Party Aggregators<br>m design impacted the build and<br>of the platform which resulted in a<br>rease in requirements mid-project. | The Third-party Aggregators<br>scope added significant<br>complexity to existing processes<br>and solutions, including: agreeing |
|         | Barrier:  |   | API contracts for automation;<br>sharing TNI/NMI mapping and   |
|         | Engaging suita<br>Aggregators w<br>asset operator                     | able and willing Third-Party<br>/as difficult as many potential DER<br>rs are asset providers with a focus  | identifying recruitment<br>geographies; exchanging asset<br>information for DMO  |
|         | on site-specifi   | c behind the meter optimisation,  | requirements; and obtaining "after   |









|   |             | rather than managing larger aggregations of DER assets.  | the event" reconcilation telemetry for DER asset performance.   |
|---|-------------|--|---|
|   |             |  | The contracts with platform<br>providers had to be re-negotiated<br>to define the scope, functions and<br>timing and the need for Synergy to<br>develop a bidding model for Third-<br>Party Aggregators, to determine<br>the best time to incorporate their<br>DER assets into market<br>transactions, added further<br>process complexity. |
|   |             |  | Lesson:   |
|   |             |  | Having a in-depth understanding of<br>third party aggregator functional<br>and non-function platform<br>requirements is essentail as part of<br>intial plaform scoping.   |
|   |             |  | The introduction of significant new<br>functionality mid-build leads to both<br>(a) significant rework of existing<br>solution components, and (b)<br>challenges with resource allocation<br>and scheduling.  |
| I | Methodology | Barrier:   | Outcome:  |
|   |             | Use of different project management<br>methodologies between the Project Partners: Agile<br>and Waterfall. | The key principles of both<br>methodologies are not consistently<br>applied between Project Partners,<br>leading to some frustration in<br>application, confusion and delays<br>due to re-work.   |
|   |             |  | Lesson:   |
|   |             |  | Attempt to document, agree,<br>communicate, and apply the project<br>management methodology for the<br>overall program upfront.   |
| I |             |  | Funding models which better reflect the uncertain nature of developing  |
|   |             |  | technology pilots could be considered.  |

Table 37: Project Management lessons

#### 8.4.2 Aggregator Platform Development

Aggregator Platform Development lessons address the actual construction of an integrated platform for supporting Aggregator functions.







# Project Symphony

Our energy future

| No. | Торіс                | Benefit / Barrier   | Outcome / Lesson  |
|-----|----------------------|---|---|
|     | COTS /               | Benefit:  | Outcome:  |
|     | SaaS<br>Capabilities | The utilisation of SaaS platforms<br>decreased the time to deliver solutions,<br>both from a operations perspective and a<br>functional perspective.  | Operationally, there were no lead times for procurement and installation, along with a reduced scope for support activities.  |
|     |                      |   | Functionally, the ablity to leverage vendor<br>experience, based on a larger<br>(international) industry exposure, was<br>beneficial in exploring potential solutions to<br>requirements.   |
|     |                      |   | Lesson:   |
|     |                      |   | Using "best of breed" solutions provided a richer set of capabilities overall, than would have been possible with a single platform approach.   |
|     | COTS /               | Barrier:  | Outcome:  |
|     | SaaS<br>Capabilities | SaaS solutions - even best of breed<br>aren't feature complete enough to<br>support the new market participation<br>ecosystem explored by Project<br>Symphony. A particularly evident<br>expression of this issues is access to<br>orchestration and telemetry data in SaaS   | Vendor solutions are largely designed as<br>"stand-alone" solutions or as with the<br>intent of being integrated in a suite of<br>solutions with different market roles and<br>responsibilities. SaaS solutions focus more<br>on an extension of traditional industrial<br>automation boundaries. |
|     |                      | platforms.  | While "best of breed" has capability  |
|     |                      | <b>Barrier:</b><br>SaaS platforms are set up for limited<br>sharing of real-time data at scale.   | benefits, it comes at the cost of requiring<br>custom development to deliver end-to-end<br>interoperability and to supplement market<br>specific behavoiurs   |
|     |                      | Barrier:  | There are limited standards in the market   |
|     |                      | SaaS platforms may need improved enterprise integration capabilities to   | integrated space, and integration is a significant effort.  |
|     |                      | support up-stream reporting and asset visibility, management and analysis.  | Lesson:   |
|     |                      | <ul> <li>Barrier:</li> <li>SaaS platforms currently focus on industrial automation boundaries, as such Synergy needed to develop a significant element of bespoke platform behaviour to fill the functional gaps not provided by COTS solutions.</li> <li>Barrier:</li> <li>Much of the market specific behaviour (e.g., forecasts, bids and offers, DOE instructions) required bespoke development.</li> </ul> | SaaS vendors plays a role in delivering<br>DER into the market, but significant gaps  |
|     |                      |   | remain for supporting market operations.  |
|     |                      |   | Lesson:   |
|     |                      |   | There is an opportunity for SaaS players to expand their capabilities.  |
|     |                      |   | Lesson:   |
|     |                      |   | Aggregators will need to plan and scope for<br>the effort required to build market<br>capabilities as part of the expansion of<br>DER.  |
|     |                      |   | Lesson:   |
|     |                      |   | Aggregators will need to develop significant components of the solution stack while   |








|   |  |  | waiting for vendors to recognise the opportunity and develop their solutions. |
|---|--|--|---|
| Table 00. A more matery Distances Discussion and I accord |  |  |   |

 Table 38: Aggregator Platform Development lessons

#### 8.4.3 DER Assets

DER asset lessons address the physical activities of installing assets and once provisioned, maintaining connectivity and control.

| No. | Торіс       | Benefit / Barrier  | Outcome / Lesson   |
|-----|-------------|--|--|
|     | Integration | Benefit:   | Outcome:   |
|     |             | Use of a local Gateway Controller<br>provided additional services not available<br>through an OEM cloud API control<br>approach.   | Lack of availability of<br>qualified/competent installers resulted<br>in repeat site visit to complete work,<br>and delays in commissioning DER<br>assets into SEMS.                               |
|     |             | VPP control requires asset re-selection<br>as the optimisation platform attempts to<br>control assets that were either slow to<br>respond or failed to respond effectively.<br>When assets don't respond to event<br>instructions in a timely manner, or at all. | Lack of support for emerging<br>standards for controlling DER assets<br>made connecting vendors and models<br>more onerous, as edge devices<br>needed updates to interact with<br>specific assets. |
|     |             | the platform is obligated to frequently<br>update its strategy, leading to less than<br>optimal operations.  | Once assets were commissioned, a<br>number of issues were noted,<br>including:   |
|     |             |  | • Some published peformance specifications for DER assets did not match testing performance results.   |
|     |             |  | • Residential grade assets<br>provide more variable level of<br>performance than would be expected<br>in industrial grade assets   |
|     |             |  | • DER assets can become disconnected, and depending on the cause of the loss of connectivity, require a truck roll to resolve.   |
|     |             |  | • Each DER asset can only be controlled for a single market service, at one time however the facility can be used for multiple services.   |
|     |             |  | • Quality of DER asset health<br>(responsiveness, availability) is an<br>issue for control of achieving optimal<br>VPP performance. This is a factor that<br>needs to be considered.               |
|     |             |  | Lesson:  |
|     |             |  | Improved conformance to relevant<br>industry standards will reduce the   |









|                     |  | cost, effort and time to test and incorporation DER assets into VPPs.   |
|---------------------|--|---|
|                     |  | Optimisation requires attention at the aggregate and device level, and significant attention in tuning to deliver services reliably   |
| Local Asset         | Barrier:   | Outcome:  |
| API standards       | DER assets expose APIs for control<br>monitoring, but the APIs are typically<br>vendor/model specific.   | There is a lack of consistent and<br>uniform implementation of proposed<br>industry standards (e.g., IEEE2030.5,<br>CSIP-AUS) for local asset APIs. A<br>"plug and play" model for DER assets<br>is not currently available, and<br>consequently significant effort can be<br>required to integrate each new<br>vendor/model.   |
|                     |  | Lesson:   |
|                     |  | Improved conformance to relevant<br>industry standards will reduce the<br>cost, effort and time to test and<br>incorporation DER assets into VPPs.  |
|                     |  | State and federal government<br>agencies have a role in helping to<br>drive down the cost of implementation<br>of DER by working collaboratively with<br>vendors to agree and implement<br>consistent API standards within their<br>on-site assets. Refer to ARENA's<br>Distributed Energy Integration<br>Program <sup>35</sup> |
| Communication       | Barrier:   | Outcome:  |
| Network<br>Services | DER assets typically lack a full suite of<br>network and cyber-security services,<br>assuming the customer's network will<br>provide those controls (such as firewall-<br>based traffic segmentation). | Given that the DER assets are also<br>joined to the customer's home<br>network for other network services<br>(such as DHCP) the Gateway<br>Controller must also be joined to the<br>customer's network to establish<br>access to the IoT asset.   |
|                     |  | Lesson:   |
|                     |  | DER asset device manufacturers will<br>need to improve their network<br>services and cyber-security<br>capabilities, to enable multiple<br>network domain connectivity (e.g. via<br>virtual network interfaces), and in the<br>process offer better separation of<br>DER asset network traffic between the                      |

 $^{35}\ https://arena.gov.au/knowledge-innovation/distributed-energy-integration-program/$ 









Our energy future

|              |   | Gateway Controller (for control) and<br>the customer network (for OEM<br>monitoring). Needs to align with<br>national cyber security standards.   |
|--------------|---|---|
| Costs        | Barrier:  | Outcome:  |
|              | The per-site commissioning costs to<br>estabish physical connectivity with DER<br>assets, and the on-going site-based<br>license model offered by SaaS vendor<br>platforms, are both significant. | Widespread integration of DER assets<br>into the network will be cost<br>prohibitive if the site commissioning<br>and on-going licensing costs are not<br>materially reduced.   |
|              |   | Lesson:   |
|              |   | Work with DER asset manufacturers<br>and SaaS vendors to encourage<br>greater interoperability between DER<br>assets and gateway controllers<br>through uniform adoption of technical<br>and API standards.   |
|              |   | Explore alternative licensing models<br>with SaaS vendors for grid-scale<br>management of DER assets,<br>conditional upon greater<br>standardisation.   |
| Industry API | Barrier   | Outcome:  |
| Coverage     | Alignment with industry APIs for DER has proved problematic across two axis:  | While usage of CSIP-AUS is a goal,<br>the reality currently is that many of   |
|              | Vendor support for existing standards –<br>such as IEEE2030.5 & CSIP-AUS – is<br>incomplete.  | the DER assets encountered at sites<br>required vendor and model specific<br>adaptors or configuration.   |
|              | Where API standards do exist, they are focused on individual asset control and monitoring.  | There are capability gaps in API<br>standards for DER aggregation and<br>optimisation at higher levels of<br>aggregation (such as sites, VPPs<br>and market facilities). In the absence<br>of relevant standards, Synergy has<br>had to work with individual vendors to<br>define behaviours and agree<br>interoperability contracts. |
|              |   | Both the incomplete support for<br>existing standards, and the lack of<br>standards in some areas, contribute to<br>increased development and<br>operational costs.   |
|              |   | Lesson  |
|              |   | DER management and control would<br>benefit from developing<br>interoperability standards for<br>orchestrating and optimising at higher<br>levels of aggregation. Further work is   |









|  | required in this area to align vendors and industry participants.                  |
|--|--|
|  | Support amongst vendors for existing standards needs to be encouraged or mandated. |

Table 39: DER asset lessons

#### 8.4.4 Operational Experience

Operational Experience lessons address *preliminary* insights from the day-to-day monitoring and control of DER assets in both Test and Learn and Stability phases. These observations may need amendment or revision as greater operational experience is gained by all participants.

| No. | Торіс       | Benefit / Barrier  | Outcome / Lesson   |
|-----|-------------|--|--|
|     | Scalability | Barrier  | Outcome:   |
|     |             | The current command, control and telemetry reporting approach to DER | Early indicators of concern include:   |
|     |             | assets may not scale.  | • Processing large DOE<br>instruction payloads that<br>bundle mutliple DOEs over<br>multiple days cause system<br>load and processing<br>challenges in the selected<br>Aggregator solution.  |
|     |             |  | • Central control requires<br>detailed customer telemetry<br>data to be provided to the<br>Aggregator. Telemetry<br>reporting data volumes grow<br>proportionally as larger<br>numbers of sites are brought<br>into the system, causing<br>increasing load and<br>processing challenges. |
|     |             |  | Confirmation of DER asset<br>response is required for VPP<br>control. Symphony's design of a<br>central command control may<br>not scale as more VPPs and<br>DER assets are brought into<br>operation, leading to delays in<br>receiving and processing<br>confirmations.                |
|     |             |  | Lesson   |
|     |             |  | Further investigation is required<br>around how to keep data and<br>optimisation control closer to<br>locally distributed control nodes.   |
|     |             |  | While a centralised command<br>and control model works in an   |









| our chergy future |
|-------------------|
|-------------------|

| 1           |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|
|             |  | environment that consists of a<br>small number of industrial scale<br>generation assets, it may prove<br>problematic as a model for<br>controlling localised DER assets<br>at scale.   |
| Forecasting | Barrier:   | Outcome:   |
|             | Designing VPPs around local<br>geographical regions tends to<br>amplify impacts of local variability<br>(e.g.,cloud cover affecting all PV at<br>the same time), impacting on the<br>ability to achieve accurate VPP<br>forecasts over short timeframes. | The VPP Operational demand<br>and capacity has been observed<br>to diverge from forecast. Key<br>variables impacting VPP<br>forecasting accuracy are the<br>short term impacts of local<br>weather conditions impacting<br>solar PV and unanticipated short<br>term customer load. |
|             |  | To operate a VPP optimally, the forecasting needs to be accurate and revised regulary.   |
|             |  | An example to improve the<br>accuracy of the forecast, the<br>inclusion of the latest<br>Aggregated telemerty should be<br>factored into the calculation and<br>reset every hour or 5 minutes.   |
|             |  | Lesson:  |
|             |  | Structuring VPPs to distribute<br>DER assets over larger<br>geographic regions or customer<br>profiles would also help to<br>lessen the impact of transitory<br>local conditions.  |

Table 40: Operational Experience lessons







Our energy future

#### 9 Common Outcome or Lessons Learnt

This section describes a set of topics and associated outcomes and/or lessons learnt that relate to the overall Project Symphony end-to-end solution.

#### 9.1 Defining the solution

This section describes a range of topics and the associated outcomes and/or lessons learnt that pertained to defining the overall solution.

| No. | Торіс   | Barrier Or Benefit  | Outcome and/or Lesson  |
|-----|---|---|--|
|     | Understanding<br>of the end-to-<br>end market | <ul> <li>Barrier: As the test and learn process was undertaken, it was apparent that the interpretation of the end-to-end market operation as a coordination mechanism was not consistent across all the partners despite the work done in the development of the architecture, use cases, design and testing.</li> <li>Benefit: A range of mechanisms were established to facilitate alignment. For example, joint design sessions to scope the platform build through working groups enabled: alignment of understanding of new concepts, resolution of technical issues, collaboration in test planning</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Outcome: The implementation of individual partner solutions resulted in misalignment. A lack of understanding of each other's data requirement needs did not factor in the extended enterprise / intra- organisational,-operational and data requirements, resulting in delays to test and learn processes and additional project costs. Challenges were encountered as a result of the delivery / build learning curve.</li> <li>The approach to / exploration of each partner's hypotheses was not clearly understood, and this resulted in initial misalignment during the project, which was resolved over time.</li> <li>Outcome: A set of run sheets to provide a more detailed view of different business events was developed to increase holistic understanding of business events / transactions.</li> <li>Lesson: Ensure that an understanding of the overall market operating model, processes, and rules of the DER market characteristics evolved, partner understanding, and awareness were kept in lock step with this evolution to ensure the capabilities being delivered remain aligned across the hybrid operating model.</li> <li>Lesson: The development of use cases shaping the solutions delivered by the</li> </ul> |







Our energy future

| No. | Торіс  | Barrier Or Benefit   | Outcome and/or Lesson  |
|-----|--|--|--|
|     |  |  | partners includes more detail on the<br>underlying market context. They need to<br>say 'why' as well as 'what'.  |
|     |  |  | <b>Lesson:</b> Face to face, co-located opportunities to work together be established from an early stage and facilitate (and sustain) close collaboration throughout the project.   |
|     | Understanding<br>of how the<br>solution would<br>support the<br>Pilot          | <b>Barrier:</b> The early use case shaping processes could have included more discussion and feedback on the broader purpose of market and power system operation, and the changes outlined in the DER Roadmap, to avoid misalignment later in the delivery of the project.<br>Various collaboration activities (such as the joint design sessions) had sought to provide a holistic view of the market from the perspective of all actors. This included amendments being Piloted which were consistent with holistic operation as defined in the hybrid operating model. These activities proved to be insufficient. | <ul> <li>Outcome: The early use case shaping processes could have included more discussion and feedback of the broader market context to avoid misalignment later in the delivery of the project</li> <li>Outcome: Business process and information flow was not understood at the level of detail to ensure the successful execution of the test and learn process</li> <li>Lesson: Incorporate additional business rules that exist in the current market, or expected to exist in the reform market, to guide participant behaviour. The benefits of this approach need to be weighed against the impact of limiting the scope of T&amp;L activities and the learning that could be attained from attempting innovative approaches</li> </ul> |
|     | The lack of an<br>end-to-end<br>solution design<br>for all partner<br>solution | <b>Barrier:</b> E2E system process and data<br>flow was not understood at the level of<br>detail to ensure the solutions delivered<br>by all three partners could successfully<br>execute the test and learn processes   | Outcome: DMO, DSO and Aggregator<br>vendors completed solution design<br>independently. Integration and capability<br>misalignment issues were only identified<br>later, either in the development process,<br>during cross-organisation testing of the<br>combined functional and operational<br>capability, or during T&L activities during<br>the Pilot<br>Lesson: Ongoing integrated system<br>design flow to be developed to ensure<br>that each partners platform can support<br>end to end T&L scenarios and testing<br>outcome.  |







Our energy future

| No. | Торіс  | Barrier Or Benefit   | Outcome and/or Lesson  |
|-----|--|--|--|
|     |  |  | Recommend that the Project Management<br>Office have technical oversight of the end-<br>to-end solution.   |
|     | Project<br>resource<br>turnover  | <b>Barrier:</b> Considerable resource<br>turnover experienced over the duration<br>of the project  | <b>Lesson:</b> Where team members leave the project their knowledge and understanding needs to be passed to their replacement. Hence knowledge management frameworks and practices need to be adopted for projects of this nature.   |
|     | Adoption and<br>availability of<br>emerging and<br>new<br>technologies | <b>Barrier:</b> For all the 'as built' platform<br>solutions, there was a lack of fit-for-<br>purpose solutions available in the<br>marketplace, thus each platform<br>solution required modification or new<br>capability to be developed to meet the<br>solution functional and non-functional<br>requirements. Given the relative<br>immaturity of some these technologies<br>and vendors, the solutions delivered<br>would not be supported beyond the<br>Pilot in a production environment in<br>their current state. | Lesson: It will be essential for each<br>partner to develop a transition plan for<br>adoption and implementation of new<br>technologies and processes beyond the<br>Pilot phase. This will ensure the future<br>phased integration is planned, developed,<br>implemented and supported appropriately<br>to ensure adequate investment and<br>collaboration with industry partners and<br>vendors. This will help mitigate any<br>adverse impacts to organisation operating<br>systems and processes. |

Table 41: Lessons learnt that pertain to defining the overall solution.

#### 9.2 Delivering the solution

This section describes a range of topics and the associated outcomes and/or lessons learnt that were identified when considering delivering the overall solution with all project partners.

| No. | Торіс                                      | Barrier Or Benefit   | Outcome and/or Lesson   |
|-----|--|--|---|
|     | Detailed end-<br>to-end test<br>entry/exit | <b>Barrier:</b> The rigour and completeness of testing scenarios was less than required when more detailed testing | <b>Outcome:</b> Testing activities were impacted, resulting in project delays.  |
|     | criteria                                   | was undertaken during the test-and-<br>learn process   | <b>Outcome:</b> A focus on functional capability, such as system integrations, did not assess operational capability of DER using the delivered and tested functions. |
|     |  |  | <b>Lesson:</b> In a complex environment that includes multiple actors, evolving   |







Our energy future

| No. | Торіс                 | Barrier Or Benefit  | Outcome and/or Lesson  |
|-----|-----------------------|---|--|
|     |                       |   | technology and solutions, and changing<br>market constructs, the rigor of the<br>testing criteria (entry and exit) needed to<br>aid in shaping and assessing delivery<br>risk/misalignment be known early in the<br>project. A shared understanding of what<br>is intended to happen, functionally and<br>operationally, during a test and the<br>ability to understand if it happened or<br>not is critical to testing. |
|     | Commercial<br>bias    | <b>Barrier</b> : More easily measured<br>commercial outcomes shaped the<br>focus of effort rather than the overall<br>test and learn objectives (e.g., NSS<br>related capabilities).  | <b>Outcome</b> : Solution delivery did not cover all aspects of the test and learn process, or core delivery capability but had a bias in the design and delivery focus.   |
|     |                       |   | <b>Lesson:</b> Ensure that overarching project outcomes drive the design and delivery focus of all actors  |
|     |                       |   | <b>Lesson:</b> Better communication required within organisations to ensure that pilot projects maintain ongoing alignment with larger objectives and programs of work.  |
|     |                       |   | <b>Lesson:</b> Entering the project facilities<br>into commercial arrangements related to<br>some project scenarios and not others<br>distorted the design process and<br>potentially project outcomes.<br>Recommend taking an all or none<br>approach to contracts can impact on<br>project outcomes.   |
|     | Data<br>consideration | <b>Barrier:</b> When data was exchanged in the ecosystem the underlying data was not correct / meaningful despite adhering to the syntactic requirements of the data integration payload (i.e.,   | <b>Outcome:</b> Testing and analysis of the overall solution was compromised resulting in project delays and additional costs.   |
|     |                       | schema).<br><b>Barrier:</b> Essential data was missing for<br>some period of the test and learn<br>process due to gaps in capability, or<br>appreciation for the need for such data<br>by different partners in the hybrid<br>business model. | <b>Outcome:</b> The ability to identify data<br>gaps or issues was delayed as the data<br>had to have progressed through the<br>whole solution before being visible in<br>any reporting solutions.<br><b>Lesson:</b> Include data business rules<br>and sample data in parallel with well-   |







Our energy future

| No. | Topic Barrier Or Benefit              |   | Outcome and/or Lesson   |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---|---|
|     |                                       | <ul> <li>Barrier: The uploading of data into the analytics and reporting platforms was possible only after data had moved through the integration framework, been processed, and then provided for uploading into the DMO's EDP</li> <li>Benefit: The integration models provided a mechanism to understand the linage (and provenance) of the data used in the Pilot</li> <li>Benefit: The DMO EDP platform provided an effective mechanism to provide visibility of the data needed to validate the overall solution (from a DMO perspective).</li> </ul> | defined business processes/run sheets<br>to assist in ensuring improved<br>integration and solution design<br>outcomes<br><b>Lesson:</b> Ongoing reviews and<br>agreement on the end-to-end view of<br>the process and data requirements<br>ensure that complete sets of data are<br>provided (commensurate with the scope<br>of the solution at that time)   |
|     | Requirement<br>currency               | <b>Barrier:</b><br>While the capability required by<br>partners evolved over time and was<br>documented, development of<br>capabilities were sometimes based on<br>incomplete or out-of-dated<br>requirements.  | <ul> <li>Outcome: The end-to-end solution was misaligned and that only became evident during joint testing activities. The result was blockers in running test scenarios that needed re-planning and rework resulting in schedule delays.</li> <li>Lesson: Undertake cross participant desktop run-throughs of mutual processes and expectations for testing.</li> <li>Lesson: An iterative approach to incorporate learnings and associated capability into the platforms over the course of the Symphony Pilot to support the evolving definition of the DER market.</li> </ul> |
|     | Collaboration<br>Systems and<br>Tools | <b>Barrier:</b><br>Whilst there was a common project<br>sharepoint that housed all project<br>artefacts, data and information and<br>was assessable to partners, each<br>partner used separate collaboration<br>tools and systems for sharing<br>information and communicating both<br>internally and with their vendors.<br>Outside of meetings and email there<br>was not an efficient method to  | Lesson:<br>Utilisation of centralised integrated<br>project management, collaboration,<br>software development lifecycle and test<br>management tools, with robust<br>governance capabilities to facilitate<br>communication and development of the<br>3 platform solutions, would have<br>enhanced delivery of the project,<br>through greater visibility and traceability,<br>reduced duplication and reduced<br>manual documentation and reporting   |







Our energy future

| No. | Торіс                     | Barrier Or Benefit  | Outcome and/or Lesson  |  |
|-----|---------------------------|---|--|--|
|     |                           | communicate or provide visibility<br>between partners.<br>A common testing tool was used to |  |  |
|     |                           | manage testing during X-SIT and T&L,  |  |  |
|     |                           | traceability was a duplication of effort  |  |  |
|     |                           | already carried out in individual partner   |  |  |
|     |                           | third party tools.<br>Additionally, common software   |  |  |
|     |                           | development tools were not utilised in  |  |  |
|     |                           | the project across all partners.  |  |  |
|     | Visibility of<br>Vendor's | <b>Barrier:</b> Vendors' SIT approach and   | Outcome: In delivery of the platform   |  |
|     | System                    | to the project teams, particularly in the   | that were exposed during cross   |  |
|     | Integration               | early phase of development. As a  | organisation SIT's and in further testing,                                   |  |
|     | Testing (SIT)             | result, the completeness of internal  | resulting in project delays and additional                                   |  |
|     | Gutoomes                  | which had adverse impacts.  | introduction of robust internal test plans,                                  |  |
|     |                           |   | gaps were significantly reduced.   |  |
|     |                           | Benefit: In response to these   |  |  |
|     |                           | challenges, detailed SIT plans were   | Lesson: Robust vendor-related SIT  |  |
|     |                           | developed with vendors.   | processes and plans should be  |  |
|     |                           |   | established early in a project and shared with each party. This will provide |  |
|     |                           |   | auditable evidence and visibility of each                                    |  |
|     |                           |   | vendor's SIT outcomes.   |  |

Table 42: Overall Lessons Learned in defining the solution

#### 9.3 Supporting the solution

This section describes a range of topics and the associated outcomes and/or lessons learnt that were identified when considering support of the overall solution with all project partners.

| No. | Торіс   | Barrier Or Benefit  | Outcome and/or Lesson  |
|-----|---|---|--|
|     | Change<br>control and<br>management<br>issues | <b>Barrier:</b> Configuration and data<br>payload changes were made by<br>vendors without due notification of<br>these changes to partners.<br>Barrier: | <b>Outcome:</b> Testing and data analysis impacts as the messaging schema changed and impacted the ability to ingest data into the reporting platform. |
|     |   |   | <b>Outcome:</b> Cascading impacts on<br>partner development/delivery activities<br>due to the requirement to have the same<br>versions.                |
|     |   |   | <b>Lesson:</b> Ensure that, even in a trial/Pilot context, appropriate degree of change control disciplines and communications                         |









Our energy future

| No. | Торіс                      | Barrier Or Benefit  | Outcome and/or Lesson  |
|-----|----------------------------|---|--|
|     |                            |   | are established and maintained from the outset of the project.                                       |
|     | Messaging<br>extensibility | <b>Benefit:</b> The vendor platform enabled<br>additional channels to be established<br>with minimal effort and via<br>configuration to support emerging Data<br>Exchange requirements. | <b>Outcome:</b> Reduced cost to the project<br>and rapid implementation of additional<br>capability. |

Table 43: Overall lessons learnt in supporting the solution



AEMO





#### **10 Conclusion**

The Project Symphony Pilot has been built with the intent to test, understand and observe the functions and capabilities required from the key roles defined in the Open Energy Networks (OpEN) Hybrid Model against discrete test scenarios. Each Platform was designed and built specific to each Project participants role, the requirements of each platform and how they integrate with each organisational systems and processes.

DER Orchestration technologies and standards are emerging in the industry and solutions and vendors are innovative are still maturing, this meant that each organisation faced a number of challenges in defining their platform solution for the Pilot. Each organisation also had differing internal drivers, delivery approaches and schedules, this increased the complexity and alignment of the co-design and delivery of the platform solutions to meet the Project objectives.

The lessons learnt documented is this report outline barriers, benefits and lessons specific to this complexity such as adopting emerging and new technologies not available 'off the shelf', from multiple vendors, and lack of industry standards. Project challenges were also faced with policy and market reform evolving in parallel in the WEM from the time of Project inception to platform delivery which resulted in changes to scenario design to align with updated policy and reform.

A number of lessons were documented in the delivery of the three platform solutions. The technical complexity of the Project, the large number of stakeholders and extended project term meant it was challenging aligning delivery approaches, document and data management processes, and deploying common testing tools and systems across the three organisations.

Despite these common challenges encountered, the 'as built' solutions were built and delivered as specified with many learnings to inform future integration in the WEM and SWIS as well as within organisational IT infrastructure, systems, standards, and processes.

From a DSO perspective, a number of notable achievements include the implementation of advanced DOE concepts, such as Default and Short Notice operating envelopes to address multiple failure modes and scenarios; monitoring of DOE and NSS compliance; and technological advancements, such as BESS dual control, high-speed data recorders to measure high speed droop events and benchmarking of the WEM compliance HSDR's against cheaper alternatives.

These improvements have enabled the DSO to have greater control of hosting capacity over network changes and system outages and have provided better insights into the operation of its networks. Furthermore, this has allowed for the implementation of the world's first open hybrid model at a program level, thus improving the ability to identify and address any potential issues to maintain a safe network while managing the uptake of DER.

Nonetheless, some challenges experienced included the document management when using shared workspaces, choosing a fit for purpose data analysis and visualisation tool, early engagement and alignment, as well as agreement for core shared technology between partners.

The DMO Platform was developed independently to AEMO market and operating systems to simulate the operation of the WEM, interfacing with the DSO and Aggregator platforms to execute







the four key test scenarios. Notable achievements included adoption of an innovative data exchange layer that demonstrated concepts such as Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT), Self-Sovereign Identity (SSI) and Decentralized identifiers (DID). The DMO platform build also facilitated the Aggregator to value stack services enabling its customers to optimise value by participating in a multiple market and off-market services.

Another achievement was the development of user modifiable variables in the DMO platform to manually trigger events or instruct changes to pricing and gate closing times to enhance testing and learnings. This was particularly useful to simulate a response to system wide events in the SWIS to test the ESS-CR and CTZ scenarios.

Inclusion of pre-dispatch and forecasting integrations enabled greater insights into the capability of DER Aggregations to meet service requirements and enhance how VPP's can optimise. Finally, the development of a real-time DMO platform dashboard user interface enhanced visibility to the testing teams of day-to-day activity as well as providing visibility of Aggregated DER facilities to AEMO's system management teams.

From an Aggregator perspective; several broad themes have emerged through the design, build and initial operation of the Aggregator platform:

- Innovation projects would be better served by focusing on delivering smaller clusters of closely related functional capability in shorter periods of time.
- Vendor platforms, while important to delivering DER asset control, are still somewhat immature, both in terms of operating at scale, and in terms of optimising across a range of (potentially competing) market objectives and services.
- It is likely that operating DER assets at scale (e.g., 100,000-plus DER assets) will require rethinking control and optimisation models and vendor solution architectures (e.g., introduce more localised autonomy and control).
- The cost to integrate DER assets into a common management platform is currently high due to both a lack of consistent standards and DER assets lacking strong network and security capabilities.
- Legacy generation models are not optimal for the highly distributed, small-scale generation/load behaviours observed from DER assets. As more data and operational experience is collected from the 'Test and Learn' and the Stability periods, further discussion is required on how operational value can be unlocked from VPPs and DER assets.









#### **Appendix A: Glossary**

Glossary of acronyms and terms – common terms the same for all parties.

| Acronym | Term                                    | Definition   |
|---------|---|--|
|         | Active power                            | Active power is the actual power that is consumed<br>or utilised within an AC Circuit. This is also known<br>as real power and is measured in kilowatts (kW) or<br>megawatts (MW).   |
| ΑΕΜΟ    | Australian Energy<br>Market Operator    | AEMO manages Australia's electricity and gas<br>markets including operating the systems for energy<br>transmission and distribution, and the energy<br>financial markets. NB: AEMO manages the WEM<br>separately to the NEM, under different rules,<br>funding, and governance structures. |
| AGC     | Automatic<br>Generation Control         | A system through which AEMO can remotely adjust<br>the output of a generator in order to maintain<br>frequency stability, where the setpoint refresh rate<br>is 4s.  |
|         | Aggregation Zone                        | The region of a network within which operating envelopes can be aggregated.  |
|         | Aggregator                              | A party which facilitates the grouping of DER to act<br>as a single entity when engaging in power system<br>markets (both wholesale and retail) or selling<br>services to the system operator(s).  |
| AKS     | Azure Kubernetes<br>Service             | Service to manage a kubernetes open source platform for container orchestration  |
| ΑΜΙ     | Advanced Meter<br>Infrastructure        | AMI typically includes smart meters (that measure<br>bidirectional energy flows, in shorter time intervals),<br>upgraded communications networks (to transmit<br>large volumes of data), and requisite data<br>management systems.   |
| ΑΡΙ     | Application<br>Programming<br>Interface | An API is a set of functions through which two<br>software systems can communicate without any<br>human intermediation.  |







| ARENA                 | Australian<br>Renewable Energy<br>Agency     | The Australian Government-funded agency whose<br>purpose "is to improve the competitiveness of<br>renewable energy technologies and increase the<br>supply of renewable energy through innovation that<br>benefits Australian consumers and businesses"<br>(ARENA website, accessed 15 August 2021)        |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| AS/NZS<br>4755.3:2016 | <u>Australian Standard</u><br><u>AS 4755</u> | Demand response capabilities and supporting<br>technologies for electrical products. This Standard<br>details requirements of Demand Response Modes<br>for Energy Storage Systems (AS/NZS 4755.3) and<br>the requirements for Demand Response Enabling<br>Devices (AS/NZS 4755.6).                         |
| AS/NZS<br>4777.2:2015 | <u>Australian Standard</u><br><u>AS 4777</u> | Grid Connection of Energy Systems via<br>Inverters. This Standard specifies the electrical<br>installation requirements (AS4777.1) and the<br>inverter performance requirements (AS4777.2) for<br>inverters connected to the electricity distribution<br>network.  |
| BC                    | Business Case                                | Provides financial justification for undertaking a project, program or portfolio   |
| BESS                  | Battery Energy<br>Storage System             | Batteries are an energy storage technology that<br>use chemicals to absorb and release energy on<br>demand. Lithium-ion is the most common battery<br>chemistry used to store electricity. Batteries require<br>additional components that allow the battery to be<br>connected to an electricity network. |
|                       | Bid and Offer                                | Bid is to buy (consume) energy and offer is to sell (generate) and export energy   |
| вмо                   | Balancing Market<br>Offer                    | Offering (Sell) or bidding (Buy) energy into a bi-<br>directional energy balancing market.   |
| втм                   | Behind the meter                             | Any technology located on the customer's side of the customer-network meter.   |
|                       | Commitment                                   | Definition of the Aggregator DER portfolio of capacity they have committed for SR or LRR during the agreed time period.  |









|       | Connection Point  | Network location which is electrically connected<br>into the electricity system. A connection point may<br>be metered (i.e. customer service connection<br>point) or unmetered (i.e. streetlight, traffic light etc.)   |
|-------|---|---|
|       | Consumption Bid   | A bid that includes only load   |
|       | Contestable<br>Customers                                | Customers that consume greater than 50 MWh of electricity per annum, who can choose their electricity retailer.   |
|       | Control Signal  | Used to provide dispatch instructions for market<br>and non market services: A set of 4 second contol<br>signals sent to the market particiapant / facilities.  |
| СТΖ   | Constrain To Zero                                       | A service whereby instructions can be sent by AEMO to the Aggregator and executed by the Aggregator to constrain energy output to zero.   |
| DEBS  | Distributed Energy<br>Buyback Scheme                    | Replacing the Renewable Energy Buyback<br>Scheme, DEBs was launched by the WA<br>Government in August 2020. It offers customers<br>10c kilowatt-hour of exported energy at peak times<br>between 3pm- 9pm and 2.75c at all other exporting<br>times.  |
| DER   | Distributed Energy<br>Resources                         | DER, are smaller-scale devices that can use,<br>generate, or store electricity and form a part of the<br>local distribution system, which serves homes and<br>businesses. DER can include renewable<br>generation, energy storage, electric vehicles (EVs),<br>and technology to manage load at the premises.<br>These resources operate for the purpose of<br>supplying all or a portion of the customer's electric<br>load and may also be capable of supplying power<br>into the system or alternatively providing a load<br>management service for customers. |
| DERIP | Distributed Energy<br>Resources<br>Integration Platform | A DERIP is a platfrom that combine and interarte<br>diverse and distributed DER assets such as solar<br>photovoltaic, batteries and electric vehicles.<br>A Market Platform integrating DER enables<br>platfroms that aggregate DER into facilities and<br>operate as a VPP to bid as aggregated portfolios to  |









|      |   | create shared value between asset owners and the larger surrounding grid.  |
|------|---|--|
| DLC  | Direct Load<br>Control                                  | DLC is where utilities provide a payment to customers to control the operation of their equipment e.g. an air-conditioning unit or hot water system.   |
|      | Dispatch  | Dispatch refers to the instructions from AEMO to<br>generators delivering power to the system.<br>Dispatch instructions are provided in the form of<br>generation, timing, and ramp rate information.<br>AEMO dispatches generation with consideration<br>for the prices offered by generators, network<br>limitations, and system requirements. |
|      | Disaggregation  | The process of determination of the assets selected and their control setpoints to fulfill a dispatch instruction.   |
| DCOA | Distribution<br>Constraint<br>Optimisation<br>Algorithm | The calculation of available network capacity that<br>enables the publishing of the dynamic operating<br>envelope in a given time interval for a given<br>location within a segment of an<br>electricity distribution network utilising a number of<br>capacity allocation principles.   |
| DMO  | Distribution Market<br>Operator                         | DMO is a market operator that is equipped to<br>operate a market that includes small-scale devices<br>aggregated and able to be dispatched at<br>appropriate scale (Energy Transformation<br>Taskforce, 2020). The term is interchangeable with<br>'Market Platform'.  |
| DNSP | Distribution<br>Network Service<br>Provider             | DNSPs are the organisations that own and control<br>the hardware of the distributed energy network<br>such as power poles, wires, transformers and<br>substations that move electricity around the grid.   |
|      | Distribution<br>storage                                 | Storage attached in directly to the distribution<br>network as distinct from storage connected behind<br>the meter at a customer site.   |
| DOE  | Dynamic Operating<br>Envelope                           | A dynamic operating envelope (DOE) is a principled allocation of the available hosting capacity to individual or aggregate DER   |









|      |  | or connection points within a segment of an<br>electricity distribution network in each time interval.<br>A dynamic operating envelope essentially provides<br>upper and lower bounds on the import or export<br>power in a given time interval for either individual<br>DER assets or a connection point, and may also<br>apply at an upstream distribution network node.   |
|------|--|--|
| DSO  | Distribution System<br>Operator              | A DSO enables access to the network, and<br>securely operates and develops an active<br>distribution system comprising networks, demand,<br>and other flexible DER. Expanding the network<br>planning and asset management function of a<br>DNSP, the DSO enables the optimal use of DER in<br>distribution networks to deliver security,<br>sustainability and affordability in the support of<br>whole system optimisation (Energy Transformation<br>Taskforce, 2020). |
|      | Embedded<br>network                          | Embedded networks are private electricity<br>networks which serve multiple premises and are<br>located within, and connected to, a distribution or<br>transmission system through a parent connection<br>point (and an associated "master meter").   |
|      | Epic   | A sequence of use cases that form a process. It<br>can be thought of as an end-to-end process that<br>combines the capabilities of all parties to achieve a<br>desired outcome.  |
| ESOO | Electricity<br>Statement of<br>Opportunities | The ESOO provides technical and market data that<br>informs the decision-making processes of market<br>participants, new investors, and jurisdictional<br>bodies as they assess opportunities in the<br>Wholesale Electricity Market (WEM) over a 10-year<br>outlook period.   |
|      | ESS Contingency<br>Raise                     | Market provision of a response to a locally detected<br>frequency deviation to help restore frequency to an<br>acceptable level in case of a contingency event<br>(such as the loss of a large generator or load). Will<br>be known as the Contingency Reserve Raise in the<br>future WEM FCESS  |









|       | ESS Contingency<br>Lower                                | Market provision of a response to a locally detected<br>frequency deviation to help restore frequency to an<br>acceptable level in case of a contingency<br>event. Will be known as the Contingency<br>Reserve Lower in the future WEM FCESS  |
|-------|---|---|
|       | ESS Regulation<br>Raise / Lower                         | Market provision of a response to Automatic<br>Generation Control (AGC) signals to correct for<br>small movements in frequency during a dispatch<br>interval.   |
| ESS   | Essential System<br>Services                            | A range of services designed to address or respond to deviations in system frequency  |
| EV    | Electric vehicle  | EVs are cars or other vehicles with motors that are powered by electricity rather than liquid fuels.  |
| FCESS | Frequency Co-<br>optimised Essential<br>System Services | Developed in conjunction with the Western<br>Australian Government Energy Transformation<br>Strategy as part of the Delivering the Future Power<br>System work stream, the new Essential System<br>Service Framework outlined the market design to<br>ensure support services can be securely and<br>efficiently procured for the future power system.<br>The Frequency Co-optimised Essential System<br>Services (FCESS) sit within this Framework, is due<br>to go live in October 2023 and comprises the<br>following five services:<br>Regulation Raise<br>Regulation Lower<br>Contingency Reserve Raise<br>Contingency Reserve Lower<br>Rate of Change of Frequency (RoCoF)<br>Control Service |
| FOM   | Front of (the)<br>Meter                                 | Any infrastructure located on the distribution network side of the customer meter (i.e. not behind the meter).  |
|       | Frequency<br>response                                   | Primary frequency response is available relatively<br>quickly to arrest the rapid decline of frequency and<br>establish a temporary stable operating<br>state. Secondary frequency response<br>is characterised by system-wide control, typically   |









|      |  | through coordinated changes to the setpoints of multiple facilities.  |
|------|--|---|
| GIS  | Geographic<br>Information<br>System            | A GIS is a computer system for capturing, storing, checking, and displaying data related to positions on Earth's surface.   |
|      | Grid architecture                              | Grid architecture is the specialisation of system<br>architecture for electric power grids. As such, it<br>includes not just information systems, but also<br>industry, regulatory, and market structure; electric<br>system structure and grid control framework;<br>communications networks; data management<br>structure; and many elements that exist outside the<br>utility but that interact with the grid, such as<br>buildings, merchant DER, and microgrids (Taft and<br>Becker-Dippmann, 2015). |
| HVAC | Heating Ventilation<br>and Air<br>Conditioning | HVAC systems are responsible for heating and cooling and include products like furnaces, air conditioners and heat pumps, as well as ductwork, thermostats and other comfort controls.  |
|      | Hosting capacity                               | DER hosting capacity is defined as the typical<br>amount of DER that can be connected to a<br>distribution network without requiring network<br>augmentation while the network (and the electricity<br>system as a whole) remains within its technical<br>limits.   |
| ICT  | Information &<br>Communication<br>Technology   |   |
|      | Low Voltage (LV)<br>Network                    | Part of the distribution network which carries electricity from distribution transformers to customers who take supply at the low voltage level (240 V).  |
|      | Marginal Unit                                  | A Balancing Market Offer scenario where a different dispatch instruction is sent every 10   |









|       |  | minutes based on a manual upload to Distributed<br>Energy Resources Integration Platform  |
|-------|--|---|
|       | MS Teams   | MS Teams SharePoint Document Management –<br>Repository where all project documents are to be<br>stored and shared between project participants.  |
| MVP   | Minimum viable<br>product                              | A version of a product with just enough features to<br>be usable by early customers who can then provide<br>feedback for future product development.  |
| NEM   | National Electricity<br>Market                         | The NEM is a wholesale market through which<br>generators and retailers trade electricity in<br>Australia's six eastern and southern states and<br>territories (not Western Australia and the Northern<br>Territory), and the power system that interconnects<br>these regions. The NEM delivers around 80% of all<br>electricity consumption in Australia. |
| NMI   | National Metering<br>Identifier                        | The NMI is a unique 10 or 11 digit number used to identify electricity network connection point in Australia.   |
|       | National Metering<br>Identifier (NMI)<br>Standing Data | Site data that changes infrequently, maintained, and accessed within internal AEMO systems  |
|       | Network Constraint<br>s                                | When a section of an electricity network approaches its technical limits.   |
| NCESS | Non Co-<br>optimised Essential<br>System Services      | A contracted service, not covered by other ESS categories, provided by a generator / retailer / demand side program / DER aggregator to AEMO to help maintain power system security / reliability.  |
|       | Non-contestable<br>Customers                           | Non-contestable customers are those who consume 50 MWh or less of electricity per annum and includes most residential households and small businesses in Western Australia. In the SWIS, only Synergy can supply non-contestable customers.   |







| NSS | Network Support<br>Service      | A contracted service provided by a generator /<br>retailer / demand side program / DER aggregator<br>to help manage network limitations on the LV<br>network. Services relieving transmission network<br>constraints are provided under the Non-Co-<br>optimised Essential System Services framework,<br>part of the WEM construct.   |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|
|     | Offer (or bid)                  | Submitted by generators to provide power/energy (power generation). The term is interchangeable with 'Bid'.   |
|     | Operating<br>Envelope           | An operating envelope is the DER or connection<br>point behaviour that can be accommodated before<br>physical or operational limits of a distribution<br>network are breached see also Dynamic<br>Operating Envelope  |
| OSI | OSI PI (Process<br>Information) | OSI is a proprietary software product for real-time data management – capturing, processing, analysing and storing – of process information.  |
| PV  | Photo-voltaic                   | A PV cell, commonly called a solar cell, is a nonmechanical device that converts sunlight directly into electricity.  |
|     | Pilot                           | A Pilot project is an initial small-scale<br>implementation that is used to prove the viability of<br>a project idea. This could involve either the<br>exploration of a novel new approach or idea or the<br>application of a standard approach recommended<br>by outside parties, but which is new to<br>the organisation. The Pilot study will confirm<br>viability and scalability and enable proposed<br>processes and procedures to be tested. It will<br>confirm the appropriateness and safety of any tools<br>proposed and also confirms that any working<br>practices are safe and comply<br>with organisational/statutory standards. It also<br>enables the benefits to be tested and a more<br>reliable investment appraisal to be created for the<br>Project. |







Our energy future

| РМР    | Project<br>Management Plan                            |  |
|--------|---|--|
| PSR    | Project Status<br>Report                              |  |
| RoCoF  | Rate of change of frequency                           | RoCoF is the market provision of a service to<br>manage the rate of change of power system<br>frequency. This is an Essential System Service.  |
|        | Reactive power  | The power which flows back and forth meaning it<br>moves in both the direction in the circuit or react<br>upon itself, is called reactive power. The reactive<br>power is measured in kilovolt amperes reactive<br>(kVAR) or megavolt amperes reactive (MVAR). |
| REBS   | Renewable Energy<br>Buyback Scheme                    | This energy buyback scheme has been replaced<br>by DEBs but prior to August 2020 customers were<br>receiving a flat 7.135 cents per kilowatt-hour of<br>exported energy.   |
|        | Regulation raise /<br>lower                           | Market provision of a response to Automatic<br>Generation Control (AGC) signals to correct for<br>small movements in frequency during a dispatch<br>interval.  |
| RTMS   | Real Time Market<br>Submission                        |  |
| SCED   | Security<br>Constrained<br>Economic Dispatch          |  |
|        | Scenario  | A collection of epics and / or use cases that<br>together define how DER could participate in a post<br>SCED market or provide a service. The MVP has<br>been defined at a scenario level.   |
| SG/NSG | Scheduled<br>Generator/Non-<br>Scheduled<br>Generator |  |









|      | Small-scale<br>Renewable Energy<br>Scheme | The Small-scale Renewable Energy Scheme is<br>component of the Commonwealth Government<br>Renewable Energy Target. It creates a financial<br>incentive for individuals and small businesses to<br>install eligible small-scale renewable energy<br>systems such as solar panel systems, small-scale<br>wind systems, small-scale hydro systems, solar<br>water heaters and air source heat pumps. |
|------|---|---|
| SWIS | South West<br>Interconnected<br>System    | TheSWIS is an electricity grid in the southwestern<br>part of Western Australia. It extends to the coast in<br>the south and west, to Kalbarri in the north and<br>Kalgoorlie in the east.  |
| SR   | Spinning Reserve                          | Spinning reserve is generation capacity that is held<br>in reserve but ready to respond quickly if another<br>generator suffers an unexpected outage. This<br>helps maintain an uninterrupted supply of electricity<br>to customers.  |
|      | System<br>architecture                    | System architecture is a discipline for describing, analysing, and communicating structural representations of complex systems. Colloquially, a system architecture is a model of a (complex) system, the purpose of which is to help think about the overall shape of the system, its attributes, and how the parts interact (Taft and Becker-Dippmann, 2015).                                   |
|      | System Restart                            | System restart service allows parts of the power<br>system to be re-energised by black start equipped<br>generation capacity following a full (or partial) black<br>out.  |
|      | Telemetry data                            | The automated recording and transmission of data from remote sources into a central system in support of monitoring and analysis.   |
|      | Time-of-use tariff                        | A retail tariff structure that includes different variable charges for energy depending on the time of day the energy is consumed by the customer.  |
| ЗРА  | Third Party<br>Aggregator                 | An aggregator that is aggregatored by a parent aggregator (Aggregator)  |









| TNI  | Transmission Node<br>Identifier  | The component on the network which denotes the transmission from the transmission network to a local distribution network. It is anticipated that Facilities involve in the delivery of Network Support Services will be tightly coupled to a local distribution network denoted by a specific Transmission Node Identifier. For example, SNR540 is connected to a specific Transmission Node Identifier located in the Southern River area of Perth. |
|------|----------------------------------|---|
|      | Trading Interval                 | Is the half hour interval where the aggregator commits to provide or consume energy   |
| UFLS | Under Frequency<br>Load Shedding | UFLS schemes are emergency mechanisms that are designed to arrest a fall in frequency.  |
|      | Use Case                         | An activity within a scenario or epic that is owned by a particular project participant.  |
| VPP  | Virtual Power Plant              | A VPP broadly refers to an aggregation of<br>distributed energy resources (such as<br>decentralised generation, storage and controllable<br>loads) coordinated to deliver services for power<br>system operations and electricity markets.  |
|      | Volt-var response                | Volt-var function smooths the grid voltages by<br>using the customer's inverter to absorb reactive<br>power from the grid when voltage levels rise.<br>Further to this, when voltages fall below (V2) 220V,<br>the volt-var mode will cause the customer's inverter<br>to generate reactive power to support the grid<br>voltage.   |
|      | Volt-watt response               | The volt-watt response mode reduces the inverter<br>power output when needed in order to prevent<br>exceeding the voltage limits. If this mode is not<br>enabled the inverter may experience frequent<br>nuisance tripping when the network is lightly<br>loaded.   |
| WoSP | Whole of System<br>Plan          | The WoSP is a long-term and detailed plan<br>developed by Western Power, the State<br>Government, EPWA and AEMO. It documents how<br>the generation, management and distribution of   |









|     |                                 | energy in the SWIS will change over the next few decades, and what needs to be done to respond, such as the investment or infrastructure required.                     |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|
| WEM | Wholesale<br>Electricity Market | The WEM, operated by AEMO, controls the supply<br>and trading of wholesale electricity between<br>retailers and generators on the South West<br>Interconnected System. |









#### **Appendix B: DSO Module Diagram**







Table 7: DSO Module Diagram Table

|            | Level 1 Module name | Level | Level 2      | Level 3 | Level 3 Module             | Description  |
|------------|---------------------|-------|--------------|---------|----------------------------|--|
| Level 1 ID |                     | 2 ID  | Module name  | ID      | name                       |  |
| 0          | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.2.1   | NMI Consumption            | Uses the daily consumption data for each national metering identifier (NMI) from W<br>underlying meter consumption data collected by Metering Business System (MBS). S<br>(AMI) 30-minute consumption data (in which case the consumption is aggregated to<br>bi-monthly consumption data (in which case the consumption is interpolated/estima<br>curve for that NMI)   |
| 1          | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.1     | Pilot DSO DB               | A central online transaction processing (OLTP) database for all of Symphony's operat<br>processing. This database usually contains two weeks' worth of historical informatio<br>(seven days for weather). This module holds a copy of the Network model relevant f<br>manipulate the model or other input data (such as, consumption/weather) for gener<br>hypothesis test cases for Test & Learn. Long term historical data is kept in the Enterp<br>purposes).   |
| 1          | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.1.1   | Symphony<br>Network Model  | <ul> <li>The Network Model focussed on specific Feeders for Project Symphony and derived Management Software/Datawarehouse/DER Register. It is used as input to the DOE make changes to the model (to test scenarios) without impacting the DSO/Western also accommodate the addition of new battery installations that have not yet been of GIS; Extract of the Western Power's (WP) Geographic Information System (4 voltage (LV) level - "as-built") for specific feeders relevant for Symphony. Date DMS; Network Model which is based on the Western Power GIS and adjuster Distribution Management System (DMS) to make sure distribution transform Feeder. Only long-term switching is considered. Short-term switching (for p with via the outage management processes.</li> </ul> |
| 1          | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.1.2   | Symphony<br>Telemetry data | <ul> <li>The following telemetry data is captured from the DSO/Western Power Network and Module:</li> <li>AMI PQ; (five-minute instantaneous power, current, voltage information per NMI f Project Symphony, performance quality (PQ) data for all NMIs in Southern River (SNI NMIs - 50% - from SNR 508) is recorded.</li> <li>DSTR monitoring (for some DSTRs) - a common view of all DSTR monitoring data ac Feeder data; (voltage, current, power, etc) collected for each feeder for every 5 min information (PI) tables in the data warehouse. Project Symphony is only interested in This data is also used for validating NSS deployments as well as DOE compliance.</li> </ul>  |







/estern Powers BAU data warehouse. Based on Source could be advance metering infrastructure a daily consumption value for the NMI) or basic ated for each day based on an estimated load

tional processes which facilitates transaction on as well as forecasts for the next three days for Symphony that allows the DSO Platform to ral operational testing and the testing of prise Data Analytics Platform (for analytical

I from GIS/DMS/Weather data/Enterprise Asset Calculator Module and allows the DSO team to Power network model. The DSO Platform can commissioned to evaluate them too. GIS) Network model (medium voltage (MV) / low ata has been cleaned up where necessary. ed for "as-switched" information from the mers (DSTRs) are connected to the correct lanned work and outage management) is dealt

d used as an input into the DOE Calculation

from via the AMI Headend System (AMIHES); For IR) 540 as, well as participating NMI's (and some

cross all different devices.

inutes in Western Powers BAU Corporate plant in SNR 540 and 508 for the Pilot.



Our energy future

|   | Level 1 Module name | Level | Level 2      | Level 3 | Level 3 Module                          | Description   |
|---|---------------------|-------|--------------|---------|---|---|
|   |                     | 2 ID  | Module name  | ID      | name                                    |   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.1.3   | Symphony<br>Weather data                | Symphony collects weather and solar irradiance data as input for the DOE Calculation<br>these values without impacting DSO/Western Power data sources so the DSO Platfo<br>in the test environment).<br>Solar Irradiance values (per postcode) for actuals and forecasts are kept in Western<br>uses postcodes relevant for participating customers.<br>Weather information provided by Weather zone is kept in Western Power's data wa<br>data information for Jandakot Airport (most relevant for SNR 540 area)   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.1.4   | Symphony DER<br>register                | A combined DER Register that looks at both BAU (Western Power) DER register as v<br>the Aggregator as part of Symphony project.<br>When photovoltaic systems (PV's) and Batteries are installed by electrical contracto<br>energy resources (DER) Register in Western Power's data warehouse. This is mainly<br>existing DER (non-participating NMIs).  |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.1.5   | Facility/Participatin<br>g NMI register | Record of all Facilities provided by DMO and which NMIs participate in those faciliti<br>also a record of which NMIs have given consent for the DSO Platform to share data  |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.1.6   | DOE calcs output                        | <ul> <li>Pilot DSO Data Base collects outputs from the DOE calculator. This includes:</li> <li>DOEs for each of the participating NMIs,</li> <li>Load Forecast for all NMIs in the network catchment areas; and</li> <li>Limiting Module report (helps identifying the bottlenecks in the network at times in the network at tin the network at times</li></ul> |
|   |                     |       |              |         |   | This is the main source for publishing DOEs to our partners (Aggregator/DMO)  |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.1.7   | Services data<br>model                  | Information kept for managing services like:<br>- Network Support Services requests (creation, changes, cancellations)<br>- recording of Constraint To Zero notifications   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.1   | Pilot DSO DB | 1.1.8   | Managing outages                        | Both planned and unplanned outages are replicated to Western Power's data ware<br>Distributed Management System). Significant outages impacting the Symphony's ne<br>(ui) application to alert the subject matter experts (SMEs) of any significant outages<br>Envelopes (DOEs) that have been issued the day before.   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.3   | Web UI       | 1.3.1   | NSS requests                            | User interface to allow Subject Matter Experts (SME) to issue and manage NSS requ   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.3   | Web UI       | 1.3.2   | NMI Consent                             | User interface to manage NMI consent responses from customers to ensure the DS (DMO) related to the customer without their consent.   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.3   | Web UI       | 1.3.3   | Outage<br>Management                    | The Western Power Datawarehouse has Realtime feed of TCS (Trouble call system /<br>web applications looks at incidents impacting the feeders of interest to Project Sym<br>incidents of interest and intervene with a DOE set already sent to the Aggregator by   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.3   | Web UI       | 1.3.4   | Configuration changes                   | Allows SME to modify some parameters used by DOE calculator (voltage limits etc)  |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.3   | Web UI       | 1.3.5   | Monitoring logs                         | Allows system administrator to monitor different parts of the DSO Platform.   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing | 1.3   | Web UI       | 1.3.6   | Default DOE                             | Default" DOEs are a "static" version of a DOE used for Participating NMI's that have and/or system failure.   |

In partnership with:



ion Module. It also allows for making changes to orm can test for specific scenarios (only available

Power's data warehouse. Project Symphony only

varehouse. Project Symphony only uses weather

well as the new DERs that have been signed up by

ors, the details are captured in the distributed for understanding the generation capacity for

ies. It keeps track of changes over time. There is for those NMIs.

of high load/generation)

ehouse near Realtime (coming from the etwork model is used by the web user interface that could impact the Dynamic Operating

uests with Aggregator (via DMO).

SO Platform are not sharing data with third parties

<sup>7</sup> Distributed Management System) incidents. This nphony. This page allows an SME to react to y issuing emergency / default DOEs.

no Network model and in the event of a module

Our energy future

|   | Level 1 Module name                   | Level | Level 2                             | Level 3 | Level 3 Module                   | Description   |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---|
|   |                                       | 2 ID  | Module name                         | ID      | name                             |   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing                   | 1.4   | Integration                         | 1.4.1   | Data exchange<br>layer           | The Data exchange layer allows the DSO to communicate to the DMO and Aggreg   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing                   | 1.4   | Integration                         | 1.4.2   | Python                           | Light weight coding language and popular for writing "user exits" (extensions) in CO example, Network Analysis Tool allows for extensions and automations/macros to k   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing                   | 1.4   | Integration                         | 1.4.3   | PL/SQL                           | Structured Query Language is an industry standard for querying/updating both relations SQL is executed from a client that is separate from where the Database is hosted. P Database server and has been used in Project Symphony to extract data from other Pilot Database.   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing                   | 1.4   | Integration                         | 1.4.4   | Archive Synch                    | Integration platform as a service. Allows the DSO platform to integrate between clo sources with cloud data storage (for example, pushing data to the Enterprise Data A protocols and standards are supported.  |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing                   | 1.4   | Integration                         | 1.4.5   | DSO data flow<br>automation      | <ul> <li>DSTR telemetry data pulled into Symphony,</li> <li>DOE / Data validation,</li> <li>push/pull to Evolve via a Blobstore,</li> <li>push/pull to partners via Data exchange layer,</li> <li>Network Analysis Tool integration; and</li> <li>loading of data into the DSO Pilot Database - mainly PL/SQL</li> </ul>  |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing                   | 1.4   | DOE Validator                       | 1.5     | DOE Validator                    | The DSO data flow automation runs several DOE checks to make sure the DOEs per all DOEs under a Transformer) are correct.   |
| 1 | DSO Data Processing                   | 1.4   | BESS HSDR<br>feed                   | 1.6.1   | HSDR on BESS                     | The High-Speed Data Recordings from the BESS that are sent via DSO data flow auto<br>store)   |
| 2 | Network and Environment<br>Monitoring | 2.1   | data feed                           | 2.1.1   | DSTR Monitor HES                 | Data coming from DSTR Monitor 2 and stored by the DSTR Monitor Headend System<br>Recorder (DSO) software). There is a vast number of data points collected (and stor<br>Enterprise Data Analytics Platform), but the ones that are of most interest to Project  |
| 2 | Network and Environment<br>Monitoring | 2.1   | data feed                           | 2.1.2   | FTP server                       | Web server that allows Automation engineer to remotely log in to monitoring devic extract High Speed data records.  |
| 2 | Network and Environment<br>Monitoring | 2.2   | DSTR Monitor<br>1 data feed         | 2.2.1   | DSTR Monitor 1<br>data feed      | Data coming from DSTR Monitor 1 devices and stored via MQTT in the DSO/ Wester<br>Enterprise Data Analytics Platform. Major data points that are being used to by Proj  |
| 2 | Network and Environment<br>Monitoring | 2.3   | DSTR Monitor<br>3 data feed         | 2.3.1   | DSTR Monitor 3<br>data feed      | Data coming from DSTR Monitor 3 devices and stored via DSTR Monitor 3 Cloud Sol computing-based data cloud. Major data points that are being used to by Project Sy  |
| 2 | Network and Environment<br>Monitoring | 2.4   | DSTR monitor<br>1 Cloud<br>Solution | 2.4.1   | DSTR monitor 1<br>Cloud Solution | DSTR monitor 1 Cloud Solution is the analysis platform for the data collected by the<br>Solution. Although possible to also use this cloud platform to feed the data into DSC<br>showed some vulnerabilities, and it has been decided to not further use DSTR moni<br>analysis / verification. DSTR monitor 1 meter configuration as well as data extraction<br>Solution and the product has been contained within the limited scope of Project Syr |
| 2 | Network and Environment<br>Monitoring | 2.5   | DSTR monitor<br>1 webserver         | 2.5.1   | DSTR monitor 1<br>webserver      | Web server that allows an Automation engineer to remotely log in to monitor devic extract High Speed data records.  |

In partnership with:

western synergy) (AEMO

#### gator platforms.

TS (Commercial off the shelf) applications. For be coded in Python.

tional and non-relational databases. Typically, L/SQL allows for SQL to be executed by the sources, transform it and insert it into the DSO

bud platforms as well as integrate internal data Analytics Platform). A variety of different

NMI are correct and the aggregated values (e.g.,

omation to the DMO (via the Aggregator's Blob

m virtual machine server (also High-Speed Data red in Western Power systems including the ct Symphony is Power, current and voltage.

ce to access configuration settings as well as

rn Power corporate databases including the ject Symphony is Power, current and voltage. lution in our corporate databases incl Cloud ymphony is Power, current and voltage.

e devices registered in the DSTR monitor 1 Cloud O's corporate network, the Cloud Risk Assessment itor 1 Cloud Solution other than some basic on should not be done using DSTR monitor 1 Cloud umphony.

ces to access configuration settings as well as

Our energy future

|            | Level 1 Module name              | Level | Level 2                                 | Level 3 | Level 3 Module                        | Description   |
|------------|----------------------------------|-------|---|---------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Level I ID |                                  | 2 ID  | Module name                             | ID      | name                                  |   |
| 3          | Evolve Platform                  | 3.1   | Digester                                | 3.1.1   | ANU digester                          | This module takes Telemetry, Weather, and network model from the Pilot DSO DB calcs in DOE calculator   |
| 3          | Evolve Platform                  | 3.1   | Digester                                | 3.1.2   | Zepben digester                       | Takes network model from Pilot DSO DB for voltage limit calcs in DOE calculator   |
| 3          | Evolve Platform                  | 3.2   | Load<br>Forecaster                      | 3.2.1   | Load Forecaster                       | Takes weather forecast and actuals as well as recent consumption/load and predict minute interval for each NMI on the network (SNR540).   |
| 3          | Evolve Platform                  | 3.3   | DOE<br>calculator                       | 3.3.1   | Thermal limit calculator              | Takes the input from the ANU digester and calculates thermal limits for participatin  |
| 3          | Evolve Platform                  | 3.3   | DOE<br>calculator                       | 3.3.2   | Voltage limit<br>calculator           | Takes the output from Thermal Limit DOE Calculation Module as well as output from participating NMIs. The results from this calculation provides Project Symphony wit platform before being publish to Aggregator/DMO.  |
| 3          | Evolve Platform                  | 3.4   | Web UI                                  | 3.4.1   | Web UI                                | Visual view of the Network model loaded.  |
| 4          | Analysis and Reporting           | 4.1   | Reporting                               | 4.1.1   | Enterprise Data<br>Analytics Platform | Essentially a replica of the Pilot DSO DB but unlike Pilot DSO DB, this replica keeps t<br>DB is used for operational purposes and only keeps a data for a short amount of tin<br>Enterprise Data Analytics Platform is for the analysis purposes to support the Test &<br>for sharing large data sets with other partners (like Project Symphony's Research Pa |
| 4          | Analysis and Reporting           | 4.1   | Reporting                               | 4.2.1   | DOE reporting                         | Operational reports related to DOEs (and load forecasts) service verification. For ex   |
| 4          | Analysis and Reporting           | 4.1   | Reporting                               | 4.3.1   | NSS reporting                         | Operational reports related to NSS service verification. For example: NSS validation  |
| 5          | Network Analysis Tool            | 5.1   | Network<br>Analysis Tool                | 5.1.1   | Network Analysis<br>Tool              | The Network Analysis Tool automation work has been developed specifically to con<br>EVOLVE platform with the equivalent calculations done by The Network Analysis To  |
| 6          | Symphony BESS                    | 6.1   | Symphony<br>BESS                        | 6.1.1   | Symphony BESS                         | 1MW Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)  |
| 6          | Symphony BESS                    | 6.1   | Symphony<br>BESS                        | 6.1.2   | Battery Service<br>Control            | Battery Gateway Controller and Battery Service Control.   |
| 6          | Symphony BESS                    | 6.2   | SCADA RTU                               | 6.2.1   | SCADA RTU                             | Standard RTU (typically used in RMU sites) but with a modified config to interact w   |
| 6          | Symphony BESS                    | 6.3   | High Speed<br>Data<br>Recorder<br>(DSO) | 6.3.1   | High Speed Data<br>Recorder (DSO)     | High Speed Data Recorder used by the DSO Platform   |
| 7          | Project Management Tool<br>(DSO) |       |   |         |                                       | An issue and project tracking software. It is used by the DSO Platform team to plan, development activities.  |
| 7          | Project Management Tool<br>(DSO) | 7.1   | Release<br>Management<br>tool           |         |                                       | Manages changes to the DSO Platforms modules that are used by the Pilot. This mo<br>of changes, as well as the tracking and communication of those changes throughou  |
| 7          | Project Management Tool<br>(DSO) | 7.2   | Testing tool                            |         |                                       | Assists in the Development, System integration and user acceptance testing of the management.   |
| 7          | Project Management Tool<br>(DSO) | 7.3   | Support and<br>Maintenance              |         |                                       | Supports with managing technical assistance requests, troubleshooting issues, as w with the DSO Platform's Service Level Agreements   |

In partnership with:



for Load forecasting, as well as, for thermal limit

ts the load for upcoming three days for every five-

ng NMIs

m Zapien digester and calculates Voltage limits for th the "raw" DOEs that will be validated by DSO

track of all changes (no data is lost). The Pilot DSO me to ensure performance criteria are met. The & Learn phase of Project Symphony. It is also used artner ).

xample: quality, compliance reports.

and verification.

mpare the load constraints calculated by the ool.

ith BESS via Modbus.

, track, test, release, and report on software

odule supports the planning and implementation ut the Pilot and associated Partners.

DSO Platform modules, including defect

vell new feature requests/enhancements, in line

Our energy future

#### **Appendix C: DSO Platform Requirements Mapped to Modules**

#### **1. Introduction**

The below tables include a detailed assessment on how well the DSO Platform Solution modules have met all the functional and non-function requirements, contained in the "Platform Functional and Non-Functional Requirements"<sup>36</sup>. The table headers include the same module identification numbers used in the as-built DSO Platform Module diagram, in Appendix B.

The colour code status RED •, AMBER •, and GREEN • is used in this assessment correlate to what extent the modules cover the requirements.

#### 2. Network and Environment Monitoring modules

#### 2.1 Description

The Network monitoring module of the DSO Platform is comprised of several discrete solutions that support the collection and storage of telemetry data from different locations on the Pilot area network, as well as Pilot area environment/weather data. Collected data is forwarded on to the DSO Data Processing where it is organised, stored, and managed. The architecture for the Network and Environment Monitoring module is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Network and Environment Monitoring Diagram

The data sources are:

- Existing Data Sources (I-1): Where available, the project has made use of existing network monitoring data. This includes supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA), Advance Metering Infrastructure (AMI), weather, and solar irradiance data, Distributed Energy Resources (DER) Registrations, Network model, outage management. These datasets have been imported from existing DSO data collections into the Project Symphony database. In addition, the project has also supported roll-out of AMI metering in the Pilot area to ensure this existing data collection is comprehensive enough for the project,
- Distribution Transformer Monitors: Three separate types of distribution transformer monitor devices have been implemented in the project Pilot area to test the merits of the different devices. As the data collection mechanism/platform for each device is different, the DSO Platform has required three different solutions for directing and integrating distribution transformer data into the Project Symphony database: and,
- BESS High Speed Data Collection (C6.3): A high speed data recorder solution has been implemented at the BESS to support the • collection of data, specifically to test the Essential System Services (ESS) scenario.

<sup>36</sup> project-symphony-platform-functional-and-non-functional-requirements-report.pdf (arena.gov.au)

In partnership with:

western synergy CAEMO



#### 2.2 DSO Requirements mapped to Network and Environment Monitoring modules

 Table 8: DSO Requirements mapped to Network and Environment Monitoring Modules

| DSO Requirements mapped to Network and Environment Monitoring modules |  |                 |         |   |       |       | Symphony Weather data | PL/SQL | lics  | DSO data flow automation | BESS HSDR Feed | DSTR Monitor HES | FTP server | DSTR Monitor 1 data feed<br>DSTR monitor 3 data feed | Enterprise Data Analytics Platform | High Speed Recorder (DSO) |
|---|--|-----------------|---------|---|-------|-------|-----------------------|--------|-------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| RID   | Requirement Name                           | Priority        | Rating  | DSO Platform comments* */f the requirement was not fully met, is new, changed, or the DSO Platform delivered above and beyond the requirements intent.  | 1.1.1 | 1.1.2 | 1.1.3                 | 1.4.3  | 1.4.4 | 1.4.5                    | 1.6.1          | 2.1.1            | 2.1.2      | 2.2.1<br>2.3.1                                       | 4.2.1                              | 6.3.1                     |
| 9   | Feeder Data - History                      | Mandatory       | GREEN ● |   |       | Y     |                       | Y      |       |                          |                |                  |            |  |                                    |                           |
| 10  | DSTR Data - History                        | Mandatory       | GREEN ● |   |       | Y     |                       |        | Y     | Y                        |                | Y                | Y١         | r Y  | Y                                  |                           |
| 11  | Service Connection (NMI) Data -<br>History | Mandatory       | GREEN ● |   |       | Y     |                       | Y      |       |                          |                |                  |            |  |                                    |                           |
| 13  | Feeder Data - Granularity                  | Mandatory       | GREEN ● |   |       | Y     | Y                     | Y      |       |                          |                |                  |            |  |                                    |                           |
| 14  | DSTR Data - Granularity                    | Mandatory       | GREEN ● |   |       | Y     |                       |        |       | Y                        |                | Y                | Y١         | r Y  |                                    |                           |
| 15  | Service Connection Data - Granularity      | Mandatory       | GREEN ● | There are known small gaps in the AMI PQ data   |       | Y     |                       | Y      | Y     |                          |                |                  |            |  | Y                                  |                           |
| 16  | GRID Connected BESS - Granularity          | Mandatory       | GREEN 鱼 |   |       | Y     |                       |        |       | Y                        | Y              | Y                | Y          |  |                                    | Y                         |
| 17  | Telemetry Data - Availability              | Mandatory       | GREEN 鱼 | There are some delays in the PQ data. The data will be available within four hours in the Data processing module, and within 24 hours in the Archiving module (for analysis and reporting).   | Y     |       |                       | Y      | Y     | Y                        | Y              |                  |            |  | Y                                  | Y                         |
| 174   | A High Speed Recording Data                | Desirable (New) | GREEN 🛡 | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 17 with consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well as the DSO.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"High Speed Recording (HSR) data is collected and stored for WP owned HSR's." |       |       |                       |        |       | Y                        | Y              | Y                | Y          |  |                                    | Y                         |
| 18  | Weather Data – History                     | Mandatory       | GREEN 鱼 |   |       |       |                       |        | Y     |                          |                |                  |            |  | Y                                  |                           |
| 19  | Weather Observation Data –<br>Granularity  | Mandatory       | GREEN ● |   |       |       | Y                     | Y      | Y     |                          |                |                  |            |  | Y                                  |                           |
| 20  | Weather Observation Data –<br>Availability | Mandatory       | GREEN 鱼 |   |       |       | Y                     | Y      | Y     |                          |                |                  |            |  | Y                                  |                           |
| 21  | Weather Forecast Data – Forecast           | Mandatory       | GREEN 🛡 |   |       |       | Y                     | Y      |       |                          |                |                  |            |  |                                    |                           |
| 22  | Weather Forecast Data – Granularity        | Mandatory       | GREEN 🛡 |   |       |       | Y                     | Y      | Y     |                          |                |                  |            |  | Y                                  |                           |
| 23  | Weather Forecast Data – Availability       | Mandatory       | GREEN 🔍 |   |       |       | Y                     | Y      | Y     |                          |                |                  |            |  | Y                                  |                           |

#### 3. DSO Data Processing modules

#### **3.1 Description**

The DSO Data Processing module is used to organise, store, and manage DSO Platform data. This module includes:

- Network monitoring data collected from local distribution network monitoring Modules,
- The model that describes network Modules and how they relate to each other,
- Network Module details and operating constraints,
- Network configuration information required to support network load flow analysis and DOE calculations; and,
- DSO Platform inputs and outputs, including DOE publications and data received from partner platforms.

The architecture for the DSO Data Processing module is shown in Figure 3:

| 1 | s shown in Figure 3:                    |  |
|---|---|--|
|   |   |  |
|   | Data Processing                         |  |
|   | DER/NMI<br>Registrations Model NSS Data |  |



Figure 3: DSO Data Processing Diagram

DSO Data Processing makes this data available to other DSO Platform modules, including the DOE Calculator and Analysis and Reporting modules, as well as partner platforms via integration with the Data Exchange Service module. To support these integrations, the DSO Data Processing module includes multiple integration points. The module is used store two weeks of monitoring and output data in the operational database, with data transferred to the Analysis and Reporting module (C-4) for longer term storage and analysis.



Our energy future

#### **3.2 DSO Requirements mapped to DSO Data Processing modules**

Table 9: Requirements mapped to DSO Processing Modules

| DSO Requirements mapped to DSO Data Processing modules |   |                    |         |   |           |                      |                       | ter                 |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      |       |       |                        |                        | E                      |                                |
|--|---|--------------------|---------|---|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
|  |   |                    |         |   | ot DSO DB | mphony Network Model | mphony Telemetry data | mphony DER register | cility/Participating NMI regist | iE calcs output | rvices data model | naging outages | S reporting | naging NSS requests | fault DOE | TA TRANSFER PROTOCOL | /saL  | S     | O data flow automation | ermal limit calculator | Itage limit calculator | terprise Data Analytics Platfo |
| PID  | Requirement Name  | Priority           | Pating  | DSO Platform comments*  | Pile      | Syn                  | Syr                   | Syn                 | Fac                             | 8               | Ser               | Mai            | NS          | ma                  | Del       | DA                   | PL    | ij    | DS                     | Ĕ                      | <b>№</b>               | Ē                              |
| κιυ  | Requirement Name  | FIGILY             | Raung   | *If the requirement was not fully met, is new, changed, or the<br>DSO Platform delivered above and beyond the requirements<br>intent.   | 1.1*      | 1.1.1                | 1.1.2                 | 1.1.4               | 1.1.5                           | 1.1.6           | 1.1.7             | 1.1.8          | 4.3.1       | 1.3.1               | 1.3.6     | 1.4.1                | 1.4.3 | 1.4.4 | 1.4.5                  | 3.3.1                  | 3.3.2                  | 4.2.1                          |
| 1  | Network Components                                      | Mandatory          | GREEN • |   |           | Y                    | Y                     |                     |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           | Y                    |       |       |                        |                        |                        |                                |
| 2  | Network Connections                                     | Mandatory          | GREEN • |   |           | Y                    |                       |                     |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      | Y     |       |                        |                        |                        |                                |
| 3  | Network Component<br>Constraints                        | Mandatory          | GREEN • |   |           | Y                    |                       |                     |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      | Y     |       |                        |                        |                        |                                |
| 4  | Service Connections                                     | Mandatory          | GREEN • | The DSO Platform does not store specifics on the<br>customer service connections (for example if the<br>customer has paid for an upgrade or not). However, the<br>DSO Platform has the capability in the Symphony data<br>model to apply a static value for single or three phase<br>service connections.   |           | Y                    |                       |                     | Y                               |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      | Y     |       |                        |                        |                        |                                |
| 5  | Network Model<br>Management                             | Mandatory          | GREEN • | Regarding Distribution Transformers (DSTR), switched<br>into the pilot area, the DSO Platform only brings in the<br>load of the additional DSTR via a "dummy" connection<br>between the feeder and DSTR. The DSO Platform does<br>not have the correct connection as the switching<br>program of how the DSTR is switched into the Feeder is<br>not easily derived from the data available and is not<br>necessary for the purposes of the Project Symphony<br>pilot phase.   |           | Y                    |                       |                     |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      | Y     |       |                        |                        |                        |                                |
| 5A   | Network Model Refresh                                   | Mandatory (New)    | GREEN ● | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 5.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"The Symphony Network Model must be refreshed<br>nightly starting at 0:00am and be based on the "as-<br>designed" model as well as the "as-switched" model."  |           | Y                    |                       |                     |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      | Y     |       |                        |                        |                        |                                |
| 6  | Network Model<br>Changes                                | Mandatory          | GREEN • | Multiple changes made during the day are not stored in the archive. Only a single snapshot of the Symphony Model for a day is kept in the cloud computing software – based in the data cloud.   |           |                      |                       | Y                   | Y                               |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      |       | Y     |                        |                        |                        | Y                              |
| 7  | Network Outage<br>Information                           | Desirable          | GREEN • |   |           | Y                    |                       |                     |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      |       |       |                        |                        |                        |                                |
| 8  | Future Planned Outage                                   | Desirable          | GREEN • |   |           |                      |                       |                     |                                 |                 |                   | Y              |             |                     |           |                      | Y     |       |                        |                        |                        |                                |
| 12   | Network Model History                                   | Desirable          | GREEN • | This requirement of providing two years of historical data<br>has been descoped as there is no business need. The<br>enterprise data analytics platform has the capability to<br>store historical data.   |           |                      |                       |                     |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      |       |       |                        |                        |                        | Y                              |
| 24   | Facility Registration<br>Data                           | Desirable          | GREEN • |   |           |                      |                       |                     | Y                               |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           | Y                    |       |       | Y                      |                        |                        |                                |
| 24A  | Facility Registration<br>Data                           | Desirable          | GREEN ● | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 24 with consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well as the DSO.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"The DSO Platform shall include data on registered Facilities. Facility information to include but not limited to:<br>• Start and end date reflecting the trading date and intervals.<br>• Array of NMI's participating in the market service the facility will provide (RID 24)."  |           |                      |                       |                     | Y                               |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           | Y                    |       |       | Y                      |                        |                        |                                |
| 25   | Service Connection<br>Participation                     | Mandatory          | GREEN • |   |           | Y                    |                       |                     | Y                               |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           |                      | Y     |       |                        |                        |                        |                                |
| 26   | DER Registration Data                                   | Mandatory          | GREEN • |   |           |                      |                       | Y                   |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           | Y                    |       |       | Y                      |                        |                        |                                |
| 27   | Facility Registration –<br>Change History               | Desirable          | GREEN • |   |           |                      |                       |                     | Y                               |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           | Y                    |       | Y     | Y                      |                        |                        | Y                              |
| 28   | Service Connection<br>Participation – Change<br>History | Mandatory          | GREEN • |   |           |                      |                       |                     | Y                               |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           | Y                    |       | Y     | Y                      |                        |                        | Y                              |
| 29   | DER Registration –<br>Change History                    | Mandatory          | GREEN • | The DSO Platform is aware there are issues with the<br>current productionised Distributed Energy Resources<br>register (for example, service connection supply<br>abolishment's/ demolitions are not recorded).   |           |                      |                       | Y                   |                                 |                 |                   |                |             |                     |           | Y                    | Y     |       | Y                      |                        |                        | Y                              |
| 30   | Market Service<br>Information                           | Mandatory          | GREEN • |   |           |                      |                       |                     | Y                               |                 | Y                 |                |             |                     |           | Y                    |       | Y     | Y                      |                        |                        | Y                              |
| 31   | Service Requests  | Mandatory          | GREEN • |   |           |                      |                       |                     | Y                               |                 | Y                 |                | Y           |                     |           | Y                    |       | Y     | Y                      |                        |                        | Y                              |
| 32   | Service Dispatch  | Mandatory          | GREEN • |   |           |                      |                       |                     | Y                               |                 | Y                 |                | Y           |                     |           | Y                    |       | Y     | Y                      |                        |                        | Y                              |
| 32A  | NSS Service<br>Registration                             | Mandatory<br>(New) | GREEN • | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 32 with consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well as the DSO.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"The DSO Platform shall support the registration of NSS Services, including:<br>- Recording the service registration ID<br>- Facility that will be used to deliver the service<br>- Date/times service may be called<br>- Amount of power that can be requested<br>- Whether the service involves the provision of forward or reverse power"                  |           |                      |                       |                     | Y                               |                 | Y                 |                |             |                     |           | Y                    |       | Y     | Y                      |                        |                        | Y                              |
| 32B  | NSS Service Dispatch                                    | Mandatory<br>(New) | GREEN • | <ul> <li>This requirement is a refinement of requirement 32 with consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well as the DSO.</li> <li>New requirement Description:</li> <li>"The DSO Platform shall support the requesting of the delivery of an NSS service, including:</li> <li>Requesting the delivery of the service via the DMO platform</li> <li>Providing all service information, including services ID, MW requirements, start time and delivery time (Req32A)</li> <li>Provision of a NMI list"</li> </ul> |           |                      |                       |                     | Y                               |                 | Y                 |                |             | Y                   |           | Y                    |       |       | Y                      |                        |                        |                                |

In partnership with:





# Project Symphony Our energy future

| DSO Requirements mapped to DSO Data Processing modules |  |                 |         |  |                |                        | tler                     |                       |                                  |                  |                     |                  |                 |                       |             |                        |        |      |                          | Ę                        |                          |                                |
|--|--|-----------------|---------|--|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------|------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
|  |  |                 |         |  | Pliet D \$0 DB | Symphony Network Model | Symptiony Telemetry data | Symphony DER register | Facility/Participating NMI regis | DOE calca output | Services data model | Managing outages | N 8 s reporting | managing NSS requests | Default DOE | DATA TRANSFER PROTOCOL | PL/SQL | lics | DSO data flow automation | Thermal limit calculator | Voltage Ilmit calculator | Enterprise Data Analytics Plat |
| 32C  | NSS Service Dispatch                               | Mandatory (New) | GREEN • | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 32 with<br>consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well as the<br>DSO.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"A NMI list will be used to communicate the available<br>NMIS that can be used to provide an NNS service. This<br>list will essentially be a list of active NMIs on a section of<br>the network and will allow for any network changes to be<br>communicated to the Aggregator prior to service<br>delivery.<br>The DSO Platform shall support the maintenance of a<br>NMI list, which can be sent to the DMO as part of a<br>request for an NES Service.  |                | Y                      |                          |                       |                                  |                  |                     |                  |                 | Y                     |             |                        |        |      |                          |                          |                          |                                |
| 32D  | NSS Service Dispatch                               | Mandatory (New) | GREEN • | The requirement is a refinement of requirement 32 with<br>consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well as the<br>DSO.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"The DSO Platform shall support the requesting of the<br>delivery of an NSS service to be registered without being<br>tied to a specific asset, including:<br>- Providing all service information, including services ID,<br>MW requirements, start time and delivery time (Req32A)<br>- Provision of a NMI list"   |                |                        |                          |                       | Y                                |                  | Y                   |                  | Y               | Y                     |             | Y                      |        | Y    | Y                        |                          |                          | Y                              |
| 44   | Grid Connected BESS                                | Mandatory       | GREEN   |  |                | Y                      |                          |                       |                                  | Y                |                     |                  |                 |                       |             |                        |        |      |                          | Y                        | Y                        |                                |
| 47   | Service Dispatch<br>Information                    | Desirable       | AMBER • | The Aggregator and the DSO created a process in the<br>plot which was enough to demonstrate if offering<br>Network Support Service (NSS) with DER was viable.<br>However, the DOE calculator does not consider data<br>linked to Dispatch and/or intended Dispatch of a service<br>(REQ, 33) when calculating and assigning DOEs.<br>Services included, but are not restricted to, Network<br>Support Service and Constraint to Zero.  |                |                        |                          |                       |                                  |                  |                     |                  | Y               |                       |             |                        |        |      |                          |                          |                          |                                |
| 55   | Configurable Items                                 | Desirable       | GREEN • | What has not been implemented is:<br>- triggers for automatic calculation, instead the solution<br>delivered only facilitates time/schedule based automated<br>calculation).<br>- location of input data can be changed for some input<br>data (weather, telemetry, solar irradiance) for testing<br>purposes only.  | Y              |                        |                          |                       |                                  |                  |                     |                  |                 |                       |             |                        |        |      | Y                        |                          |                          |                                |
| 64   | DER Registration Data                              | Mandatory       | GREEN   |  |                |                        |                          | Y                     |                                  |                  |                     |                  |                 |                       |             | Y                      |        |      | Υ                        |                          |                          |                                |
| 65   | Facility Registration<br>Data                      | Mandatory       | GREEN   |  |                |                        |                          |                       | Y                                |                  |                     |                  |                 |                       |             | Y                      |        |      | Y                        |                          |                          |                                |
| 71   | Identification of Grid<br>Connected BESS           | Mandatory       | GREEN • | The DSO Platform has met this requirement by<br>specifying the National Metering Identifier (NMI)<br>associated with the Batter Energy Storage System<br>(BESS), the way it is connected into the DSOs/ Western<br>Power network and the size of the Distributed Energy<br>Resource (DER).<br>In the future, BESS's owned by DSO will go into the<br>Geographic Information System (GIS) and DSO/Western<br>Power (Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) solution.<br>Further development is still in progress regarding what is<br>needed to identify BESS's not owned by DSO/Western<br>Power   |                | Y                      | Y                        |                       |                                  |                  |                     |                  |                 |                       |             |                        |        |      |                          |                          |                          |                                |
| 78   | NSS Validation                                     | Mandatory       | GREEN   |  |                |                        | Y                        |                       | Y                                |                  | Y                   |                  | Y               |                       |             |                        | Y      | Y    |                          |                          |                          | Υ                              |
| 107  | Storage of DOE<br>Calculator Inputs and<br>Outputs | Desirable       | GREEN • |  | Y              |                        |                          |                       |                                  |                  |                     |                  |                 |                       |             |                        |        |      |                          |                          |                          | Y                              |
| 108  | Network Coverage                                   | Desirable       | AMBER • | Some parts of the technical solution delivered are<br>extensible to other parts of the network, for example, the<br>Default DOE Module. In general, there are modules that<br>require additional work to roll out to the next feeder. The<br>known challenges are:<br>- new asset types / configuration in network models,<br>- The pilot is currently only dealing with "switch in and<br>out". Additional feeders mean "swapping of load"<br>between feeders (has been tested to a limited extent)<br>- when considering multiple feeders, the DSO Platform<br>will need to run Evolve calculations in parallel (one per<br>feeder) to ensure throughput times stay the same. This<br>requires more development time.  |                |                        |                          |                       |                                  |                  |                     |                  |                 |                       | Y           |                        |        |      |                          |                          |                          |                                |
| 111  | Increased DER –<br>Volume                          | Desirable       | GREEN • | The current Distributed Energy Resources (DER)<br>register already allows for multiple inverters DER<br>connected to the grid per National Metering Identify<br>(NMI). However, this is mainly used for Photovoltaic (PV)<br>and Battery installations.  |                |                        |                          | Y                     |                                  |                  |                     |                  |                 |                       |             |                        |        |      |                          |                          |                          |                                |
| 112  | Increased DER –<br>Scope                           | Desirable       | AMBER • | In principle, this requirement has been met (any type of<br>Distributed Energy Resource (DER)) could be added to<br>the DSO Platform. There is still further analysis required<br>into whether Electric Vehicle Fast Chargers will modify<br>the Network Model. Also, the current DSO technical rules<br>only allow for certain types of DER to be registered (not<br>any type of controllable load - only generation and<br>storage).<br>There is additional analysis required on how more<br>controllable load will impact load forecasting module (for<br>example, should the DSO Platform include planned<br>dispatch schedules in the load forecast?). This requires<br>further assessment before more types of DER are<br>included. Also, further consideration is needed to test<br>what the impact is to the load forecast if consumers<br>(customers) were to change their controllable load<br>assets. |                |                        |                          | Y                     |                                  |                  |                     |                  |                 |                       |             |                        |        |      |                          |                          |                          |                                |

In partnership with:






Our energy future

## 4. DOE Calculator modules

### 4.1 Description

The DOE Calculator module incorporates capabilities to calculate and allocate DOEs to NMIs, participating in Project Symphony. The DOE Calculator also supports the identification of network constraints that cannot be managed using DOEs, requiring the deployment of NSS. As such, the DOE Calculator is fundamental to the process of identifying NSS requirements and triggering DSO, DMO and Aggregator processes that facilitate the provisioning and dispatch of NSS.

Dynamic Operating Envelope (DOE) calculator module is built around the Evolve Platform. The Evolve Platform was developed by the Australian National University (ANU) Battery Storage and Grid Integration Program<sup>37</sup>. Data is transferred from the DSO Data Processing area to the Evolve Platform via a data ingestor, where it is transformed into a format similar to the IEEE 2030.5 standard. The platform applies the Evolve methodology, which uses available data to forecast network loads, conduct a load flow analysis to identify network constraints, prior to calculating and allocating DOEs based on the results. The outputs are then published back to the DSO Data Processing module (C-1).

DOEs are calculated daily by the DOE Calculator module for the duration of the Pilot, with the DSO Data Processing module used to publish safe 'default' DOEs for each NMI at short notice in cases where the network changes between DOE calculation cycles, such as during outages and/or unplanned network switching.

The architecture for the DOE Calculator module is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: DOE Calculator Diagram

<sup>37</sup> ANU Battery Storage and Grid Integration Program



## 4.2 DSO Requirements mapped to DOE Calculator module

 Table 10: DSO Requirements mapped to DOE Calculator modules

Project Symphony

Our energy future

| DSO Requirements mapped to DOE Calculator modules |  |            |         |  | Plilot DSO DB | Symphony Network Model | Symphony Telemetry data | Symphony Weather data | Facility/Participating NMI<br>register | DOE calcs output | DOE reporting | managing NSS requests | managing NMI Consent | Outage management | Default DOE | DATA TRANSFER PROTOCOL | Python | PL/SQL | lics  | DSO data flow automation | DOE Validator | ANU digester | Zoohon digester | Load Forecaster | Thermal limit calculator | Voltage limit calculator | Enterprise Data Analytics<br>Platform | Network Analysis Tool |
|---|--|------------|---------|--|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| RID   | Requirement Name   | Priority   | Rating  | DSD Platform comments*<br>*If the requirement was not fully met, is new, changed, or the<br>DSD Platform delivered above and beyond the requirements<br>intent.  | 11*           | 111                    | 21.1                    | ELI                   | 21.1                                   | 91.1             | 4.2.1         | 1.3.1                 | 132                  | 133               | 1.3.6       | 141                    | 142    | 143    | 1.4.4 | 14.5                     | 1.5           | I.L.E        | 2.L.E           | 1.2.E           | TEE                      | 2.E.E                    | 4.2.1                                 | 1.1.2                 |
| 34  | Forecast Against<br>Available Data                         | Mandatory  | AMBER 😑 | The forecasting module currently predicts solar<br>outputs adequately however accuracy improvements<br>are required to predict load spikes because of sudden<br>temperature conditions. Load forecasting<br>improvements has been committed to by Evolve. All<br>data from the requirements are covered by the Pilot<br>DSD DB, the gap is with the implementation of the<br>load forecasting component. | Y             |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | Ŷ                        |               |              |                 | Y               |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 35  | Network Load<br>Forecast Length                            | Mandatory  | GREEN ● | The solution is capable to create a load forecast at<br>five-minute intervals for the next three days, in-line<br>with the Dynamic Operating Envelope Calculator<br>forecasts. For all National Metering identifiers in the<br>Network Model.  |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | Y                        |               |              |                 | Ÿ               |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 36  | Load Flow Analysis   | Mandatory  | AMBER   | The Dynamic Operating Envelope (DOE) Calculator<br>undertakes load flow analysis as part of developing<br>DOE results. The DOE Calculator module outputs to<br>DSO include "limiting component" and DOEs.<br>However, the output does not include the load flow<br>analysis results. The DSO platform is yet to validate<br>that the DDE calculator module applies voltage<br>constraints correctly.     |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        | Ÿ      |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 | Y                        | Y                        |                                       | Y                     |
| 37  | DOE Allocation<br>Granularity                              | Mandatory  | GREEN ● | The DSO Platform had a requirement that only<br>changes if the value is over .5kW between intervals<br>that were published.<br>The DSO Platform also produces Operating Envelopes<br>for national metering identifiers that have been<br>recruited outside of pilot area.  |               |                        |                         |                       |  | Y                |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | Y                        |               |              |                 |                 | Y                        | Ÿ                        |                                       |                       |
| 38  | DOE Allocation to<br>Alleviate Constraints                 | Mandatory  | GREEN 🗣 |  |               | ۷                      | Y                       |                       |  | Ŷ                | ۷             |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 39  | DOE Allocation for<br>Participating Service<br>Connections | Mandatory  | GREEN ● |  |               | Y                      |                         |                       | Y                                      | Y                |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | Ÿ                        |               | Y            | Y               | Y               | Y                        | Y                        |                                       | Ÿ                     |
| 40  | Equal Allocation   | Mandatory  | GREEN 🔷 |  |               |                        |                         |                       |  | Ŷ                |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | Y                        |               |              |                 |                 | Y                        | Ŷ                        |                                       |                       |
| 41  | Proportional<br>Allocation                                 | Mandatory  | GREEN 🔷 |  |               | ۷                      |                         |                       |  | Ÿ                |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | ۷                        |               |              |                 |                 | Ŷ                        | Y                        |                                       |                       |
| 42  | Optimal Allocation   | Mandatory  | AMBER 😑 | The DOE calculator module calculates at National<br>Metering identifier {NM} levels regarding voltage<br>limits and then applies "equal allocation" for all NMIs<br>on same distribution transformer (DSTR). Project<br>Symphony's Research Partner Platform Distribution<br>Constraint Optimisation Algorithm (DCOA) work has<br>been de-scoped until further notice.                                   |               |                        |                         |                       |  | Ÿ                |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        | ٣      |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 | Y                        | Y                        |                                       |                       |
| 43  | Firm Capacity  | Manciatory | GREEN 🔷 |  | Ÿ             |                        |                         |                       |  | Ŷ                |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | ۷                        |               |              |                 |                 | Ŷ                        | Ŷ                        |                                       |                       |
| 45  | Transformer Level<br>DOE Allocation                        | Desirable  | GREEN 🗢 |  | ۷             |                        |                         |                       |  | ۷                |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | ۷                        |               |              |                 |                 | ۷                        | Ŷ                        |                                       |                       |
| 46  | Default DOEs   | Mandatory  | GREEN . | Default DDEs are stored in the Pilot DSO DB.   | Y             |                        |                         |                       |  | Y                |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | Y                        |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 48  | Load Flow Analysis -<br>Output                             | Mandatory  | AMBER 😑 | A separate network analysis tool was meant to be<br>used only for validation. The load flow results analysis<br>has been conducted using measured data from AMI<br>instead of forecasting and early indications show<br>minor differences between actuals, though the results<br>are encouraging.  |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        | Y      |        |       | Y                        |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       | Y                     |
| 51  | DOE Allocations -<br>Output                                | Mandatory  | GREEN   |  |               |                        |                         |                       |  | ۷                |               |                       |                      |                   |             | ۷                      |        |        |       | ۷                        |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 52  | Default DDEs -<br>Output                                   | Mandatory  | GREEN 🔷 | Requirement specific to "lack of sufficient<br>component" is not implemented. Instead, the solution<br>will always calculate a DDE (even if no recent<br>telemetry data is coming in - which is monitored<br>separately). Missing data is interpolated/estimated. To<br>what extend this is impacting the quality of the DDE is<br>still being analysed.   |               |                        |                         |                       | Ÿ                                      | Ÿ                |               |                       | Ÿ                    | Ÿ                 |             | Ÿ                      |        |        |       | Y                        | Ŷ             |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 53  | Manual DOE<br>Calculation                                  | Mandatory  | GREEN   |  |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | Ŷ                        |               | Ŷ            | ¥               | ۷               | Ŷ                        | Ŷ                        |                                       |                       |
| 54  | Automated DOE<br>Calculation                               | Mandatory  | GREEN ● | The DSO Platform implemented the scheduled based<br>Dynamic Operating Envelope calculation as that is<br>what the requirements for publishing to the<br>Aggregator state. However, there is an option in non-<br>Pilot environments to calculate DOEs more often (by<br>manually trigging a re-calculation) if required for<br>testing (when other data is available).                                   |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | Y                        |               | Y            | Y               | Y               | Ŷ                        | Y                        |                                       |                       |

In partnership with:



In partnership with: western power synergy AEMO



Our energy future

| DSO Req | uirements ma   | pped to DOE     | Calculato | or modules  | Pillot DSO DB | Symphony Network Model | Symphony Telemetry data | Symphony Weather data | Facility/Participating MMI<br>register | DOE calcs output | DOE reporting | managing NSS requests | managing NMI Consent | Outage management | Default DOE | DATA TRANSFER PROTOCOL | Python | PL/SQL | lics  | DSO data flow automation | DOE Validator | ANU digester | Zoohen digester | Load Forecaster | Thermal limit calculator | Voltage limit calculator | Enterprise Data Analytics<br>Platform | Network Analysis Tool |
|---------|--|-----------------|-----------|---|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| RID     | Requirement Name   | Priority        | Rating    | DSD Platform comments*<br>*If the requirement was not fully met, is new, changed, or the<br>DSD Platform delivered above and beyond the requirements<br>intent.   | .11           | 111                    | 21.12                   | 51.1                  | 1.1.5                                  | 1.16             | 4.2.1         | 131                   | 1.3.2                | E.E.1             | 1.3.6       | 1.4.1                  | 14.2   | 14.3   | 1.4.4 | 1.4.5                    | 1.5           | 11.5         | 212             | 121             | 1.5.5                    | 3.3.2                    | 4.2.1                                 | 1.1.2                 |
| 56      | Default DDEs   | Desirable       | GREEN •   | This requirement has been refined, as below:<br>"The DSO Platform shall allow for different default<br>DOEs operating envelopes (DE's) to be defined,<br>configurable to change the limiting values, calculated<br>and allocated as followed:<br>-Custom OE with a shaped profile, fixed based on the<br>Embedded Generation Connection Agreement for a<br>Grid Connected BESS (REQ. 71) "; and,<br>-NMI's outside of SNR540 (excluding BESS) will have a<br>Standard OE for an export limit of SkW.<br>-In the event of a component and/or system failure,<br>issue a Default DOE with a shaped profile based on the<br>Service Connection limit, Time of year, and -1.5kW<br>export limit." | Y             |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   | Y           |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 56A     | Default DDE Changes  | Desirable (New) | GREEN 🗣   | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 56<br>with consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well<br>as the OSD.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"The DSD Platform shall allow for the recording of any<br>changes to the operating envelopes values over time."   | Y             |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   | Y           |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 568     | Default DOE<br>Application                                 | Desirable (New) | GREEN •   | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 56<br>with consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well<br>as the OSO.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"The DSO Platform shall record all instances where the<br>operating envelopes were used (in place of calculated<br>DOEs)."  | Ÿ             |                        |                         |                       |  | Y                |               |                       |                      |                   | ¥           |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 57      | DOE Calculation in<br>Response to Incidents<br>and Outages | Desirable       | AMBER 😑   | The DSO platform considered a different approach:<br>rather than recalculating DOEs, the DSO platform<br>detects any significant changes in the pilot area and<br>then notifies the DSO Platform users to identify what<br>the best response is. If required, the user can publish a<br>short notice/ default operating envelope DOE for the<br>required National Metering Identifier's.  |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      | Ŷ                 | Y           |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 58      | Failsafe Override  | Mandatory       | GREEN 🔷   |   | Ŷ             |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      | ۷                 |             | ۷                      |        |        |       | ۷                        |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 59      | DOE Calculator<br>Notification<br>Configuration            | Desirable       | AMBER 😑   | The output of Evolves load flow analysis incorporates<br>ratings of some components, which necessitates<br>additional analysis and improvement to meet the<br>criteria.   |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 60      | DOE Calculator<br>Notification Channel                     | Desirable       | GREEN 🔷   |   |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 61      | DOE Calculator<br>Notification<br>Preferences              | Desirable       | GREEN 🕈   |   |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 62      | DOE Calculator<br>Notification History                     | Desirable       | GREEN 🗢   |   |               |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 63      | DOE Publication  | Mandatory       | GREEN 🗢   |   |               |                        |                         |                       |  | ۷                |               |                       |                      |                   | ۷           | ۷                      |        | Ŷ      |       | ۷                        |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |
| 79      | DOE Calculator<br>Performance<br>Verification              | Mandatory       | GREEN 单   |   |               |                        | ۷                       |                       |  | Y                | ۷             |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        | ۷      | Ŷ     |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          | ۷                                     |                       |
| 80      | Network Analysis   | Desirable       | AMBER 🛑   | It was intended to use an alternate load flow solution<br>for validation of results, however, challenges resulting<br>from complexities with implementing the solution<br>meant that this method of validation was not<br>completed. The DSO platform instead manipulates<br>input data in our DSO platform and rerun's the DDE<br>Calculator module.   |               | Ÿ                      | Y                       | Ÿ                     |  | Ÿ                | Ÿ             |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       | Ÿ                        |               |              |                 | Y               | Y                        | Ÿ                        |                                       | Y                     |
| 105     | Simulated Data   | Mandatory       | GREEN 🗣   | Some conditions can only be simulated in non-Pilot<br>environments (for example, electricity network<br>outages, weather, solar irradiance).<br>Changes to the network model (for example,<br>Distribution Transformer ratings) can be done in the<br>Pilot live environment.   | Y             |                        |                         |                       |  |                  |               |                       |                      |                   |             |                        |        |        |       |                          |               |              |                 |                 |                          |                          |                                       |                       |

## **5. Analysis and Reporting modules**

### **5.1 Analysis and Reporting**

### **5.2 Description**

The Analysis and Reporting module comprises of two main areas:

- 1. **Reporting and Service Verification:** Provide timely reports to support operational decision making, such as such as verifying the accuracy of DOE Calculator forecasts against actuals, validating compliance with DOEs and validating NSS delivery for settlement; and,
- 2. **Ad-hoc Analysis (Post-operations):** Provides analytical capabilities to validate hypotheses as part of Project Symphony's Test and Learn strategy, as well as analysis in support of longer-term planning.

The architecture for the Analysis and Reporting module is shown in Figure 5.







Figure 5: Analysis and Reporting Diagram

## 5.3 DSO Requirements mapped to Analysis and Reporting modules

Table 11: 3.5.4 Requirements mapped to Analysis and Reporting

| DS  | O Requiremer                           | nts mappe | d to Ana | lysis and Reporting modules   | Piliot DSO DB | Symphony Network Model | Symphony Telemetry data | DOE caks output | DOE reporting | NSS reporting | monitoring logs | 1bs/hd | lics | DSO data flow automation | DOE Validator | Load Forecaster | Thermal limit calculator | Voltage limit calculator | Enterprise Data Analytics Platform |
|-----|--|-----------|----------|---|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--------|------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| D   | Requirement Name                       | Priority  | Rating   | DSD Platform comments*<br>*If the requirement was not fully met, is new, changed, ar the DSO Platform delivered above and<br>beyond the requirements intent.  | 111           | TTT                    | 711                     | 911             | 124           | 1.64          | 5.6.1           | 143    | 144  | 14.5                     | ٤I            | 1.2.E           | 1.E.E                    | 2.E.E                    | 1.2.4                              |
| 49  | Identified Constraints<br>- Output     | Mandatory | AMBER 😑  | There is a constraint report produced by the DOE Calculator module vendor,<br>however, it is not in readable output. This part of the module is yet to be completed.  |               |                        |                         | Y               |               |               |                 |        |      | Y                        |               |                 | Ŷ                        | Y                        |                                    |
| 50  | Identified NSS<br>Constraints - Output | Mandatory | GREEN 🗢  | Instead of using the constraint report, The DSO platform uses the load forecast (in kW) and compares that to the kW ratings of the electrical network components.   |               | Y                      |                         | Y               |               |               |                 |        |      | Y                        |               | Y               |                          |                          |                                    |
| 77  | DOE Compliance                         | Mandatory | GREEN 🗢  |   |               |                        | Ÿ                       | Y               | Y             |               |                 | Ÿ      | Y    |                          |               |                 |                          |                          | Y                                  |
| 81  | Report Creation                        | Mandatory | GREEN 单  | There has been a recent requirement to store all dispatch instructions from the DMO<br>sent to the Aggregator for Test and learn purposes. The DSD Platform currently only<br>store Network Support Services and Constraint To Zero information (not Balancing<br>Market Offer or Essential System Services).   | Y             |                        |                         |                 |               |               |                 |        | Ÿ    |                          |               |                 |                          |                          | Y                                  |
| 82  | Report Management                      | Mandatory | GREEN ●  | The reports are written using the WESTERN POWER Business analytics platform<br>environments underpinned by Data base / Cloud computing-based data cloud SQL.<br>The DSO platform acknowledges that there are a few challenges with this<br>environment:<br>slow process to change control<br>does not allow for input parameters (only to apply filters)<br>tagging/searching<br>not ideal for data analysis  |               |                        |                         |                 | Y             | Y             |                 | Y      |      |                          |               |                 |                          |                          | Y                                  |
| 83  | Schedule Report<br>Publication         | Mandatory | GREEN 🗣  | The DSO platform acknowledges that there are a few challenges with this<br>environment<br>using the business analytics platform environment.<br>only time-based refreshes<br>reports are typically for a predefined period (time horizon) which can<br>be shortened/zoomed in on by applying filters, but not broadened<br>The DSO platform is not sending emails, but there have been no<br>requirements for this.<br>Business analytics platform reports can be exported to PDF and these<br>can be sent to partners if required. |               |                        |                         |                 | Y             | Y             |                 |        |      |                          |               |                 |                          |                          |                                    |
| 84  | Data Visualization                     | Mandatory | GREEN 🕈  | The DSO platform have had issues with the business analytics platform displaying<br>scatter plots (we found work arounds by using unique row numbers etc). However, in<br>general the business analytics platform can display the required visualisations, but<br>the experience is that it is not intuitive, and it has limitations.   |               |                        |                         |                 | Y             | Y             |                 |        |      |                          |               |                 |                          |                          |                                    |
| 85  | Standard Derivations<br>and Measures   | Mandatory | GREEN 🗣  | There are options in both the Business analytics platform and The DSD platform<br>Symphony Data Base Views to standardise certain measurements, and calculations,<br>for example, with the purpose of reuse.  |               |                        |                         |                 | Ÿ             | Y             |                 | Y      |      |                          |               |                 |                          |                          |                                    |
| 86  | Data Quality Reports                   | Desirable | GREEN 🕈  | The DSO platform has the following quality reports:<br>DOE quality<br>Load forecast quality<br>DSO operational / monitoring report, including data quality measures.  |               |                        |                         |                 | Y             |               | Y               |        |      |                          | Y             |                 |                          |                          |                                    |
| 87  | Ad-hoc Data Analysis                   | Mandatory | GREEN 🕈  |   |               |                        |                         |                 |               |               |                 | Y      | Y    |                          |               |                 |                          |                          | Y                                  |
| 88  | Ad-hoc Data<br>Visualisation           | Desirable | GREEN 兽  |   |               |                        |                         |                 | Y             | Y             |                 |        |      |                          |               |                 |                          |                          | Y                                  |
| 89  | Additional Data                        | Desirable | GREEN 🕈  | Manual uploads of Spreadsheets can be done either through the DSO Symphony Data<br>base, the Enterprise Data Analytics Platform or directly into the Business analytics<br>platform.  | Y             |                        |                         |                 | Y             | Y             |                 |        |      |                          |               |                 |                          |                          | Y                                  |
| 90  | Logical Separation of<br>Activities    | Desirable | GREEN 🗢  |   | Y             |                        |                         |                 | Y             | Y             |                 | Y      |      |                          |               |                 |                          |                          | Y                                  |
| 117 | Data Retention                         | Mandatory | GREEN 🗣  | All data and changes are archived in the Enterprise Data Analytics Platform.  |               |                        |                         |                 |               |               |                 |        | Y    |                          |               |                 |                          |                          | Ÿ                                  |

In partnership with:





Our energy future

## 6. Data Exchange Service

#### **6.1 Description**

The Data Exchange Service module provides the exchange of information between partner platforms required to execute the 'must-have' project scenarios. This includes:

- Exchange of data to support the identification and registration of participating NMIs,
- Information on VPP facility registrations,
- Publication of DOEs; and,
- Requests for the deployment of NSS.

Data is exchanged with partners through three main integration mechanisms:

- 1. Data exchange layer: It is an open-source solution used to pass data between partner platform using an agreed data model. Data Exchanged through this mechanism includes published facility registrations, Dynamic Operating Envelope files, and Network Support Services requests. The solution is provided via the DMO Platform Vendor.
- 2. Data Transfer via Shared Storage: A shared storage area is used to exchange data between partner organisations.
- 3. **Email:** Email integrations are used largely for Test and Learn data, not necessary for the operational end-to-end execution of the 'must-have' scenarios.

The architecture for the Data Exchange Service module is shown in Figure 6.

| Data Exchange Service                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Publication   | Inputs   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DOE<br>Albcations<br>DOE Actuals                            | Facility<br>Composition<br>Service<br>Dispatch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DOE Actuals<br>Information<br>N SS<br>Deployment<br>Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 6: Data Exchange Service

#### 6.2 DSO Requirements mapped to Data Exchange module

| DS  | 60 Requiremen                   | ts mapp   | oed to Da         | ta Exchange modules   | Pilot DSO DB | Symphony Telemetry data | Facility/Participating NMI register | Services data model | Managing outages | configuration changes | Voltage limit calculator |
|-----|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|---|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| RID | Requirement Name                | Priority  | Total<br>coverage | DSD Platform comments*<br>*If the requirement was not fully met, is new, changed, or the DSO Platform delivered above and beyond the requirements intent.   | 1.1.*        | 1.1.2                   | 1.1.5                               | 1.1.7               | 1.1.8            | 1.3.4                 | 3.3.2                    |
| 66  | Receive Additional<br>Files     | Desirable | AMBER 😑           | All necessary information on NSS dispatch, ESS, system integration testing and DER telemetry is collected. The only task left is to upload the DER telemetry data into<br>the Enterprise Data Analytical Platform module.   |              |                         | Y                                   |                     |                  |                       | Y                        |
| 67  | Publish Additional<br>Files     | Desirable | GREEN 🔵           |   |              |                         |                                     | Y                   | Ŷ                |                       | Y                        |
| 68  | DSO Platform<br>Messages        | Desirable | GREEN 🔵           | The Data Transfer Protocol module covers this to a certain extent. However, the design of the integration could be improved for proper acknowledgement of requests.   |              |                         |                                     |                     |                  | Y                     |                          |
| 69  | DMO Platform<br>Messages        | Mandatory | GREEN 🔵           | All the events received via email from the DMO platform, which is part of the Dispatch instructions, include Balancing Market Offer + Network Support Services + Constraint to Zero + Essential Support Services.   |              |                         | γ                                   |                     |                  | Y                     |                          |
| 70  | Aggregator Platform<br>Messages | Mandatory | GREEN 🔵           |   | Ŷ            | Ŷ                       | γ                                   |                     |                  | Y                     |                          |
| 120 | Open Standards                  | Desirable | RED ●             | The integration was done using a custom data modelling approach, instead of adhering to open standards to support compatibility with partners. Even though the module was designed to use the IEEE 2030.5 standard to standardise Dynamic Operating Envelope messages, the DMO, Aggregator, and DSO platforms decided to stay close to the standard instead of strictly following it due to the size of the application programming interface output, which would have caused performance and storage issues. Additionally, IEEE 2030.5 is not a required or regulated standard. There are other integrations that couldn't be covered with any standard, for example, issuing Network Support Service. |              |                         |                                     |                     |                  |                       |                          |

### 6.3 Battery Service Module

### 6.3.1 Battery Service

#### 6.3.2 Description

The Battery Service module is comprised of three main Modules:





Our energy future

- 1. Battery Service Control: Controls the battery and provides detailed data on BESS functions.
- 2. Gateway: Supports Aggregator control of the BESS, allowing the Aggregator to monitor and use the battery as part of a VPP, dispatching the BESS the same as other facility DER, including ensuring compliance with the DOE.
- 3. SCADA Remote Terminal Unit (RTU): Integrates the BESS with DSOs SCADA Distribution Management System and real-time data historian, allowing Network Operations to take control of the BESS in case of emergency.



Figure 7: Battery Service

## 6.3.3 DSO Requirements mapped to Battery Service modules

Table 13: Requirements mapped to Battery Service

| DSC | ) Requirements i                  | mapped to | Battery | Service modules   | Symphony BESS | Battery Service<br>Control | SCADA RTU | High Speed Data<br>Recorder (DSO) |
|-----|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---|---------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| RID | Requirement Name                  | Priority  | Rating  | DSO Platform comments* "If the requirement was not fully met, is new, changed, or the DSO Platform delivered above and beyond the requirements intent.  | 6.1.1         | 6.1.2                      | 6.2.1     | 6.3.1                             |
| 72  | Aggregator Control                | Mandatory | GREEN • | Aggregator has installed their own Distribution Transformer Monitor (DSTR Monitor 1) recorder installed at the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)site for visibility/control  | Y             | Y                          |           |                                   |
| 73  | Aggregator Visibility             | Mandatory | GREEN • | Aggregator has installed their own Distribution Transformer Monitor (DSTR Monitor 1) recorder installed at the site for visibility/control. In<br>addition, Aggregators Energy Management System recorder installed at the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) site for visibility and<br>control.   | Ŷ             | Y                          |           |                                   |
| 73A | DMO HSDR Visibility               | Mandatory | GREEN • | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 73 with consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well as the DSO.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"The DSO must log high-resolution time synchronised system disturbances and/or events and make the electronic information available to the<br>DMO"  |               |                            |           | Y                                 |
| 73B | DMO BESS Visibility               | Mandatory | GREEN • | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 73 with consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well as the DSO.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"The DSO must make the Symphony BESS recorded measuring information available to the DMO within 3 business days, or a timeframe<br>otherwise agreed by the DMO, in the event of a system or network event, and/or, as requested by the DMO."            |               |                            |           | Y                                 |
| 73C | HSDR data sharing<br>transactions | Desirable | GREEN • | This requirement is a refinement of requirement 73 with consensus between the DMO, Aggregator, as well as the DSO.<br>New requirement Description:<br>"The solution should have a database /report on the successful/unsuccessful HSDR data sharing solution transaction"   |               |                            |           | Y                                 |
| 74  | DSO Control                       | Mandatory | GREEN • |   | Y             | Y                          | Y         |                                   |
| 75  | Prioritisation of Control         | Mandatory | GREEN • | This requirement is not implemented by DSO and/or the DSO Platform. This has been delegated to the Aggregator, for example, the<br>Aggregator's energy management system module has new firmware that will manage prioritisation between the Aggregator and DSO (DSO<br>has higher priority). Actual prioritisation is implemented by the Aggregator and those two components allow for the priority control. | Y             | Y                          |           |                                   |
| 78  | End-of-Lease Disconnection        | Desirable | GREEN . |   | Y             | Y                          | Y         |                                   |

In partnership with:



western synergy AEMO



Our energy future

## 7. DSO Non-Functional Requirements mapped to modules

Table 14: Non-Functional Requirements mapped to Modules

| DSC | ) Non-functio                                       | nal Require | ments ma | apped to modules  | DSO Data Processing | Network and Environment | DOE Calculator | Analysis and Reporting | Project Management Tool<br>(DSO) |
|-----|---|-------------|----------|---|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| RID | Requirement Name                                    | Priority    | Rating   | DSO Platform comments* * # the requirement was not fully met, is new, changed, or the DSO Platform delivered above and beyond the requirements intent.  | -                   | 3                       | e              | 4                      | 2                                |
| 91  | Useability  | Desirable   | AMBER •  | The Web User interface developed has a consistent user experience, however, the module has limited validation and needs to be operated by trained subject matter expert. See also R93.<br>SQL Views could be improved to further standardise the ad-hoc analysis and data acquisition for reporting.<br>The created Business Analytics Platform reports needs extra effort to make them consistent and enhance the user experience.   | Y                   |                         |                | Y                      |                                  |
| 92  | Branding  | Desirable   | GREEN •  |   | Y                   |                         |                |                        |                                  |
| 93  | Consistent Error<br>Messages                        | Desirable   | AMBER -  | See also R 91<br>Very limited number of users. The few subject matter experts that are using the DSO Platform frontend web page user interface<br>adequately understand the system. However, this needs more work.<br>Also, consistency / wording / unit of measure in the business analytics platform reporting needs to be reviewed.  | Y                   |                         |                | Y                      |                                  |
| 94  | WWW Access  | Desirable   | GREEN •  |   | Y                   |                         |                | Y                      |                                  |
| 95  | Single Portal                                       | Desirable   | AMBER -  | Reporting is available through Business analytics platform dashboard; Web User Interface is available through a separate portal.  | Y                   |                         |                | Y                      |                                  |
| 96  | Single Sign-on                                      | Desirable   | AMBER •  | Most used modules are covered:<br>- DSO Web User Interface<br>- Cloud computing—based data cloud archive<br>- Business analytics platform reporting<br>Not covered:<br>- Distribution monitoring device access<br>- Network Analysis Tool environment<br>- Evolve environment   | Y                   | Y                       | Y              | Y                      | Y                                |
| 97  | Role Based Access<br>Control                        | Mandatory   | GREEN •  |   | Y                   |                         | Y              | Y                      | Y                                |
| 98  | User Management                                     | Mandatory   | GREEN •  | See also R97 The intent of this requirement is delivered via Active directory and the Data base/Cloud computing-based data cloud roles.   | Y                   |                         | Y              | Y                      | Y                                |
| 99  | Compliance with<br>Australian Privacy<br>Principles | Mandatory   | GREEN •  | No personal / confidential data is kept within The DSO platform's Symphony Data Base.   | Y                   |                         | Y              | Y                      |                                  |
| 100 | Cloud Risk Assessment                               | Mandatory   | GREEN •  |   |                     | Y                       | Y              |                        |                                  |
| 101 | Virus and Malware<br>Detection                      | Mandatory   | GREEN •  | Cloud software is assessed against these kinds of attack and on-premises Virtual Machines that are constantly checked for<br>malware/virus attacks as part of DSOs infrastructure services.   | Y                   | Y                       | Y              | Y                      | Y                                |
| 102 | SSDLC   | Mandatory   | GREEN •  |   | Y                   | Y                       | Y              | Y                      | Y                                |
| 103 | Environment<br>Provisioning                         | Mandatory   | GREEN •  | The DSO Platform has delivered the following environments:          Dev:         - Unit Tests         Internal Test         - System (Integration) test         - internal User Acceptance Testing         - performance / load testing         X-Platform Test         - cross partner testing         - end-to-end testing         - plot live environment:         - T&L hypotheses testing         Plot live environment:         - Joint partner test and defect management tool.         - DSO Platform Software Development Tool (DSO)         - bitbucket (version control)         - platform management (business analytics platform version control)         - direct SQL validation of data.         Improvement:         - change control of DB changes         - automated test execution | Y                   |                         |                |                        | Ŷ                                |

In partnership with:



In partnership with: western synergy AEMO



Our energy future

| DSC | ) Non-functio                                | nal Require | ments ma | apped to modules   | DSO Data Processing | Network and Environment | DOE Calculator | Analysis and Reporting | Project Management Tool<br>(DSO) |
|-----|--|-------------|----------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| RID | Requirement Name                             | Priority    | Rating   | DSO Platform comments*<br>*If the requirement was not fully met, is new, changed, or the DSO Platform delivered above and beyond the requirements intent.  | ÷                   | 61                      | m              | 4                      | 7                                |
| 104 | Test Environment<br>Equivalence              | Mandatory   | GREEN •  | Test and the Pilot live environment will always be different.<br>However, if required, the DSO Platform can make the Test environment look the same as the Pilot environment, both in terms of<br>data input, as well as version of software to ensure problems can be replicated.   | Y                   |                         |                |                        | Y                                |
| 106 | Software Version<br>Control                  | Desirable   | AMBER -  | The functionality of promoting new versions of modules is covered under the change and release management process. This is<br>not at the module level, however. DSO's system used to manage version control is not able to use typical CI/CD practices due to<br>DSO's restrictions on migrating Data Base changes. The DSO Platform does have a backup in bitbucket however and is relying<br>on DSO's standard practices.  | Y                   | Y                       | Y              | Y                      | Y                                |
| 110 | Multiple Aggregators                         | Desirable   | AMBER •  |  |                     |                         |                |                        |                                  |
| 113 | Software Licensing                           | Desirable   | GREEN •  | Total number of end-users of the DSO platform is low (Web User Interface / DOE Calculator Module User Interface)<br>Cloud computing-based data cloud archive is higher during Test & Learn but will be less after that and cost is mainly related to<br>compute time (query complexity) which is <u>monitored</u> and training is provided where necessary.<br>Data volumes on Data base Exadata (on prem) is expensive and kept at bay by archiving to Cloud computing-based data cloud<br>for low cost storage.  | Y                   | Y                       | Y              | Y                      | Y                                |
| 114 | Modular Design                               | Desirable   | AMBER =  | The DSO platform has not been completely designed modularly for reuse in mind. Instead, the focus has been on obtaining the learning outcomes and "design a solution" on the way in conjunction with the partners. When certain parts prove to be correct some redesign is required to make them more robust, scalable and extensible, as well as easier to interact with. Some parts are modular, for example, the DOE calculation module could be seen as reusable but does heavily rely on the data as presented by DSO - not having this data means that the DOE calculator Module solution is difficult to reuse.   |                     |                         |                |                        |                                  |
| 115 | Audit Trail                                  | Desirable   | GREEN •  |  | Y                   |                         | Y              |                        |                                  |
| 116 | Audit Retrieval                              | Desirable   | GREEN •  | All updates on non-telemetry data can be queried in the archive. Changes are tracked daily.<br>Changes to Network Support Services / Facilities are tracked in the operational Project Symphony Data Base  | Y                   |                         | Y              | Y                      |                                  |
| 118 | Data Provenance                              | Mandatory   | GREEN ●  | In the operational Project Symphony Data Base (DB):<br>Network Model data is refreshed daily, but the time is not stored in the DB against the model. However, it is easily traceable from<br>logs when the last successful run was.<br>Other WESTERN POWER data is refreenced (Performance Quality, supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA))<br>Distribution Transformer (DSTR) telemetry is read:<br>- DSTR monitor 2 every 80min (1min samples collected)<br>- DSTR Monitor 1 near Realtime (10sec samples)<br>- DSTR Monitor 3 every 10min (1min samples)<br>Configuration tables and ratings that are managed manually are not tracked for changes except for daily changes that are<br>recorded in the archive. | Y                   |                         | Y              | Y                      |                                  |
| 119 | Response Times                               | Desirable   | GREEN •  | This is mainly related to Web user interface requests.   |                     |                         | Y              |                        |                                  |
| 121 | Support and<br>Maintenance                   | Desirable   | GREEN •  | 100% covered by our Project's Service Level Agreements   |                     |                         |                |                        | Y                                |
| 122 | Service Request<br>Process                   | Desirable   | GREEN •  | 100% covered by our Project's Service Level Agreements   |                     |                         |                |                        | Y                                |
| 123 | Problem and Incident<br>Management Process   | Desirable   | GREEN •  | 100% covered by our Project's Service Level Agreements   |                     |                         |                |                        | Y                                |
| 124 | Change Request<br>Process                    | Desirable   | GREEN •  | Intent of the requirements delivered via the change and release management process defined by the project, in conjunction with partners.   |                     |                         |                |                        | Y                                |
| 125 | Release Management<br>Process                | Desirable   | GREEN •  | Intent of the requirements delivered via the change and release management process defined by the project, in conjunction with partners.   |                     |                         |                |                        | Y                                |
| 126 | Availability                                 | Desirable   | GREEN •  | 100% covered by our Project's Service Level Agreements   | Y                   |                         | Y              | Y                      | Y                                |
| 127 | Downtime                                     | Desirable   | GREEN •  | Intent of the requirements delivered via the change and release management process defined by the project, in conjunction with<br>partners.  |                     |                         |                |                        | Y                                |
| 129 | Business Continuity<br>and Disaster Recovery | Desirable   | GREEN •  | The whole of Symphony is classified as a tier 4 (Pilot/non-business critical).<br>Recovery Time Objective: up to 1 week (Tier 4 application) - This includes Service Level Agreements offered by the DSO<br>Calculator module vendor.<br>Recovery Point Objective: data is continuously replicated (near Realtime) to offsite location so there is minimal data loss.  | Ŷ                   | Y                       | Y              | Y                      | Y                                |

In partnership with:



In partnership with: western power synergy AEMO





## **Appendix D: DMO Platform Requirements Mapped to Components**

Section for DMO Platform. The Appendix will include a detailed mapping of all requirements contained in WP4.3 to the component that delivers the requirement.

#### **10.1 Register Participant**

Capability for the:

- DMO administrator to coordinate with the applicant to setup the technical and financial requirements in a multi-step process to complete the registration as a market participant. A registered user will be assigned a role, username and logon password to access the user interface.
- DMO Market Platform to receive and store NMI and aggregated DER facility level registration information from the Aggregator Platform • to monitor the capability of the aggregated DER facility that can offer/bid and provide energy, ancillary and network services.

| ID   | Short Description   | Capability Mapping | Integration Mapping | Component Mapping  |
|------|---|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| REG1 | Receive registration data from the Aggregator for registered services | F01, F02           | IS0102              | C01, C02, C03, C04 |
| REG2 | Descoped from the solution  |                    |                     | Descoped           |
| REG3 | Store the registration data from the Aggregator                       | F03                |                     | C06                |
| REG4 | Record that the service requirements can be met by the aggregator     | F03                |                     | C06, C08           |

Table 10. Register Participant

### **10.2 Process Facility and Constraint Data**

Capability for the AEMO Market Platform to:

- receive, process and store data required to operate the market. ٠
- construct and send data required to operate the market.
- receive, process and store data required to assess the performance of the DER market. .

| ID    | Short Description   | Capability Mapping   | Integration<br>Mapping | Component<br>Mapping |
|-------|---|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| PFC1  | Receive facility constraint information from the DSO            | F06  | IS0105                 | C01, C02, C03, C04   |
| PFC2  | Receive aggregated facility capacity from the Aggregator        | F11  | IS0118                 | C01, C02, C03, C04   |
| PFC3  | Receive DER facility status from the Aggregator                 | F09  | IS0108                 | C01, C02, C03, C04   |
| PFC4  | Receive AGC interface points from the Aggregator                | Not Delivered  |                        | C01, C02, C03, C04   |
| PFC5  | Receive Active DER Forecast from the Aggregator                 | F11  | IS0118                 | C01, C02, C03, C04   |
| PFC6  | Receive Telemetry Data (facility) - 4s resolution and frequency | F09  | IS0108                 | C01, C02, C03, C04   |
|       |   | Partially delivered, 1 min resolution<br>and 5 min frequency | 1                      |                      |
| PFC8  | Store facility constraint information from the DSO              | F06  |                        | C06                  |
| PFC9  | Store aggregated facility capacity from the Aggregator          | F11  |                        | C06                  |
| PFC10 | Store DER facility status from the Aggregator                   | F09  |                        | C06                  |
| PFC11 | Store AGC interface points from the Aggregator                  | Not Delivered  |                        | C06                  |
| PFC12 | Store Active DER Forecast from the Aggregator                   | F11  |                        | C06                  |
| PFC13 | Store Telemetry Data (facility) - 4s resolution and frequency   | F09  |                        | C06                  |
| PFC17 | Receive NSS Contract Information                                | F04  | IS0115                 | C01, C02, C03, C04   |
| PFC18 | Store NSS Contract Information                                  | F04  |                        | C06                  |
| ·     |   |  |                        |                      |

Table 11. Process facility and Constraint Data

#### 11.1 Manage Bids/Offers

In partnership with:







Our energy future

Capability for AEMO's Market Platform to:

- Receive bids and offers from the Aggregator to provide energy services to the DER market. ٠
- Receive bids and offers from the Aggregator to provide ancillary services to the DER market. ٠

| ID    | Short Description   | Capability Mapping | Integration Mapping | Component Mapping               |
|-------|---|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
|       |   | F07                | IS0106              | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08 |
| MBO1  |   | F08                | IS0112              | 040                             |
|       | Must receive and process offers for BMO   | F12                |                     | C12                             |
| MBO3  | Must receive and process offers for Frequency Regulation Up/Down  | Not Delivered      | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered                   |
| MBO6  | Must define and communicate Contingency Reserve Raise   | F07                | IS0112              | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08 |
|       | requirements to the market  |                    |                     | C12                             |
| MBO7  | Must receive and process offers for Contingency Reserve Raise   | F12                | IS0106              | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08 |
| MBO11 | Must receive and process deployment signal from the DSO platform for NSS  | F05                | IS0117              | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08 |
| MBO13 | Must receive and process offers for a bi-directional bid  | F07                | IS0106              | C01, C02, C03, C04,             |
|       |   | F08                | IS0112              | C06, C08                        |
|       |   | F12                |                     | C12                             |
| MBO15 | Must receive and process a dispatch acknowledgement from the aggregator for any DI or control signal sent by AEMO | F05                | IS0117              | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08 |
| MBO16 | Must send a NSS DI acknowledgement to the DSO   | Not Delivered      |                     | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08 |

Table 12. Manage Bids/Offers

### **11.2 Manage Dispatch Instructions/Control Signals**

Capability for the AEMO Market Platform to:

- Construct and send instructions to the Aggregator to provide energy services during specified time intervals. ٠
- Construct and send instructions to the Aggregator to enable provision of Ancillary Services during specified time intervals and in ٠ response to observed network events.

| ID      | Short Description  | Capability Mapping | Integration Mapping | Component Mapping                      |
|---------|--|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| MDICS1  | Send and manage a series of dispatch instructions to the aggregator for BMO                                  | F08<br>rF18        |                     | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08<br>C12 |
| MDICS2  | Send and manage a series of dispatch instructions to the aggregator for BMO (marginal unit)                  | F13<br>rF14        |                     | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08<br>C12 |
| MDICS3  | Send and manage a series of control signals to the aggregator for ESS Regulation.                            | rNot Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered                          |
| MDICS4  | Send and manage a series of dispatch signals to the aggregator for ESS Frequency Regulation Raise and Lower. | rNot Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered                          |
| MDICS7  | Send a control signal to the aggregator for Contingency Raise  | F16                |                     | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08        |
| MDICS9  | Send a NSS DI to the aggregator for NSS  | F05                | IS0123              | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08        |
| MDICS10 | Send an instruction of constrain export to 0 MW  | F15                |                     | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08<br>C12 |

In partnership with:



western synergy AEMO

Our energy future

| ID      | Short Description   | Capability Mapping | Integration Mapping | Component Mapping                      |
|---------|---|--------------------|---------------------|--|
| MDICS12 | Send and manage a series of dispatch instructions to the aggregator<br>for a bi-directional offer/bid | F16<br>F17<br>F18  | IS0107<br>IS0117    | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08<br>C12 |

Table 13. Manage Dispatch Instructions / Control Signals

## **11.3 Reporting and Performance Assessment**

Provide capability for:

- The AEMO Market Platform to retrieve and configure the data required to assess the performance of the DER market.
- AEMO to present this information to both internal and external Project stakeholders. ٠

| ID    | Short Description   | Component Mapping | Integration Mapping | Component Mapping                      |
|-------|---|-------------------|---------------------|--|
| REP1  | Send registration data to the DSO   | F03               | IS0104              | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C05, C06        |
| REP2  | Send bid/offer data to the DSO  | F19<br>F20        |                     | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08<br>C12 |
| REP3  | Receive and store operational forecast data from the aggregator to allow AEMO to monitor the energy balance in the grid                                   | F19<br>F20        |                     | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08        |
| REP4  | Receive and store DER high-speed recorder data from a Pilot scenario.   | F19<br>F20        |                     | C13                                    |
| REP5  | Receive and store distribution network constraints during test period from the DSO  | F19<br>F20        |                     | C01, C02, C03, C04,<br>C06, C08        |
| REP6  | Receive and store network outage information during test period from the DSO  | Not Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered                          |
| REP7  | Receive and store Real-time Load Levels during test period from the DSO   | Not Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered                          |
| REP8  | Receive and store Voltage / Frequency (telemetry - 4s freq) information during test period from the DSO   | Not Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered                          |
| REP9  | Receive and store operating limits include thermal limits for network equipment from the DSO  | Not Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered                          |
| REP10 | Allow analysts to retrieve and extract the test related data from the<br>storage capability in a format that can be imported into an analysis<br>platform | F20               |                     | C10, C13                               |
| REP11 | Allow for an analyst to perform an assessment of the performance of the system and participants during the tests and overall Pilot                        | F20               |                     | C07, C09, C10,                         |
| REP12 | Must record the energy dispatch, ESS & NSS of the aggregated DER facility in real time and display to control room user to monitor                        | F10               |                     | C07, C09, C11, C14                     |

Table. Reporting and Assessment Performance

## **11.4 User Modifiable Test Variables**

Provide capability to:

• Configure system variables related to market timing, market price and network events.

Allow set up of testing market and operational scenarios through the configuration of variable data pre-test and during the test execution.

| ID  | Short Description  | Component Mapping | Integration Mapping | Component          | Mapping   |
|-----|--|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| UM1 | Must allow at time prior or during a test event, the ability to construct and input a dispatch schedule      | F13<br>F14        |                     | C02, C03, (<br>C08 | C04, C06, |
| UM2 | Must allow at time prior or during a test event, the ability to construct and input a set of control signals | F13               |                     | C02, C03, (<br>C08 | C04, C06, |

In partnership with:



western synergy AEMO

Our energy future

| ID  | Short Description   | Component Mapping | Integration Mapping | Component Mapping          |
|-----|---|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
|     |   | F14               |                     |                            |
| UM3 | Must allow the analyst to modify the dispatch time interval testing parameters                      | Not Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered              |
| UM4 | Must allow the analyst to modify the gate closure cut off time testing parameters                   | F13               |                     | C02, C03, C04, C06,<br>C08 |
| UM5 | Must allow the analyst to modify the price ceiling/floor testing parameters                         | F13               |                     | C02, C03, C04, C06,<br>C08 |
| UM6 | Must allow the analyst to modify the price/quantity tranche that will be settled testing parameters | Not Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered              |
| UM7 | Must allow the analyst to simulate a frequency increase scenario                                    | Not Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered              |
| UM8 | Must allow the analyst to simulate a frequency decrease scenario                                    | Not Delivered     | Not Delivered       | Not Delivered              |
| UM9 | Must allow the analyst to simulate a constrain to zero scenario                                     | F13               |                     | C02, C03, C04, C06,<br>C08 |

User Modifiable Test Variables

In partnership with:







## **Appendix E: Aggregator Component Diagrams**

Two views are provided to illustrate the platform components: firstly, from a business component perspective and, secondly, from a technical platform capability perspective.

The first view is intended to provide an insight into the extent to which current SaaS platforms support Project Symphony requirements more or less 'out of the box', and to what extent bespoke or custom development has been required to support requirements.

The second view provides insight into the technical capabilities required to support custom build components - primarily to support functional requirements not supported by SaaS solutions. This view also substantially aligns with and supports with the mapping of capabilities to the non-functional requirements detailed below in Section 0, 'Non-Functional Requirements'.

#### **10.1.1 Platform Functional Capability View**

The following diagram maps the Project Symphony Aggregator capability model to the primary solution component that delivers each capability.

| Project Symphony Market APIs  |   | Synergy DER<br>Data Exchange<br>Platform<br>Instance |
|---|---|--|
| Third Party Aggregator APIs<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance<br>Performance |   | Synergy API<br>Gateway                               |
| DER Optimisation  DER Market Services  BMO Services  CTZ Services  CTZ Services   | • | E SEMS Platform                                      |
| DER Network Services      DOE Service     NSS Services      Forecasts and Bids & Offers Services      Bid & Offer     Optimisation     Porecast     Demand     Forecast   | • | -  |
| VPP Services  DOE Forecasting  VPP Forecasting  VPP Power Control  DER Asset Selection  DER Scheduling  | • | VPP & DER<br>Optimisation<br>Platform                |
| Monitor & Control Platform          Image: Control Platform         Image: Control P  |   | E DER Monitor<br>and Control<br>Platform             |
| IoT Edge Compute         IoT Edge Gateway         IoT Data Store and<br>Forward         IoT Monitoring         IoT Control         IoT Device Protocol<br>Adaptors  |   | Gateway<br>Controller                                |
| ToT Intelligent Devices   |   | Controllable<br>Asset /<br>Measurement<br>Sensor     |

Figure 2 – Aggregator Solution: Platform Functionality View

#### **10.1.2 Platform Technology Capability View**

The following diagram maps the Aggregator solution to the primary technical platform capabilities required to deliver the solution. It should be noted that SaaS solutions are viewed in this report as technical "black boxes": their design and implementation details are the responsibility of

the respective vendors and are opaque to Synergy.





- western synergy AEMO





| nergy - Cloud Tenancy  |                               |   |                      |
|--|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Synergy DER Data Exchange Platform I   | nstance                       | 휮 Synergy API Gateway                   |                      |
| Market     Participant     Authorisation &   | ng                            | Authentication & Services               |                      |
|  |                               |   |                      |
| Message<br>Transformation<br>& Routing<br>Services   | s Services                    | Data<br>Persistence<br>Services         | SEMS API<br>Services |
| PP Optimisation Vendor - SaaS Clo  | ud                            |   |                      |
| 影 VPP & DER Optimisation Platform  | •                             |   |                      |
| ع VPP API<br>Services  | S VPP<br>Management<br>Web UI | کی VPP Reporting<br>Services            |                      |
| ER Monitor & Control Vendor - Saa<br>DER Monitor and Control Platform<br>DER API<br>Services | S Cloud                       | DER Reporting<br>Services               |                      |
| ray Customer Sites   |                               |   |                      |
| ह्य Gateway Controller   | •                             |   |                      |
| DER Asset<br>Control &<br>Monitoring   |                               | الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله |                      |
| Controllable Asset / Measurement Sense   | pr                            |   |                      |
|  |                               |   |                      |
|  |                               |   |                      |

Figure 3 – Aggregator Solution: Platform Technology View

In partnership with:



In partnership with: western power synergy AEMO





Our energy future

## **Appendix F: Aggregator Platform Requirements Mapped to Components**

#### **10.1.3 Functional Requirements**

The 'ARENA Work Package 4.4 Report' documented the requirements for the Aggregator solution. This appendix maps those requirements to the major solution component(s) that implement them.

Since the 'ARENA Work Package 4.4 Report' was finalised, additional functional requirements for the Aggregator solution have been identified and agreed through the participant working groups. For clarity on how the scope has changed since that earlier document, the new and additional functional requirements have been documented and mapped separately in Section Error! Reference source not found. 'Error! Reference source not found.'.

Note that due to the treatment of Third-Party Aggregator solutions as "black box" implementations, the solution component level mapping is only provided to components developed or implemented by Synergy: it does not map requirements to components of Third-Party Aggregator solutions other than to indicate a dependency on the Third-Party Aggregator solution as a whole to deliver part or all of a requirement.

The level of compliance of components against requirements is broadly assessed using a Red-Amber-Green (RAG) signage:



A small number of 'ARENA Work Package 4.4 Report' requirements have been de-scoped, and for completeness are tagged as "Not applicable", rather than as "Not met".

#### A 1.1 Bi-Directional Energy Services

#### **Customer Recruitment**

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes   |
|------|---|----------|-----|---|
| REC1 | Store customer site information.  | М        |     |   |
| REC2 | Store network model information.  | М        |     |   |
| REC3 | Store DER asset information, including asset operating constraints.                     | М        |     | Individual DER asset capabilities<br>stored for Synergy managed DER<br>assets only.<br>Aggregated capabilities of 3PA DER<br>assets provided to Synergy by 3PAs<br>and represented in VPP & DER<br>Optimisation Platform as Virtual<br>Batteries. |
| REC4 | Store IOT gateway information.  | М        |     | For Synergy managed DER assets<br>only.<br>Does not store details of 3PA IoT<br>gateways.   |
| REC5 | Support automated and manual DER<br>asset and IOT gateway commissioning<br>information. | М        |     | For Synergy managed DER assets<br>only.<br>Does not store details of 3PA DER<br>assets or IoT gateways.   |
| REC6 | Store commissioning results.  | М        |     | For Synergy managed DER assets<br>only.   |

|  |  | assets. |
|--|--|---------|
|  |  |         |
|  |  |         |
|  |  |         |

#### **Structure Facility**

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes |
|------|---|----------|-----|-------|
| FAC1 | Define a DER facility as a logical grouping of NMI connection points.                         | М        |     |       |
| FAC2 | Capture and store DER facility<br>information required for registration<br>and orchestration. | М        |     |       |

In partnership with:



AEMO



Our energy future

| FAC3 | Update of registered facility standing information, including NMIs contained.                                     | М |  |
|------|---|---|--|
| FAC4 | Extract of new structured facility<br>information into a file format as<br>required by the DMO.                   | М |  |
| FAC5 | Structure of any facility registered with<br>DMO will ensure 1 NMI will be part of<br>only 1 registered facility. | М | A NMI may be associated with different facilities over time, but only one facility at a point in time. |
| FAC6 | Ability to optimise NMIs into discrete facilities.  | D |  |

#### **Facility Capacity**

| ID   | Description  | Priority | RAG | Notes  |
|------|--|----------|-----|--|
| CAP1 | Ingestion of Dynamic Operating<br>Envelope data received from the DSO.   | М        |     |  |
| CAP2 | Application of Dynamic Operating<br>Envelope to the required NMI for<br>operational control of the assets<br>attached to the NMI.  | М        |     | DOE instructions for NMIs under the<br>control and management of Third-<br>Party Aggregators are passed through<br>to the Third-Party Aggregator for<br>actioning. |
| CAP3 | Calculation of the available flexible<br>energy capacity for a facility,<br>incorporating Dynamic Operating<br>Envelope constraints for all NMIs<br>within the facility and the DER asset<br>operating or opt-out constraints. | Μ        |     |  |

### **Dispatch Planning**

| ID   | Description  | Priority | RAG | Notes  |
|------|--|----------|-----|--|
| OPT1 | View current state of DER assets –<br>availability and performance.  | Μ        | •   | Current state of DER assets under the<br>control and management of Third-<br>Party Aggregators is not immediately<br>visible to Synergy. Virtual Battery level<br>telemetry reporting may lag by a<br>number of minutes.   |
| OPT2 | Provision of behind-the-meter demand and generation forecasts.   | М        | •   |  |
| OPT3 | Provision of generation, load and flexible energy capacity forecasts.  | М        |     |  |
| OPT4 | Provision of optimised control event<br>schedule for each enrolled DER asset<br>that will ensure fulfilment of market<br>bids. | М        |     | Schedules for Third-Party Aggregators<br>are issued by Synergy at the level of<br>Third-Party Aggregator nominated<br>Asset Groups. It is the responsibility of<br>the Third-Party Aggregator to optimise<br>their DER assets to meet the Synergy<br>scheduled Asset Group objectives. |

#### **Balancing Market Submission**

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes |
|------|---|----------|-----|-------|
| SUB1 | Generation of optimised proposed<br>bids/offer for a facility and service,<br>considering balancing market price<br>forecast and VPP operational costs. | Μ        |     |       |
| SUB2 | Ability to send optimised bids/offers for<br>energy services to the DMO in<br>compliance with RTMS specification<br>using EnergyWeb.                    | Μ        |     |       |

In partnership with:





Our energy future

| SUB3 | Ability to manually construct bids and<br>offers for energy services compliant<br>with the DMO RTMS specification and<br>submit them to the DMO. | Μ |  |  |
|------|--|---|--|--|
|------|--|---|--|--|

#### **Create Dispatch Instructions**

| ID    | Description  | Priority | RAG | Notes   |
|-------|--|----------|-----|---|
| CON1  | Ability to receive dispatch instructions from the DMO for dispatch of energy services.   | М        |     | Synergy has decided to use Pre-<br>Dispatch schedule to dispatch energy   |
| CON2  | Ability to send a dispatch instruction receipt acknowledgement to the DMO.   | М        |     |   |
| CON3  | Create and send disaggregated (from<br>facility level dispatch instructions)<br>control instructions to DER assets for<br>a specified or unspecified duration.   | M        | 1.  | <ol> <li>For Synergy managed and<br/>controlled DER assets only.</li> <li>Instructions to 3PAs proved at<br/>Asset Group level, and 3PAs<br/>responsible for further disaggregation<br/>to individual DER assets.</li> </ol>                                    |
| CON4  | <ul> <li>Ability to monitor and control the following asset types (various makes and models):</li> <li>PV inverters</li> <li>Battery inverters</li> <li>Hot water systems</li> <li>Air conditioning units</li> </ul> | M        | 4.  | <ol> <li>For Synergy controlled DER<br/>assets only.</li> <li>Synergy does not have access to<br/>3PA controlled DER assets. 3PAs<br/>provide Asset Group (i.e., Virtual<br/>Battery) level telemetry to Synergy<br/>for after-the-event monitoring.</li> </ol> |
| CON5  | Ability to monitor and control pool pump assets.   | D        | 0   | Pool pumps removed from project scope. Capability not implemented.  |
| CON6  | DER asset control instructions to<br>respect the individual asset control<br>operating requirements/constraints as<br>specified by the original equipment<br>manufacturer.   | М        | 7.  | <ol> <li>8. For Synergy controlled DER<br/>assets only.</li> <li>9. Synergy does not have DER<br/>asset level control for 3PAs.</li> </ol>  |
| CON7  | Ability to return DER asset to default<br>mode of operation when control event<br>completed.   | М        | 10. | <ul><li>11. For Synergy controlled DER assets only.</li><li>12. Synergy does not have DER asset level control for 3PAs.</li></ul>   |
| CON8  | Ability to execute DER asset control<br>to a specified setpoint, expressed as<br>a % of maximum<br>consumption/generation or kW/W<br>value.  | D        | 13. | <ul><li>14. For Synergy controlled DER<br/>assets only.</li><li>15. Synergy does not have DER<br/>asset level control for 3PAs.</li></ul>   |
| CON9  | Ability to execute DER asset control on/off via a relay contact.   | D        | 16. | <ul><li>17. For Synergy controlled DER<br/>assets only.</li><li>18. Synergy does not have DER<br/>asset level control for 3PAs.</li></ul>   |
| CON10 | Ability to monitor and control grid-<br>connected (FoM) battery.   | М        |     |   |
| CON11 | Ability to execute DER asset control<br>via demand response management<br>(DRM) control.   | М        | 19. | 20. For Synergy controlled DER<br>assets only.<br>Synergy does not have DER asset<br>level control for 3PAs.  |
| CON12 | Ability for the gateway device to<br>operate on a configurable default<br>operating mode in the event of a<br>communications failure.  | М        | 21. | <ul><li>22. For Synergy controlled DER assets only.</li><li>23. Synergy does not have control of 3PA gateway devices.</li></ul>   |

### Monitoring and Maintenance

In partnership with:





## Our energy future

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes  |
|------|---|----------|-----|--|
| MON1 | Storing of high resolution telemetry timeseries data for all necessary parameters for each DER asset.   | Μ        |     | Third-Party Aggregators provide<br>telemetry at Asset Group (i.e., Virtual<br>Battery) level, rather than the<br>individual DER assets under their<br>control.                               |
| MON2 | Ability to monitor DER asset<br>performance metrics specific and<br>appropriate to the asset type.  | Μ        |     | For Synergy controlled DER assets<br>only.<br>Third-Party Aggregators provide<br>telemetry at Asset Group (i.e., Virtual<br>Battery) level.  |
| MON3 | Ability to monitor DER asset availability and status.   | Μ        |     | For Synergy controlled DER assets<br>only.<br>Synergy does not have DER asset<br>level control for 3PAs.   |
| MON4 | Ability to monitor IOT gateway device availability and status.  | Μ        |     | For Synergy controlled DER assets<br>only.<br>Synergy does not have IoT gateway<br>level control for 3PAs.   |
| MON5 | Ability to monitor DER asset, site and<br>facility performance during and post<br>control event execution in order to<br>validate service delivery.                         | Μ        |     | DER asset level performance<br>monitoring only available for Synergy<br>controlled DER assets.<br>3PAs provide after the event telemetry<br>at Asset Group (i.e., Virtual Battery)<br>level. |
| MON6 | <ul> <li>Ability to monitor connections and communications between:</li> <li>DER assets and IOT gateway device; and</li> <li>IOT gateway and optimisation layer.</li> </ul> | Μ        |     | For Synergy controlled DER assets<br>only.<br>Synergy does not have DER asset or<br>IoT gateway level control for 3PAs.  |
| MON7 | Ability to execute firmware and<br>software maintenance remotely on IOT<br>gateway device.  | D        |     | For Synergy controlled DER assets<br>only.<br>Synergy does not have IoT gateway<br>level control for 3PAs.   |
| MON8 | Ability to log, action and track system issues to resolution.   | Μ        |     | Synergy and each of its solution platform partners maintain their own issue tracking solutions.  |

### Reporting

| ID   | Description  | Priority | RAG | Notes |
|------|--|----------|-----|-------|
| REP1 | Provision of NMI and DER asset<br>master data once per week during<br>customer and DER asset recruitment<br>via file upload. | М        |     |       |
| REP2 | Provision of aggregated facility<br>telemetry data once per day for all<br>registered and active DER facilities.             | М        |     |       |
| REP3 | Provision of facility forecast data once<br>per day for all registered and active<br>DER facilities.                         | М        |     |       |

#### **Customer Information**

| ID | Description | Priority | RAG | Notes |
|----|-------------|----------|-----|-------|
|    |             |          |     |       |

In partnership with:





Our energy future

| CUS1 | Provision of data about control events<br>executed for a customer site (NMI<br>connection point) and customer DER<br>assets for billing and customer<br>communication purposes.   | M |   | For Synergy controlled DER assets only. |
|------|---|---|---|---|
| CUS2 | <ul> <li>Availability of customer facing<br/>application containing information and<br/>functionality such as:</li> <li>Energy consumption and<br/>generation at site;</li> <li>Real time energy flows –<br/>household load, PV<br/>generation, battery<br/>charge/discharge, grid<br/>import/export;</li> <li>Historical and upcoming<br/>scheduled control events; and</li> <li>DER asset opt out.</li> </ul> | D | 0 | Descoped                                |

### **3rd Party Aggregators**

| ID    | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes   |
|-------|---|----------|-----|---|
| 3PA1  | Ability to receive dispatch instructions from Synergy for dispatch of energy services.  | М        |     |   |
| 3PA2  | Ability to send a dispatch instruction<br>acknowledgement / commitment to<br>Synergy.   | М        |     |   |
| 3PA3  | Ability to provide energy capacity forecasts for the VPP to Synergy.  | М        | •   |   |
| 3PA4  | Ability to provide performance of service provision aggregated to the VPP.  | М        |     |   |
| 3PA5  | Ability to receive DOE constraints from<br>Synergy and respect these constraints<br>in both forecasts and execution of<br>instructions to DER assets. | М        |     |   |
| 3PA6  | Ability to manually provide the NMI<br>and asset details for the VPP,<br>including when changes occur.  | М        |     |   |
| 3PA7  | Ability to provide payment invoices for services provided.  | 0        |     |   |
| 3PA8  | Ability to provide asset standing data and NMI details.   | 0        |     |   |
| 3PA9  | Ability to provide telemetry data at high<br>level of resolution and latency for<br>purposes of Synergy aggregation<br>control.                       | 0        | •   | Third-Party Aggregators provide<br>telemetry for nominated Asset Groups,<br>rather than individual DER assets<br>under their control.<br>Telemetry reporting from 3PAs may<br>lag by a number of minutes. |
| 3PA10 | Ability to receive asset control commands as pass through to the assets.  | 0        | 0   | Descoped.   |

### **Network Support Services**

| ID   | Description  | Priority | RAG | Notes |
|------|--|----------|-----|-------|
| NSS1 | Ability to structure a facility to provide network support services. | М        |     |       |

In partnership with:





Our energy future

| NSS2 | Receive pre-dispatch notification of NSS requirement for a facility from the DMO.   | М |  |
|------|---|---|--|
| NSS3 | Allocate DER asset capacity within a facility to meet NSS requirement, within DOE constraints.                                    | M |  |
| NSS4 | Respect both DOE and NSS<br>commitments of a facility and DER<br>asset in determining operational<br>capacity forecasts.          | М |  |
| NSS5 | Respect both DOE and NSS<br>commitments of a facility in proposed<br>bids and offers for energy services.                         | М |  |
| NSS6 | Receive NSS operating instruction<br>from DMO to dispatch NSS services<br>for particular date/time intervals.                     | М |  |
| NSS7 | Disaggregation of NSS operating<br>instructions from DMO for a facility into<br>individual DER asset control events.              | М |  |
| NSS8 | Provision of facility and/or DER asset<br>performance data post network<br>support service provision to enable<br>NSS settlement. | Μ |  |

#### **Constrain to Zero**

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes |
|------|---|----------|-----|-------|
| CTZ1 | Receive constrain to zero dispatch<br>instructions for a facility from the DMO<br>for specified date/time intervals.  | М        |     |       |
| CTZ2 | Disaggregation of constrain to zero<br>dispatch instructions from the DMO for<br>a facility into individual NMI and DER<br>asset control events.              | М        |     |       |
| CTZ3 | On conclusion of constrain to zero<br>event, ability to control DER asset<br>resumption of net export or gross<br>generation to meet ramp up<br>requirements. | М        |     |       |
| CTZ4 | Provision of facility and/or DER asset<br>performance data post constrain to<br>zero service provision to enable<br>settlement.                               | М        |     |       |

### Essential System Services – Contingency Raise

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes                             |
|------|---|----------|-----|-----------------------------------|
| ESS1 | Ability to register a facility for the provision of essential system services, including Contingency Raise.   | М        |     | These services were out of scope. |
| ESS2 | Respect the DOE, NSS and ESS<br>commitments of a facility and DER<br>assets in determining operational<br>capacity forecasts.   | Μ        |     |                                   |
| ESS3 | Generation of optimised proposed<br>bids/offer for a facility for provision of<br>essential system services taking into<br>account the DOE, NSS and ESS<br>commitments of a facility. | М        |     |                                   |

In partnership with:



In partnership with: western synergy AEMO



Our energy future

| ESS4 | Sending of optimised bids/offers for<br>ESS to the DMO in compliance with<br>RTMS specification.   | М |  |
|------|--|---|--|
| ESS5 | Ability to receive dispatch instructions from the DMO for dispatch of ESS.   | М | Synergy has decided to use the Pre-<br>dispatch schedule to dispatch energy<br>through DER |
| ESS6 | Create and send disaggregated (from<br>facility level dispatch instructions)<br>control instructions to DER assets for a<br>specified or unspecified duration for<br>the provision of ESS. | М |  |
| ESS7 | Provision of facility and/or DER asset<br>performance data to enable settlement<br>of ESS service provision.   | М |  |

#### **Additional Functional Requirements**

Since the publication of the 'ARENA Work Package 4.4 Report', further functional requirements have been discussed and agreed between the Project Symphony participants. This subsection documents the additional Aggregator-specific requirements and maps them to the relevant delivery component.

#### **DOE Handling**

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes                                   |
|------|---|----------|-----|---|
| DOE1 | Support the specification of a default<br>DOE export value at each site as a fall-<br>back where DOE instructions are not<br>received (e.g., due to communications<br>failure).   | Μ        |     | For Synergy controlled DER assets only. |
| DOE2 | Support short interval DOE instructions<br>for emergency constraint of export<br>electricity.   | М        |     |   |
| DOE3 | Validate received DOE instructions<br>and ensure any omitted intervals are<br>completed (based on the relevant<br>default DOE value for the site) to<br>provide a complete schedule.  | М        |     |   |
| DOE4 | Accommodate DOE schedules based<br>on a midnight-to-midnight operational<br>day (as distinct from WEM market<br>rules based on a trading day<br>commencing at 8:00 AM WST) when<br>preparing market transactions such as<br>forecasts, bids and offers. | Μ        |     |   |

#### Front of Meter Battery

| ID  | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes   |
|-----|---|----------|-----|---|
| FON | Provide the ability for Western Power's<br>SCADA system to override Synergy<br>and take control of a FoM Battery in<br>case of emergency. | М        |     | Once Western Power takes control of<br>the FoM Battery, any further<br>instructions from Synergy are ignored<br>until control is returned to Synergy. |

#### **Absolute vs Relative Support**

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes |
|------|---|----------|-----|-------|
| AVR1 | Provide support for VPP generation<br>objectives based on either including<br>uncontrolled load (absolute power) or<br>excluding uncontrolled load (relative<br>power). | Μ        |     |       |

In partnership with:





Our energy future

#### **Virtual Facilities**

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes |
|------|---|----------|-----|-------|
| VRF1 | Provide the ability to associate NMIs<br>with different Facilities to support<br>different VPP objectives (e.g., based<br>on DER asset type, location or service<br>objective). | Μ        |     |       |
| VFR2 | Provide support for time-range specific<br>configurations of Facilities for different<br>objectives, including the adjustment of<br>associated NMIs or capacity settings.       | М        |     |       |

#### **ESS Frequency Injection**

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes |
|------|---|----------|-----|-------|
| EFI1 | Provide the ability to simulate a<br>frequency disturbance at site using the<br>IoT Edge Device and an injected<br>frequency profile, to support ESS Test<br>and Learn activities.          | Μ        |     |       |
| EFI2 | Install 100 High Speed Data<br>Recorders and supporting secure<br>communications infrastructure at a<br>sub-set of Project Symphony sites to<br>report on ESS Test and Learn<br>activities. | Μ        |     |       |
| EFI3 | Ensure the High Speed Data<br>Recorders are able to measure 50ms<br>frequency response at either the NMI<br>or DER asset.   | М        |     |       |

#### Telemetry

| ID   | Description  | Priority | RAG | Notes   |
|------|--|----------|-----|---|
| TLM1 | Provide operational telemetry to<br>AEMO every 5 minutes for the<br>immediate previous 5 minute interval,<br>aggregated at 1 minute granularity. | М        | 24. | <ul><li>25. Requirement supported for<br/>Synergy managed and controlled<br/>DER assets.</li><li>26. Reporting of 3PA telemetry to<br/>Synergy may lag.</li></ul> |

#### Hybrid DC-Coupled Battery Control

| ID   | Description  | Priority | RAG | Notes   |
|------|--|----------|-----|---|
| HBC1 | Provide the ability to control hybrid<br>DC-coupled batteries within a VPP<br>scenario, as distinct from AC-coupled<br>batteries | Μ        |     | Asset specific: Inclusion of hybrid DC-<br>coupled batteries only allows control at<br>the hybrid inverter – not<br>charge/discharge behaviour between<br>PV and Battery. |

#### **Third-Party Aggregators**

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes  |
|------|---|----------|-----|--|
| 3PA1 | Develop a bidding model for 3PAs to<br>inform 3PAs when to optimally bid their<br>capacity into the market. | М        |     | Synergy developed stand-alone utility<br>tool. Not hosted in, or integrated with,<br>the core solution components. |

## **Non-Functional Requirements**

Accessibility

In partnership with:



In partnership with: western power synergy AEMO



## Our energy future

| ID   | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes   |
|------|---|----------|-----|---|
| NFR1 | The solution shall be accessible<br>through the most commonly used web<br>browsers.                                     | М        |     | The SEMS platform is only intended<br>for internal use by Synergy staff, and is<br>compatible with Synergy's SOE web<br>browsers. |
| NFR2 | The solution shall be designed to<br>display on the most commonly used<br>device types (smartphone, tablet,<br>laptop). | D        |     | The SEMS platform has been designed to operate on Synergy supported devices.  |

### Security

| ID    | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes   |
|-------|---|----------|-----|---|
| NFR3  | The solution shall provide secured and<br>controlled user access to the platform<br>resources through authentication and secured<br>access. | М        |     |   |
| NFR4  | The solution APIs shall authenticate and provide secured access to resources. All data in transit shall be encrypted.                       | М        |     |   |
| NFR5  | The data residing in the cloud will remain within Australia.  | М        |     |   |
| NFR6  | All data at rest will be securely stored.   | М        |     |   |
| NFR7  | All customer identifiable data will be encrypted at rest and secured access will be provisioned.  | М        | •   |   |
| NFR8  | The cloud platform will be audited and audit records will be maintained.  | М        |     |   |
| NFR9  | Logs will be kept of all key transactions<br>conducted within the platform. User access and<br>activity shall be logged.                    | М        |     |   |
| NFR10 | The solution shall be resilient to cyber-attacks<br>such as distributed denial of service, viruses<br>and malicious software.               | Μ        |     | The SEMS Platform is hosted within Synergy's corporate cloud tenancy, and subject to the same cyber-security controls as other Synergy applications hosted in that environment. |
|       |   |          |     | equivalent levels of cyber-security controls.   |
| NFR11 | The solution will be designed to support the availability of the Service Level Agreements within the contract.                              | М        |     |   |
| NFR12 | All Synergy data shall remain the property of<br>Synergy and not be disclosed without<br>authorisation.                                     | М        |     |   |
| NFR13 | The solution shall secure the communications<br>and isolate access to the distributed controller<br>gateway.                                | М        |     | For Synergy managed and controlled IoT gateways.  |
| NFR14 | The solution controller gateway shall be<br>installed with adequate physical security<br>access such as enclosure and tamper<br>provisions. | М        |     | For Synergy managed and controlled IoT gateways.  |
| NFR15 | Passwords for IoT devices will be securely stored on the device.  | М        |     | For Synergy managed and controlled IoT gateways.  |

### **Business Continuity**

| ID | Description | Priority | Delivered By | RAG | Notes |
|----|-------------|----------|--------------|-----|-------|
|    |             |          |              |     |       |

In partnership with:





Our energy future

| NFR16 | The solution vendor shall have provision for<br>adequate backup and disaster recovery<br>aligned to the contracted service levels. | Μ | Synergy Cloud<br>tenancy  | Components developed by Synergy reside in<br>Synergy's Cloud Tenancy, which provides for<br>DR failover between regions.<br>3 <sup>rd</sup> party SaaS providers are contracted to<br>SLAs covering back-up and DR.   |
|-------|--|---|---|---|
| NFR17 | The business continuity plans shall be periodically tested.  | М | Operational<br>Support  | BCP plans have not been developed while the solution platform is still under development.<br>BCP are expected to be reviewed once all components have been developed and deployed to production.  |
| NFR18 | The solution vendor shall provide notice of changes to the core product that may affect business continuity for Synergy.           | М | Vendor support<br>team  |   |
| NFR19 | Following the conclusion of the contracted<br>period, all Synergy data shall be available for<br>extraction by Synergy.            | М | <ul> <li>VPP &amp; DER<br/>Optimisation<br/>Platform</li> <li>DER Monitor &amp;<br/>Control<br/>Platform</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>'Delivered By' identifies 3<sup>rd</sup> party SaaS<br/>solutions that need to provide data extraction<br/>capabilities at the conclusion of Project<br/>Symphony.</li> <li>Data held in SEMS Platform is already under<br/>the control of Synergy.</li> </ul> |
| NFR20 | The solution shall retain backward compatibility when new features are released.   | D | Synergy<br>software<br>development<br>processes and<br>governance   | To the extent that new features are consistent<br>or compatible with existing features.   |

## Change Control

| ID    | Description  | Priority | Delivered By  | RAG | Notes   |
|-------|--|----------|---|-----|---|
| NFR21 | Software updates shall follow the agreed<br>deployment lifecycle process through, as a<br>minimum, a non-production environment.                         | Μ        | <ul> <li>Synergy change<br/>control<br/>processes</li> <li>Development,<br/>QA and<br/>Production<br/>environments</li> </ul> |     | 3 <sup>rd</sup> party SaaS providers provide equivalent<br>environments aligned with Synergy's<br>environments. |
| NFR22 | The solution vendor shall provide release<br>notes for software changes ahead of the<br>release of the software into the non-<br>production environment. | М        | <ul> <li>Vendor support<br/>team</li> </ul>   |     |   |

#### Maintainability

| ID    | Description  | Priority | Delivered<br>By                      | RAG | Notes |
|-------|--|----------|--------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| NFR23 | As built technical design documentation shall be provided. | D        | <ul> <li>Project<br/>team</li> </ul> |     |       |

#### **Data retention**

| ID    | Description  | Priority | Delivered By                          | RAG | Notes |
|-------|--|----------|---------------------------------------|-----|-------|
| NFR24 | The vendor shall ensure all non-transitory data is retained for the duration of the Pilot. | М        | <ul> <li>SEMS<br/>Platform</li> </ul> |     |       |

#### Scalability

In partnership with:





## Our energy future

| ID    | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes   |
|-------|---|----------|-----|---|
| NFR25 | The solution shall be able to scale to 900 assets being monitored, controlled and optimised.      | М        |     |   |
| NFR26 | The solution shall have the capability to scale to 10,000 assets under control.                   | D        | 0   | Descoped.<br>There is no hard limit on the number of assets<br>that can be supported by the solution design,<br>but the performance implications beyond<br>supporting the Project's target recruitment sites<br>have not been tested or assessed. |
| NFR27 | The solution shall be able to scale to 500 customers as users concurrently using the user portal. | D        | 0   | There is no customer facing user portal.  |

#### Performance

| ID    | Description   | Priority | RAG | Notes  |
|-------|---|----------|-----|--|
| NFR28 | Availability uptime of the platform shall be 99%<br>(excluding scheduled maintenance).  | М        |     | All solution components are hosted in major<br>cloud vendor environments. All cloud vendor<br>environments provide up-time guarantees that<br>exceed 99%.    |
|       |   |          |     | Gateway Controller provides some level of<br>site autonomy (i.e., default behaviours) in the<br>event of loss of communications with up-stream<br>platforms. |
| NFR29 | Asset performance shall be captured to<br>understand the maximum throughput of<br>command execution for each device.            | М        |     | For Synergy controlled DER assets.   |
| NFR30 | Overall system response time shall be within 30 seconds from command to response (excluding any device specific reaction time). | М        |     | For Synergy controlled DER assets.   |

#### Incident management

| ID    | Description  | Priority | Delivered By                                    | RAG | Notes |
|-------|--|----------|---|-----|-------|
| NFR31 | The vendor shall notify Synergy of all data breaches as soon as practical.                               | М        | <ul> <li>Vendor<br/>support<br/>team</li> </ul> |     |       |
| NFR32 | The vendor shall notify Synergy of all unplanned outages as soon as practical.                           | М        | • Vendor<br>support<br>team                     |     |       |
| NFR33 | The vendor shall comply with SLAs for response<br>time and resolved time as detailed in the<br>contract. | М        | <ul> <li>Vendor<br/>support<br/>team</li> </ul> |     |       |

#### Usability

| ID    | Description  | Priority | RAG | Notes  |
|-------|--|----------|-----|--|
| NFR34 | The solution shall meet the branding, look and<br>feel as defined in the Synergy user interface<br>guidelines for all customer facing user access<br>interfaces. | D        |     | There is no customer or public facing solution<br>component. SEMS Platform provides a web<br>interface for authorised Synergy staff, which is<br>only available to staff on the corporate network.<br>The user interface is consistent with other<br>Synergy web applications developed for internal<br>staff use. |







Our energy future

| NFR35 | The solution shall allow an efficient user<br>interface to enable the user to conduct common<br>tasks efficiently. | D | The user interface has been developed iteratively with input from key internal users. |
|-------|--|---|---|
|       |  |   |   |

#### **Incident management**

| ID    | Description  | Priority | Delivered By                                | RAG        | Notes |
|-------|--|----------|---|------------|-------|
| NFR31 | The vendor shall notify Synergy of all data breaches as soon as practical.                         | М        | <ul> <li>Vendor<br/>support team</li> </ul> |            |       |
| NFR32 | The vendor shall notify Synergy of all unplanned outages as soon as practical.                     | М        | <ul> <li>Vendor<br/>support team</li> </ul> | $\bigcirc$ |       |
| NFR33 | The vendor shall comply with SLAs for response time and resolved time as detailed in the contract. | М        | <ul> <li>Vendor<br/>support team</li> </ul> | $\bigcirc$ |       |

#### Usability

| NFR34 | The solution shall meet the branding, look and<br>feel as defined in the Synergy user interface<br>guidelines for all customer facing user access<br>interfaces. | D | SEMS     Platform | There is no customer or public facing solution<br>component. SEMS Platform provides a web<br>interface for authorised Synergy staff, which is<br>only available to staff on the corporate<br>network. The user interface is consistent with<br>other Synergy web applications developed for<br>internal staff use. |
|-------|--|---|-------------------|--|
| NFR35 | The solution shall allow an efficient user<br>interface to enable the user to conduct<br>common tasks efficiently.   | D | SEMS     Platform | The user interface has been developed iteratively with input from key internal users.  |

In partnership with:



In partnership with: western power synergy AEMO

